

3200 Devine Street, Suite 103 Columbia, South Carolina 29205 info@garberreporting.com Telephone: (803) 256-4500

## HEARING PROCEEDINGS

November 21, 2024

2024 SC Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Kathryn Bostrom

1		* * * *
2		JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
3		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
4		* * * *
5		
6	BEFORE:	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
7		REPRESENTATIVE "MICAH" CASKEY, IV, VICE CHAIRMAN
8		SENATOR BILLY GARRETT
9		REPRESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN, JR.
10		REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
11		HOPE BLACKLEY
12		LUCY GREY MCIVER
13		ANDREW N. SAFRAN
14	ı	J.P. PETE STROM
15		ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
16		* * * *
17		
18	DATE:	Thursday, November 21, 2024
19	TIME:	9:30 a.m.
20	LOCATION:	Gressette Building, Room 105
21		1101 Pendleton Street
22		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
23		
24	REPORTED B	Y: Kathryn B. Bostrom, Court Reporter
25		

1	CONTENTS:
2	(Hearings)
3	PAGE
4	Exhibit Index
5	William A.W. Buxton
6	Examination by Ms. Hall
7	Examination by Representative Jordan
8	Examination by Ms. McIver
9	Examination by Mr. Safran
10	E. Thompson Kinney
11	Examination by Ms. Trask 28
12	Examination by Senator Garrett
13	Examination by Mr. Safran 41
14	The Honorable Coreen B. Khoury
15	Examination by Ms. Baker
16	The Honorable Angela J. Moss
17	Examination by Ms. Baker 62
18	Examination by Senator Garrett 66
19	Examination by Senator Rankin 67
20	The Honorable M. Todd Thigpen
21	Examination by Mr. John
22	Examination by Mr. Safran 79
23	Examination by Senator Garrett 81
24	Examination by Representative Jordan 84
25	Examination by Chairman Rankin 86

1	Examination by Senator Sabb 91
2	The Honorable Bryan C. Able
3	Examination by Mr. Triplett
4	Robert W. Cone
5	Examination by Ms. Trask 109
6	Examination by Senator Garrett
7	James Conway "Jim" Todd IV
8	Examination by Mr. Hinson
9	Examination by Senator Garrett
10	Examination by Mr. Safran
11	Examination by Ms. Blackley
12	Examination by Chairman Rankin
13	The Honorable Alice Anne Richter
14	Examination by Ms. Wilkinson
15	Examination by Chairman Rankin 161
16	The Honorable M. Scott McElhannon
17	Examination by Ms. Starnes
18	Examination by Mr. Safran
19	Examination by Mr. Strom
20	Examination by Senator Garrett
21	The Honorable Huntley Smith Crouch
22	Examination by Ms. Benson
23	Examination by Representative Jordan 193
24	The Honorable Blakely Copeland Cahoon
25	Examination by Mr. Gentry

1	The Honorable David J. Brousseau
2	Examination by Ms. Faulk 208
3	Examination by Senator Garrett
4	The Honorable M. Scott Rankin
5	Complainant Rhonda Meisner
6	Statement
7	Examination by Mr. Triplett 238
8	Examination by Senator Garrett 239
9	Examination by Mr. Triplett 240
10	The Honorable Michelle M. Hurley
11	Complainant Rhonda Meisner
12	Statement
13	Examination by Ms. Benson 268
14	Examination by Chairman Rankin 272
15	The Honorable Joseph C. Smithdeal
16	Examination by Ms. Crater 282
17	Certification of Reporter 290
18	Word Index
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	EXHIBITS
2	
3	Exhibit No. 1 (17 Pages) 9
4	(PDQ - William A.W. Buxton )
5	Exhibit No. 2 (7 Pages) 9
6	(Sworn Statement - William A.W. Buxton )
7	Exhibit No. 3 (19 Pages)
8	(PDQ - E. Thompson Kinney)
9	Exhibit No. 4 (2 Pages)
10	(Amendment - E. Thompson Kinney)
11	Exhibit No. 5 (7 Pages)
12	(Sworn Statement - E. Thompson Kinney)
13	Exhibit No. 6 (15 Pages)
14	(PDQ - The Honorable Coreen B. Khoury)
15	Exhibit No. 7 (6 Pages) 51
16	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Coreen B. Khoury)
17	Exhibit No. 8 (14 Pages)
18	(PDQ - Angela Moss)
19	Exhibit No. 9 (6 Pages) 61
20	(Sworn Statement - Angela Moss)
21	Exhibit No. 10 (16 Pages)
22	(PDQ - The Honorable M. Todd Thigpen)
23	Exhibit No. 11 (7 Pages)
24	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable M. Todd Thigpen)
25	Exhibit No. 12 (17 Pages) 95

1	(PDQ - The Honorable Bryan C. Able)
2	Exhibit No. 13 (7 Pages)
3	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Bryan C. Able)
4	Exhibit No. 14 (20 Pages)
5	(PDQ - Robert W. Cone)
6	Exhibit No. 15 (6 Pages)
7	(Sworn Statement - Robert W. Cone)
8	Exhibit No. 16 (8 Pages)
9	(PDQ - James Conway Todd)
10	Exhibit No. 17 (16 Pages)
11	(Sworn Statement - James Conway Todd)
12	Exhibit No. 18 (15 Pages) 155
13	(PDQ - Alice Anne Richter)
14	Exhibit No. 19 (5 Pages)
15	(Sworn Statement - Alice Anne Richter)
16	Exhibit No. 20 (14 Pages) 167
17	(PDQ - The Honorable Scott McElhannon)
18	Exhibit No. 21 (5 Pages)
19	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Scott McElhannon)
20	Exhibit No. 22 (16 Pages)
21	(PDQ - The Honorable Huntley Smith Crouch)
22	Exhibit No. 23 (8 Pages)
23	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Huntley Smith
24	Crouch)
25	Exhibit No. 24 (14 Pages)

1	(PDQ - The Honorable Blakely Copeland Cahoon)
2	Exhibit No. 25 (8 Pages)
3	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Blakely Copeland
4	Cahoon)
5	Exhibit No. 26 (14 Pages) 207
6	(PDQ - The Honorable David J. Brousseau)
7	Exhibit No. 27 (6 Pages) 207
8	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable David J. Brousseau)
9	Exhibit No. 28 (15 Pages)
10	(PDQ - The Honorable M. Scott Rankin)
11	Exhibit No. 29 (6 Pages) 216
12	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable M. Scott Rankin)
13	Exhibit No. 30 (112 Pages) 218
14	(Affidavit)
15	Exhibit No. 31 (22 Pages) 246
16	(PDQ - The Honorable Michelle Hurley)
17	Exhibit No. 32 (6 Pages) 246
18	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Michelle Hurley)
19	Exhibit No. 33 (30 Pages) 249
20	(Affidavit)
21	Exhibit No. 34 (13 Pages) 281
22	(PDQ - The Honorable Joseph Smithdeal)
23	Exhibit No. 35 (6 Pages) 281
24	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable Joseph Smithdeal)
25	

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning.
3	MR. BUXTON: Good morning.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, sir.
5	MR. BUXTON: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, raise your right hand.
7	WILLIAM BUXTON, having been first duly sworn,
8	was examined and testified as follows:
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your name for the record.
10	MR. BUXTON: William Andrew Wallace Buxton.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And make sure that
12	light's on and you're yeah, perfect. Before
13	we get into the weeds, I notice you brought in
14	someone with you.
15	MR. BUXTON: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Would you like to introduce your
17	guest?
18	MR. BUXTON: This is my wife, Eliza Buxton.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Ms. Buxton. Thank you so
20	much. Mr. Buxton, you've never screened before,
21	so this will be the first time you've heard it.
22	Surely you've read it. But in our efforts to
23	screen you, obviously you've got a PDQ and a
24	sworn statement. Those have to come into the
25	record. Are those ready to go in?

MR. BUXTON	N: Yes, sir.
	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 MARKED FOR
	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (17
	pages) PDQ)
	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 MARKED FOR
	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
	Sworn Statement)
CHAIRMAN F	RANKIN: All right. Ms. Putnam will get
those	e and hand those to the court reporter. We
look	at the nine evaluative criteria, which
inclu	udes your ballot box survey, thorough study
of yo	our application materials, verification of
your	compliance with state ethics laws, search
of ne	ewspaper articles in which your name
appea	ars, and look for economic conflicts of
inter	rest. No affidavits or complaints have been
filed	d in opposition to your candidacy, and so we
will	open this up for questions by staff counsel
Ms. H	Hall, and then other members of the
commi	ission will have questions if they like. We
will	turn it over to her now.
MR. BUXTON	N: Yes, sir.
	EXAMINATION
MS. HALL:	
Q. Good morni	ing, Mr. Buxton.
will MR. BUXTON	turn it over to her now. N: Yes, sir.

A. Good morning.

- Q. Please state for the record the city and circuit in which you reside.
- A. Sumter and Third Circuit.
  - MS. HALL: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, William Buxton meets the statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
- Q. Mr. Buxton, why do you want to serve as a family court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?
- A. My family court practice has been the majority of my practice in all my years of practicing, and I have played almost every role in the family court. I've played a mediator, plaintiff's counsel, defense counsel, guardian ad litem, and I have also represented -- been appointed to represent clients on indigent defense cases. I've been doing that for about ten years, and it has enabled me to be able to see the other side of a lot of family court. I feel like I've had the experience and knowledge.

Q. Thank you. Are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Yes, and I've thought about that. In the juvenile arena, I would need some experience. As I stated in my PDQ, I've seen ancillary cases from abuse and neglect cases where children or juveniles have had cases, but I haven't had any hands-on experience. Ι would probably definitely be sitting on juvenile I'm a member of the bench bar committee, hearings. and that is kind of the grassroots in how to handle juvenile, the whole system, and I've learned so much from that so far, and it's rather exciting to see what the future holds. And I would take every opportunity to learn as much as I could from my colleagues and however would best equip me to handle iuveniles.
- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex, contested family court matters, and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- A. In complex matters, I've dealt with complex matters of divorce, settlements, and custody situations, third-party custody situations, grandparent custody

situations. Financial matters, I have leaned on
forensic accountants, custodial evaluators, to help
go through the finances of a certain case on both
sides. I would certainly lean on professionals
outside of our profession that would help me get to
the bottom of any financial matters that need to be
discovered.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On to the ballot box questions. Mr. Buxton, the Q. commission received 160 ballot box surveys regarding you with 26 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. Bill Buxton is an excellent candidate for the family court bench. He has the perfect temperament for the courtroom that is often filled with stressful situations. Bill is never quick to judge a situation and will take time to listen to both sides and provide a fair and impartial ruling. And Bill Buxton would be an excellent family court He is highly intelligent and conscientious with a wit that would appropriately balance the pressures of family court proceedings with an ability to make participants in such proceedings feel more at There were no negative comments. ease.

MS. HALL: On to the Citizens Committee. I would note that the PeeDee Citizens Committee found

William Buxton qualified in the criteria of 1 2 constitutional qualifications, physical health, 3 and mental stability, and well qualified in the 4 criteria of ethical fitness, professional and 5 academic ability, character, reputation, 6 experience, and judicial temperament. 7 Q. We just have a few housekeeping issues now. 8 Buxton, are you aware that as a judicial candidate 9 you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as 10 found in Rule 501 in the South Carolina Appellate 11 Court Rules? 12 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you **Q.** 14 contacted any members of the Commission about your 15 candidacy? 16 Α. No, ma'am. 17 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the Q. 18 limitations on contacting members of the General 19 Assembly regarding your screening? 20 Α. Yes, ma'am. 21 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 22 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 23 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of

your screening?

No, ma'am.

24

25

Α.

1 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members 2 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 3 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 4 process on your behalf? 5 Α. No, ma'am. I have not. 6 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 7 commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina 8 Code 2-19-70(E)? 9 Yes, ma'am. Α. 10 I would just note for the record that any MS. HALL: 11 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into 12 13 the questioning of the candidate today. 14 Chairman, I have no further questions. 15 Representative Jordan, thank you. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 19 Mr. Buxton, so many questions, so little time. Good Q. 20 to see you this morning. 21 Α. Morning. 22 I want to ask you just to get a flavor. 0. 23 trying to transition from advocate to judge. 24 to get a sense of how you would handle certain issues 25 if you were on the bench. So put yourself in those

1 shoes. You've got a husband and wife going through a 2 difficult divorce. You find out that, let's say, the 3 husband, he likes to get home before the wife and 4 hide in the closet and jump out at the wife, scared 5 her to death. Is that something that you would take 6 for what it is, a real travesty? I can't find the 7 words of how bad that is, but how would you handle 8 such a situation?

- A. I think that all depends on her reaction.
- 10 Q. What about in the event that the husband decided to serve dog food in the form of pate as a prank?
- 12 A. Somewhat intolerable.

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Intolerable, good. Glad you see it that way. No, in all seriousness, I look back. I see and remember, actually, because we go back, went to law school together. I know you worked at Curtis and Croft for years, and I think you did some real estate, probate. That had to help prepare you in part for the family court world, correct?
- A. It did, and more than I thought it would. And just to expand a little bit, with the real estate, of course, we deal with equitable division, the homes, and I can read a HUD, and so that is important when it comes to divorces and the division of marital assets. And with the probate, I have good contacts

that are pediatricians in Sumter that have referred me to handle guardianships and conservatorships for, say, autistic children that are turning 18 to make sure there's no lapse in time for their authority to take care of their children. So both the probate work and the real estate work, I believe, have enabled me to look at cases in a different light. And then you seem to have transitioned more so to the Q. family court world in the last decade or so of your 

family court world in the last decade or so of your legal career. I always get a sense of it takes a special kind of skill set, compassion, and whatnot to be in that family court world. You seem to have been very successful in that when we look at these ballot box surveys, to see no negative comments is a tremendous credit to yourself. But what keeps you in that family court world as far as practicing in there for ten years? Is it just a passion for you?

A. I would say I was very humbled by a lot of the comments. You're always -- sometimes, you know, it's a road of waxing and waning confidence as far as are you handling it correctly and doing the best for your client. For me, I think that I provide a steady hand for such chaos in the family court. And any time someone's walking in the family court, any litigant, it's chaos. It's upheaval up there. We hold the

1 family near and dear, each one of us. And to see 2 someone going through this is difficult, and I've got 3 to keep a level head. And I need to be able to hold 4 their hand while also being very disciplined. 5 colleagues, I think, a lot of times you see different 6 levels of experience in how you handle that. And one 7 thing I do or I try to do with a lot of my clients 8 when they first come in and they've retained me is I ask them to write an affidavit from the perspective 9 10 of their significant other or their spouse. 11 sometimes they can't do it, but it gives them insight and it gives me insight. 12

- 13 Q. As to how the process is going to --
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. -- affect the individuals you're dealing with.
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Lastly, will you promise not to use any fake insects or rodents in your courtroom for purposes of -- for any purpose.
- 20 A. That's a difficult promise, but I'll make that 21 promise.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Buxton.
- 23 MR. BUXTON: Yes, sir. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver.
- 25 MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 EXAMINATION

- 2 | BY MS. MCIVER:
- 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Buxton.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. Welcome.
- 6 A. Thank you.
- 7 I wanted to follow up on some of what Representative Q. 8 Jordan was talking to you about, and not so much some 9 of the antics that you may have used in various 10 But really to commend you for your circumstances. 11 ballot box comments. That is an opportunity for 12 people to sling mud anonymously, to say whatever it 13 is they want to say. So whoever you have pranked or 14 not pranked in the past must have realized that it 15 was all in fun. And I want to commend you for that. 16 It's rare to see a candidate come through with zero 17 negative comments. So well done.
- 18 A. Thank you. Thank you.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. For the record, I have known Mr. Buxton since he and his wife moved to Sumter. My father practiced in the family court for most of his career, and I've never seen him take to a young lawyer like he did to Bill Buxton. And he was very appreciative of the skill set that he brought, not only from an academic side, and he mentioned how he was creative in working with

1 the other side -- working with his clients to get 2 them to write an affidavit from the perspective of 3 the other side. He comes up with interesting 4 creative strategies for his clients, but he also 5 takes great care in dealing with his clients. 6 very close to his family, as I am and as my father 7 is, and my dad has been very complimentary of the 8 work that he has done with family court. Bill enjoys 9 an excellent reputation in our community. People go 10 to him, lawyers who have questions. I've recently --11 not too recently, but been to Bill with help for a 12 client who was aging and really didn't have much 13 money, but we needed help with a will, health care 14 power of attorney, those types of things, and Bill 15 was there with the upmost of professionalism and 16 courtesy for this client. And so I think you would 17 be an excellent addition to the bench. I thank you 18 for offering to run. And so that I'm not just 19 pouring nice compliments onto you, I want to give you 20 an opportunity to address the body and tell us why 21 Why do you feel like this is a good time for you to run for this position? 22 23 Yes, ma'am. I think that it was a door of Α. 24

opportunity that I think -- I hoped it would probably I've had -- I've been able to watch our judges open.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the Third Circuit and even in other circuits that I've practiced in, and they have really kind of been mentors to me in a way, and I've wanted to be able to emulate some of them, their reasoning, their level And for me, I feel like I've covered so many different areas of the family court. It's a service job, and my first job out of college was service oriented for the citizens of South Carolina, and I learned so much, and I was raised in a family where it was service and do the right thing. It's not always about the bottom line on the financial dollar, and I've learned that over the years, but I feel like I have -- I try to provide some levity outside of certain situations, and I can be a bit of a prankster sometimes, but it's to keep moving forward with these very serious issues that enter the family court, the abuse and neglect cases, the bloody divorces, and the children that are affected. And that has to be one of the main reasons, too, is that I think I see how children are affected. I've been doing it long enough where now I see the children I was a quardian case on or was in a certain case, they're having their own children, and I see what a divorce can do to children, and it really helps shape the adult. And I think a judge has a strong power in to be able

to help effectuate that child becoming a good
citizen, a good community member, a leader, educated,
and I've tried to take my more tough clients that are
down and out and give them inspiration and hope and
help them find it. And I know, for example, for one
was a military wife and that's another area where
I feel like I'm primed, is that I've dealt with the
military, military divorces, and with having a large
base in Sumter County, and I've had to learn some of
the military law with the Quadros or the Survivor
Benefit Plan, what may be the years of service, the
20-20-20 rules. That's all foreign if you don't have
a base near you. And so I've had to take CLEs or
become familiar with all of those ins and outs of the
military. But it's the children, and I think that
being on the bench bar committee, I'm learning so
much about the new juvenile center, really how we
handle it all, our caseloads and DSS. So I feel like
I am in a position with being on the Family Law
Council that I'm seeing it in 360, and I feel like
it's almost a calling in a way. It's not something
that people readily want to jump into unless you have
the experience. So I've seen every aspect. I've
been able to hold clients' hands, children's hands,
in my guardian work. And so I feel like in totality

1 I'm ready for this with my experience, and I just 2 thank the Lord that He's been able to help me be a 3 steady hand. 4 MS. MCIVER: Thank you very much, and thank you for 5 offering. All right, any other questions of 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 7 Mr. Buxton? Mr. Safran. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. SAFRAN: 10 Yeah, I came in a little bit late, but I'm starting Q. 11 to wonder how much of Judge Scarborough rubbed off on 12 you. 13 Well, I'd like to say a lot. He did -- I remember 14 when I first told him that I was going to be 15 practicing family law, he looked at me and he said 16 Billy, that's the only area of the law you've got to 17 be willing to take a bullet. And so he was a great 18 mentor and guide to me in my early, early years. 19 It sounds like to me you've kind of gone to the next Q. 20 step, recognizing that it's not just a matter of 21 being your client's attorney in a lot of situations, but you almost have to become like their coach. 22 23 You've got to basically pump them up when they're 24 You've got to basically get them ready for the 25 I mean, it's a lot more than just simply

next steps.

2

**3** 

5

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

2324

25

saying I'm going to handle the legal stuff for you.

I mean, you've got to kind of take them on. And it sounds like that's kind of what you've recognized.

I've had a few clients say -- years later I've run into them and they said, Mr. Buxton, Mr. Buxton, I just want to thank you so much for what you did. And I said, well, certainly, it's part of my And they said no, you've changed my life. said I didn't change your life. You changed your life. But I want to be able to have some of these people that are going through such horrible times be able to see it from a different perspective. is life after divorce. There's life after custody And to see them improve. And the one I think of in mind, she's now a court reporter. she came to my office, and for lack of a better description, looked like a bit of a wet rat, had a hard time expressing. And she had left the military with a disability, but was still married, had one child. And she was fighting for this child. But her husband was overseas, and he was purchasing the groceries for her, telling her to go pick them up. He had such control. And I told her, I said, when you leave my office, I want you to go straight to Central Carolina, and I want you to pick up a

brochure. And don't do it online. And just circle the things that interest you. That's a start. And so I've watched her grow, and I see her now. She lives in Charleston, and I've actually walked in the courtroom before where she's been a reporter once or twice. But to see somebody's transformation and find the confidence, and sometimes that's all they need is just a little spark.

- Q. The next question is really the most important. How can you envision letting that translate to being able to try to do the same thing from the bench? Because I'm assuming you're not going to change your personality. You're still going to have that same feeling about people. And I think what we hear a lot of times, unfortunately, when we have complaints, is that they feel like, you know, they're looking at, you know, the great and powerful Oz up there that nobody cares. How are you going to be able to maybe transition that?
- A. I've thought about that, and I don't think that I would change. Fortunately and unfortunately, I have a very, very compassionate heart, and I think sometimes opposing counsel can take that as a weakness maybe. But I would help guide even the most angry litigants, but it's being able to see both

1 And what I try to do in my practice now is 2 put myself in the other party's shoes, put myself in the other attorney's shoes. What is it out there 3 4 that I need to see? So I want to be able to help 5 both sides, but with a firm hand and a steady hand, 6 and help quide everyone that's involved in the case. 7 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you. 8 MR. BUXTON: Yes, sir. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Mr. Buxton. 10 questions you have of your neighbor or your 11 classmate from law school? You can't ask 12 questions. Let me interrupt. 13 I can think of many, but I won't ask MR. BUXTON: 14 any. 15 Ms. Buxton, any questions you'd CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 16 like me to ask of your husband while he's under Very well. All right, thank you so much. 17 18 And this will conclude this portion of the 19 screening. As you have read, don't know it 2.0 firsthand, but we do guard very seriously the 21 ethics laws. Any violation of the letter or the 22 spirit of the ethics laws would be considered 23 very serious by us. We don't anticipate that 24 occurring, but you need to know and acknowledge 25 that this record is not closed until the formal

1	release of the report of qualifications. You
2	understand that, right?
3	MR. BUXTON: Yes, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank y'all very much for being
5	here right on time. And y'all have a safe trip
6	back home.
7	MR. BUXTON: And I thank y'all for what you do.
8	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: All of us, really? Him
9	too?
10	MR. BUXTON: I do.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we're off the record.
12	We're off the record.
13	(Off the record)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning, sir.
15	MR. KINNEY: Good morning.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will, please raise your
17	right hand.
18	EDWIN KINNEY, having been first duly sworn, was
19	examined and testified as follows:
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your name for the record,
21	please.
22	MR. KINNEY: My name is Edwin Thompson Kinney.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And you have some folks
24	that you brought with you. Would you like to
25	introduce them now?

1	MR. KINNEY: Yes, sir. This is my wife, Ashley. And
2	this is my brother, Will Kinney.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Seems like we've seen that guy
4	before.
5	MR. KINNEY: You may have seen him around the state
6	house before, yes. Yes, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You have two documents,
8	the PDQ and the sworn statement. Are those
9	ready to come into the record without objection?
10	MR. KINNEY: Yes, sir. Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 3 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (19
13	pages) PDQ)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 4 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (2 pages)
16	Amendment)
17	(EXHIBIT NO. 5 MARKED FOR
18	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
19	Sworn Statement)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Thank you. You have
21	screened before? Never?
22	MR. KINNEY: No, sir. This is my first time.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Correct. And so you've not seen
24	this process. You've read about it, perhaps.
25	But we, in our vetting of your candidacy,

1 Representative Safran over there, makes us do a 2 lot of work. And that includes the nine 3 evaluative criteria, which includes, as you 4 know, the ballot box survey, a thorough study of 5 your application materials, a check for economic 6 conflicts of interest, search of newspaper 7 articles in which your name appears, and a 8 verification of your compliance with the state 9 ethics laws. You have no one here filing an 10 affidavit or complaint in opposition to your 11 campaign or your candidacy. And so we're going 12 to turn it over to Ms. Trask for questions. 13 We'll be as brief as we can and as thorough as 14 we need to be. And I look forward to your 15 testimony. And Ms. Trask, take it away. 16 EXAMINATION 17 MS. TRASK: 18 Good morning, Mr. Kinney. 0. 19 Good morning. Α. 20 Please state for the record the city and circuit in Ο. 21 which you reside. 22 So currently I reside in Richland County in Columbia, Α. 23 but I'm running for a seat in Sumter in the Third 24 Judicial Circuit. 25 MS. TRASK: Mr. Chairman, for purposes of the record,

this seat is located in the Third Circuit, which incorporates the following counties, Clarendon, Lee, Sumter, and Williamsburg. Under South Carolina Code Section 63-3-30, no person shall be eligible to the office of family court judge who is not at the time of his assuming the duties of such office a citizen of the United States and of this state, and has not attained the age of 32 years, has not been a licensed attorney at law for at least 8 years, and has not been a resident of this state for 5 years next preceding his election, and is not a resident of the circuit wherein the family court of which he is a judge is located.

- Q. Mr. Kinney, please share with the commission what your intentions are in applying for this seat and what you have done at this point to comply with this statute.
- A. Yes, I'm born and raised in -- born and raised in Sumter, and I work in Sumter now. That's where I operate my law firm. I've been back there full-time working since 2020. I have -- now I'm under contract on a home in Sumter. When I started this process, it was always my intention to move back if I was elected, of course. But now, with my wife's support,

1 we've decided as a family that we're moving no matter 2 what. As of about a week ago, we're under contract 3 on a house downtown Sumter, so we're really, really 4 excited about moving back. So this process has sort 5 of crystallized our intention as a family, and I'm 6 really excited about the opportunity to move home. 7 It's where my father lives, my sister, where my 8 wife's family lives as well. So Sumter is my home, 9 and we are moving back. 10 Thank you. Q. 11 MS. TRASK: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MS. TRASK: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Edwin Thompson Kinney meets the statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
- Q. Mr. Kinney, why do you want to serve as a family court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?
- A. Well, I want to serve as a family court judge because I really feel called to serve my community, our state, and the legal community. When this came open -- you know, I had thought about it in the past, but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it wasn't something that really was on my radar until I found out that Judge Bultman's seat was coming open because of his retirement. And I -- you know, I really felt called to put my hat in the ring and to offer myself for service, and I'm very excited about the opportunity. I feel like I have really broad experience in family court. I've done pretty much everything there is to do in family court. You know, private cases, divorces, child custody. represented a lot of litigants in family court. serve as a guardian ad litem in a lot of contested custody cases. I'm a certified family court mediator, and I've done a lot of mediations. a lot of experience in DSS cases. For five years I was a contract attorney where I represented parents -- appointed to represent parents in abuse and neglect and TPR cases. And also I was a former criminal I did contract cases and criminal defense attorney. defense, including juveniles. And now I'm the juvenile prosecutor in Sumter on a part-time basis. So I run the juvenile docket in Sumter and now Clarendon County as of a month ago. I run the iuvenile docket. I prosecute all cases in juvenile court for about a year now in Sumter County. really feel like I have a wide experience in family

court, and I've really done everything there is to do in family court. And lastly, I feel like I have the temperament to be a judge. You know, I'm really excited about the opportunity. And even though I wasn't looking for this job, I really felt called for the opportunity. And I think it's a vocation and a career where it would be very fulfilling. And it's something that I could devote myself to and become the best family court judge that I could be.

- Q. Mr. Kinney, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge? And how would you handle that additional preparation?
- A. Well, as I said before, you know, I've been fortunate to have experience in all areas of family court. But one area where I don't do as much just because of my geography being in Sumter, the high net worth divorces. I've certainly handled some, but I haven't had as much experience with those. However, I think I have the knowledge of the law and the concepts to divide, you know, property and debt, and I've done a lot of that. But I think that's an area where, you know, I would really rely on the litigants and the attorneys appearing in front of me and would be able to, you know, get up to speed and would be able to

1 serve, you know, those types of cases as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- So I've been involved in a lot of really complex Α. child custody cases. I've been a -- you know, I've been a quardian ad litem in several cases where relocation was an issue, where people were trying to relocate across the world, literally across the world to Guam in one case, and, you know, relocate to another state. And so those have been some very contested cases I've been a part of. Also cases where one parent is alleged to be unfit, and they're dealing with psychological experts, custody evaluators, and things like that. So I have a lot of experience in custody, really complex custody cases. I also have experience being in Sumter and dealing with a lot of military divorces, where we're dealing with division of military retirements, division of, you know, thrift savings plans, and those cases also bring a certain level of complexity, knowing that families are going to get PCS'd to a new location in a certain number of years. So those cases in particular, in my practice, have been some of the

1 most complex that I've dealt with. And I feel like I 2 have really valuable experience in the military side 3 of things as well. 4 Mr. Kinney, the Commission received 153 ballot box 0. 5 surveys regarding you with 22 additional comments. 6 The ballot box survey, for example, contained the 7 following positive comments. He is an outstanding 8 lawyer with the right blend of intelligence and 9 temperament to be an excellent family court judge. 10 And he has a reputation of being very fair and 11 trustworthy. 12 MS. TRASK: I would note that the Pee Dee Citizens 13 Committee found Mr. Kinney qualified in the 14 evaluative criteria of constitutional 15 qualifications, physical health, and mental 16 stability. The committee found him well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 17 18 fitness, professional and academic ability, 19 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 20 temperament. 21 For some housekeeping matters. Mr. Kinney, are you Q. aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by 22 23 the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of 24 the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

25

Α.

Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?

  A. No, I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
  10 sought or received the pledge of any legislator
  11 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of
  12 your screening?
- 13 A. No, ma'am.
- 14 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
  15 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
  16 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
  17 process on your behalf?
- 18 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
  Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina
  Code Section 2-19-70E?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. TRASK: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into

1 the questioning of the candidate today. 2 Chairman, I have no further questions. 3 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, questions by members of 4 the Commission? Senator Garrett. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY SENATOR GARRETT: 7 Q. Thank you. Thank you for offering for this most 8 important job. What's your general thoughts about 9 joint custody, custody just generally? Tell me about 10 the statute first, and then tell me how you, in all 11 of your fights -- by now, I guess you've probably 12 got, what, 50 or so in custody battles under your 13 belt? 14 Yes, sir. You know, in my experience, I think, you Α. 15 know, joint custody is something that people often agree to, and that's sort of, in my experience, the 16 17 most common way it comes about by agreement. 18 there is case law out there and a feeling among many 19 judges that joint custody is not favored. And if you go to a trial, that's a real risk that litigants 20 21 face, in that one parent is going to have -- the 22 Court is encouraged to give one parent, you know, the 23 final say on major decisions. And so I actually did 24 a mediation with a retired judge recently who had a

case overturned on that specific topic, and that is

1 an issue that I've dealt with a lot. And in my view, 2 I would be very careful to follow the law on that, 3 the statutory law and the case law. However, 4 oftentimes I do think when a case gets to trial and 5 it's not settled and there is that much conflict 6 between parents, you know, I think sometimes it is prudent for one parent to have the authority, the 7 8 decision-making authority, and that is inherent in 9 sole custody. But I do think that oftentimes people 10 misunderstand the idea of custody and conflate it 11 with visitation. And so I think as a judge it's 12 important to keep those two issues separate. You 13 know, have the authority lane, which is custody, and 14 then a parenting plan and time with the child. 15 do -- it is something I see a lot, and I think it's 16 something that most of the time it comes out of an 17 agreement, frankly. That is my experience with --18 Well, they're parents before they come to you, and Q. 19 actually sometimes it's almost like judges don't need 20 to tell people how to raise their children, but you 21 have some situations where they're going to fight 22 about it regardless. 23 Yes, sir. Α.

in the child's best interest.

And so you have to make decisions, of course, what's

24

25

Q.

1 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

18

- Q. I'm working on some adoption things and otherwise, and the first thing we do is we go to the natural parents, then we go to biological, and then we go to psychological.
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. What's your thoughts about a psychological parent?
  - A. Senator, I think there's certain -- I have come across that in relative custody and grandparent custody is the way that grandparents get custody often.
- 12 Q. Do they have rights, grandparents, in South Carolina?
- A. The grandparent rights are very limited, Senator. I
  mean, there is a statute. However, it is, I know
  from personal experience of a case I had recently,
  that it is very hard for grandparents to get
  visitation with a --
  - Q. Once every 90 days, and then also if they fail, they can get hit with attorney fees.
- A. Right. I believe the law -- the statutory law and the case law is pretty clear that the grandparents, in order to get court order visitation when there is a fit parent, you have to meet a very, very high burden. I've never seen it met, frankly, in my experience. Grandparents have -- grandparents'

- rights come through the parents, and that's really the way it should be.
- 3 Q. It's lineal, as they call it.
- A. Yes, sir. There's a constitutional right to raise
  your children how you see fit, and including not
  seeing your grandparents if that's the parent's
  decision. I think there's very rare circumstances
  where a grandparent would get court ordered
  yisitation.
- 10 | Q. Okay.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then we're trying to move the adoption thing a little faster.
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. We're trying to draw a law. Of course, you're not in the position of legislating from the bench. You recognize that.
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. You have to follow the letter of the law. But I'm
  20 just interested in the 50 or so cases that you've
  21 probably tried. It's the question of we're trying to
  22 speed up termination of parental rights, go to the
  23 natural parent if at all possible,--
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. -- then to the biological, either side --

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. -- of the biological parents, then go to a
- 3 psychological parent, and then also go to a
- 4 third-party adoption.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And try to do these all on the same path. So when a
- 7 judge makes a decision that the parents aren't going
- 8 to get the child and then makes a decision that the
- 9 biological, there's nobody there, and then there's no
- 10 psychological parent.
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And then now we've got a third party. So if all that
- was being done at the same time through the same
- quardian ad litem, who has the first responsibility
- 15 to the child, --
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. -- wouldn't that speed up the process?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. It sounds like it would.
- 19 Q. Okay. It's something that we're working on to try to
- 20 help. But, again, those of us who have been in the
- 21 trenches fighting these custody things. Thank you
- 22 for offering. Custody is a tough thing, and our
- 23 first responsibility is always, make sure you
- remember this, is the best interest of those
- 25 children. I don't care about the parents when I'm in

- 1 the courtroom.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 | Q. I really care about the babies.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.
- 6 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 7 EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. SAFRAN:
- 9 Q. Just very briefly. I've read the ballot boxes, and I
  think they're really great.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it's also very telling when somebody who is
  working in a position as DSS or an assistant
  solicitor, is getting compliments from people who are
  on the other side. Let's just be honest about that.
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And you seem to be very articulate. I mean, they
  18 talk about your candor, your intelligence, and your
  19 reasonableness, which are all positive. You can
  20 check those boxes. I just have a question. You're
  21 not even 40. You're practicing 11 years.
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Effectively, you're asking, eventually, for a job
  that you'll effectively keep the rest of your working
  life, okay.

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why should we do that?
- 3 Well, Mr. Safran, I feel like I'm -- I felt called to Α. 4 put myself out there. I felt like I would be -- I 5 love my law practice. I love running a law firm. Ι 6 love practicing law. But this is probably the one 7 thing that I would give that up for. And one of the 8 things I really would be able to focus, and not have 9 to worry about bringing in new clients and worry 10 about balancing the books and the staff. I just love 11 the idea of being able to devote myself into growing 12 into a role because I would have to grow into it. 13 I'm 38, and I'm on the younger end of You're right. 14 people seeking this job. But it really excites me to 15 be able to, you know, develop into a great family 16 court judge who could serve the rest of my career and 17 could make an impact on the legal community in our 18 state.
  - Q. Let me ask you just -- I heard some comments during this week that made it sound like I'm tired of the pressure and the hullabaloo of doing the day-to-day practice of law. Do you really envision that it's going to be any easier once you get on the bench?
  - A. I don't. I think it will be different. I think -- I think there's a very real weight -- a weightiness, if

1 you will, and pressure that comes with being a family 2 court judge or any type of judge, but especially when 3 you see -- you know, when you deal with children. 4 do think it will be a different type of work, which 5 excites me, but I agree with you. I don't think it's 6 going to be some break. I think it's just going to 7 be a different set of challenges, and that's exciting 8 to me at this point in my career. But, again, I 9 think I have two good outcomes, and I'm fortunate to 10 be in that position where I like what I do, but I 11 really want this as well.

- 12 Q. Let me ask you lastly.
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

- Q. And it's not about you per se. I have sat here as somebody who dabbled in family court many years ago and wouldn't be caught dead there now. Hearing from family court judges who seem very, very regimented and almost obsessed with the idea of the time limitations that get put on the schedule.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And at times I've asked them, well, I don't think I'm that far removed, and I always understood that that first hearing, for instance, that temporary hearing is likely going to set 95 percent of the tone of what's going to happen at the end, okay.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And yet we're looking at the clock.
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. We're not even letting lawyers, some of these judges, open their mouths to even make an argument. That's
- 6 what they're being paid for.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That's what they're hired for. And effectively it
  may boil down to who's got the best ability to write
  a good affidavit.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. I mean, what do you think in terms of priority? I

  13 mean, is it a matter of making sure the train runs on

  14 time, or is it a matter of saying, no, I'm here to

  15 try to be fair and do some justice today, knowing the

  16 implications that are going to come from this first

  17 hearing?
  - A. Yes, sir, I think you're exactly right. I think there's a balance there. I mean, there's one thing to be on time -- start on time and try to keep on schedule. But I agree with you that -- the way I would like to think I would operate is that I would prioritize the serious issues of the day. And one thing I've seen done is schedule it for later that week. Reset -- like we're going to take it -- we're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to stop right now, and y'all come back on Friday. You know, family court has a -- especially in my home county, you know, there's not a lot done on Friday afternoons, and that's not the world I live in now, and I'd be willing to come back. So I think that's one, being flexible with the schedule as a judge, saying that oh, if we don't finish right now, but y'all come back later and we'll arque. setting another temporary hearing. Judge Jarrett, who's a sort of mentor of mine, he does this thing where on a super contested custody case, he'll appoint a quardian ad litem and he'll set another supplemental hearing within 45 days where it's not like this oh, y'all come back if you want to, but it's like no, we're doing it in 45 days. He'll call the quardian, and he's called me on my cell phone and said can you do this? Do a preliminary investigation and we'll come back. So I think that's a way to alleviate that is to sort of, for a judge to be aware when this -- because I agree with you that temporary hearings can set the tone for a case. And giving them 15 minutes cut off, you know, read these packets, where people are saying completely opposite things, I don't -- I don't like -- think that's a great way to do things. So I think there's ways that

- 1 the judge can help solve that problem.
  - Q. And what struck me when we went through this process some years ago, it seems like this year is when all of the family court judges are coming back through.
- 5 A. Yep.

3

4

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I asked the question a lot. Candidly, the judges
  who gave me the response similar to what you're
  saying are the ones that are pretty well held out as
  being the best.
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. They say, you know what, I don't care about the time.
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. We'll do what we have to do. If we've got to come
  back, we come back. But, I mean, I think they looked
  at it as a matter of saying hey, I'm here to deal
  with the substance of the litigation as opposed to
  making sure, you know, that I'm walking out of here
  at a certain hour.
  - A. Yes, sir. I know different counties are different with -- I mean, Greenville, probably from 9:30 to 5:00, there's a hearing schedule with an hour for lunch. But luckily in our circuit, time is a little more flexible. So there's really no excuse in my opinion for, you know, cutting it off when serious issues are being -- need to be considered.

- 1 Q. Last question. You seem to be a very caring person. 2 You seem to be thoughtful. I seem to get the 3 impression in listening, some of these people come 4 out of a family court situations and they're upset, 5 and necessarily somebody is not going to get what 6 they want in there often. But I think what makes it 7 worse is they don't feel like somebody is really kind 8 of listening. They don't feel like somebody is 9 really hearing hey, this is the worst of my life is 10 going on right now.
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. At least showing some level of concern.
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I understand when you've got 20 cases that are set. You know, there's a tendency and a temptation, you know, to make it like next in line, next in line.
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.

- 18 | Q. But that's not the role of family court judges.
- 19 A. No, sir. I think it's very important to remember
  20 these are real people. And, you know, the ultimate
  21 responsibility is to apply the law fairly and do
  22 justice, but it doesn't mean you can't be kind to
  23 people and treat them with respect.
  - Q. At least let them know that you understand what's at stake.

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAFRAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver.

MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't know Mr. Kinney as well as some of his older siblings. I'm a good bit older, but I am from the same hometown, and I have asked his mother and father before if they could please give me the manual for what they did to raise such outstanding children. All of these Kinney children are just outstanding. Thompson's older sister taught my children at the school where they go, coached basketball, and they really are just excellent leaders. I'm thrilled to hear you're moving back to Sumter. That's wonderful And I did want to point out some of your news. letters of recommendation that I saw. You had one from Joe McElveen, who is a former mayor in Sumter. His son served in the Senate, Thomas McElveen, for quite some time. Mayor McElveen had wonderful things to say about you, as did Brian McLeod, who is with John McDougall's practice over in Sumter, and he is a very well-thought-of attorney in the Sumter community. Eddie Donald, who is the public

1 defender. He and I actually practiced in the 2 family court together before I ran, like Mr. 3 Safran did, away from the family court. 4 know that Eddie knows what it takes to be a good 5 family court lawyer and a good family court 6 judge, and so I want to commend you for the work 7 that you've done. Thank you for coming back to 8 Sumter. 9 MR. KINNEY: Yes, ma'am. 10 We need -- we need good lawyers and good MS. MCIVER: 11 judges and good families, so I look forward to 12 having y'all back in the Sumter community, and I 13 want to thank you for running. 14 Thank you so much. MR. KINNEY: 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Unless there are any 16 other questions, this will close this portion of 17 the screening process. Mr. Kinney, you, having 18 not done this before, surely know what is 19 required, though, and you understand that we 2.0 could call you back if there was any question of 21 a violation or the appearance of impropriety regarding our ethics laws in our state. 22 23 MR. KINNEY: Yes, sir. 24 The formal release of the report of CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 25 qualifications does not come out until later.

1	The record will remain open, and I need you to
2	affirmatively state that you do understand that.
3	MR. KINNEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I understand.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right, thank you so
5	much for offering.
6	MR. KINNEY: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And nice to meet your wife and then
8	that other guy. That would be your brother.
9	MR. KINNEY: Yes, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We've seen him before. Also a nice
11	guy.
12	MR. KINNEY: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Y'all have a great day.
14	MR. KINNEY: Thank you so much. Thank y'all.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We'll go off the record for Judge
16	Khoury, I believe, is next.
17	(Off the record)
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, good morning.
19	JUDGE KHOURY: Good morning.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Please raise your right hand.
21	COREEN KHOURY, having been first duly sworn, was
22	examined and testified as follows:
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. State your name for the
24	record.
25	JUDGE KHOURY: My name is Coreen Khoury.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome back. You brought a guest
2	with you today.
3	JUDGE KHOURY: I did. My husband, Jeff Hammond.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Nice seeing you again, sir. Thank
5	you so much.
6	MR. HAMMOND: Good to see you.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have the PDQ and the sworn
8	statement. Are those ready to be introduced
9	into the record?
10	JUDGE KHOURY: Yes, sir.
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (15
13	pages) PDQ)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
16	Sworn Statement)
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. They will be marked and
18	introduced. You know this process, and you know
19	what we do, what we try to do, and how we try to
20	improve and make the bench a better bench. We
21	have to focus on these nine evaluative criteria,
22	which includes the ballot box survey, thorough
23	study of your application materials, the
24	verification of your compliance with the state
25	ethics laws, search of newspaper articles in

1 which your name appears, check for economic conflicts of interest, and previous screenings. 2 The process involves all these touches. 3 4 Baker has been your attorney shepherding you 5 through this, so I'm going to turn it over to 6 her for questions and then any members of the 7 commission that would like to ask questions 8 themselves. No objections, no complaints have 9 been filed, and thus your husband is the only 10 witness here today to this proceeding. 11 you, Ms. Baker. 12 MS. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 EXAMINATION 14 MS. BAKER: 15 Judge Khoury, after serving over ten years on the Q. 16 family court, why do you want to continue serving as a family judge? 17 18 I feel like I have the skill set, the experience now, Α. 19 and also the temperament to be able to continue to do 20 the things that I've done over the last ten years and 21 will continue to do my best over the next six, 22 hopefully. 23 Thank you, Judge. Judge Khoury, in your previous Q. 24 screenings, you have been asked if there are any 25 concerns or conflicts with your husband serving as

1 the clerk of court, and you informed the commission 2 that there had not been any issues and no one had Since your last screening, have 3 raised any concerns. 4 you encountered any concerns or conflicts related to 5 your position as a judge and your husband's position as clerk of court? 6 7 The only thing that I've had over the last six years, Α. 8 I did have a lawyer come in who had indicated that 9 the opposing attorney had the last name of Hammond, 10 and the only thing they asked was were they related. 11 That attorney was from Greenville. We were not 12 related in any fashion whatsoever. But that is the 13 only comment, and Jeff has the great fortune this 14 year of retiring in December, so he did not have to 15 go through an election. So for the next six years, 16 he won't even be the clerk anymore. 17 Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge Khoury, you've indicated in 18 your PDQ that since your last screening, a lawsuit 19 was filed against you in 2023 in the Court of Common 20 Pleas Sixth Judicial Circuit by Jovan Keaton. 21 you please explain the nature and disposition of the suit? 22 23 Yes. That was a litigant who filed a lawsuit because Α.

I set child support. He did not believe I had the

authority to set child support, and then it indicated

24

1 that he had lost some land deal because of having to 2 pay child support. The case was actually dismissed 3 on a motion. 4 And Judge Khoury, you've also indicated in your PDO 0. 5 that since your last screening, a lawsuit was filed 6 against you in 2024 in the U.S. District Court by 7 Stephen Santos. Please explain the nature and the 8 status of the suit. 9 That is a lawsuit in federal court where the litigant Α. 10 believes that the best interest of the child standard 11 is not the standard that should be used but should 12 instead consider the fitness of the parent. 13 Currently, that action is still pending, and there 14 are motions to dismiss not only my case but the three 15 other defendants in that matter also. So it's still 16 pending. 17 Q. Thank you. And, Judge, what do you think your 18 reputation is among the attorneys that practice 19 before you? 20 Α. I think that I have a good reputation. I think they 21 consider me to be fair. I think they consider my 22 temperament to be okay. The only really sort of 23 complaints I've gotten is sometimes I let things go 24 on a little bit too long, maybe overly nice at times,

25

but I sort of err on the side of caution. I'd rather

be overly nice than cutting off people and thinking they may not have their day in court.

- Judge Khoury, the commission received 320 ballot box surveys regarding you with 42 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. Judge Khoury is an excellent judge. While she runs in no-nonsense courtrooms, she does it in a respectful and courteous manner. Great judicial temperament, she's simply an outstanding person and judge. None of the written comments expressed concerns. They were all positive.
  - MS. BAKER: I would note that the Piedmont Citizens

    Committee found Judge Khoury qualified in the
    evaluative criteria of constitutional
    qualifications, physical health, and mental
    stability. The committee found her well
    qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
    fitness, professional and academic ability,
    character, reputation, experience, and judicial
    temperament. The committee stated in summary,
    Judge Khoury is a conscientious, dedicated
    public servant who brings a wealth of family law
    experience to the family court bench. The
    committee strongly recommends that she be
    reelected to another term of service.

- Q. Judge, I just have a few housekeeping questions for
   you.
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the commission about your candidacy?
- 7 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the
   limitations on contacting members of the General
   Assembly regarding your screening?
- 11 | A. I am.
- 12 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
  13 sought or received the pledge of any legislator
  14 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of
  15 your screening?
- 16 A. I have not.
- 17 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
  18 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
  19 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in the
  20 process on your behalf?
- 21 A. I have not and I'm not aware.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
  commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina
  Code Section 2-19-70(E)?
- 25 | A. I do.

1 MS. BAKER: I would just note for the record that any 2 concerns raised during the investigation 3 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 4 the questioning of the candidate today. 5 Chairman, I have no further questions. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Members of the commission? Mr. Strom? 7 8 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, 9 Judge. 10 JUDGE KHOURY: Good morning. 11 Judge, we've spent a lot of time this MR. STROM: 12 week with judges who have deficiencies. We were 13 here until 7:30 last night and I want to report 14 to you, I don't see deficiencies. You're doing 15 an excellent job. As far as I'm concerned, I 16 don't have any questions, and all I have to say 17 is keep up the good work. 18 JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you. Appreciate it. 19 MR. STROM: And we want to save our time for others 2.0 we need to focus on. 21 JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you. 22 MR. STROM: Thank you. 23 JUDGE KHOURY: Yes, sir. 24 Mr. Safran. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me echo MR. SAFRAN:

1	what Mr. Strom said. I want to tell you this.
2	He and I both sat through a number of these
3	things over a lot of years, and what we see when
4	we see your resume, your record, is phenomenal,
5	okay? High praise when people don't say
6	anything negative, when they have every
7	opportunity to do it and nobody knows, okay?
8	You're doing a super job. And you are really
9	comparatively, when you see what other people
10	say about some of the other judges, you are
11	standing out in a way that very few do. So I
12	don't think you might truly appreciate it
13	because you're kind of humble anyway, but
14	understand this. It's real, and you should be
15	very proud of yourself, and I'm sure you are,
16	because what you're doing sets an example.
17	JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you.
18	MR. SAFRAN: And keep doing it.
19	JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley.
21	MS. BLACKLEY: Good morning.
22	JUDGE KHOURY: Good morning.
23	MS. BLACKLEY: So good to see you.
24	JUDGE KHOURY: You too.
25	MS. BLACKLEY: I want to echo what Andy just said. I

1	know I've had the pleasure of working with you
2	directly and it was always a pleasure. Your
3	ballot box comments are just wonderful. But I
4	would have to say, personally, you're just an
5	impeccable woman for putting up with him. I do
6	want to it's good to see you, Jeff.
7	MR. HAMMOND: Good to see you.
8	MS. BLACKLEY: Great counterpoint. I learned a lot
9	from him when I was working with him. Thank you
10	for coming back and offering yourself up again.
11	JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge, you can't get
13	much better.
14	JUDGE KHOURY: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Except out of here.
16	JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: But you can't leave yet. You know
18	the process here includes our adherence to both
19	the letter and the spirit of ethics law. Any
20	violation on your part or the appearance of
21	impropriety would warrant us calling you back,
22	because you know that the record is not closed
23	until the formal release of the report of
24	qualifications, correct?
25	JUDGE KHOURY: Correct.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being here early.
2	JUDGE KHOURY: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And thank you for your service with
4	a smile. And I can't help but believe all these
5	folks are saying all these kind of things about
6	you. If they were thinking them, that smile
7	just melts them into contentment, peace, and
8	acceptance of what you're doing in that
9	courtroom.
10	JUDGE KHOURY: Thank y'all.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take care. Y'all have a great day.
12	JUDGE KHOURY: Bye-bye. Appreciate it.
13	(Off the record)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning.
15	JUDGE MOSS: Good morning.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, how are you today?
17	JUDGE MOSS: Good, thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We so thank you for being here
19	early and appreciate your running for
20	re-election. Let me start by having you raise
21	your right hand.
22	ANGELA MOSS, having been first duly sworn, was
23	examined and testified as follows:
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have two documents ready to
25	enter into the record, the PDQ and the sworn

1	statement.
2	JUDGE MOSS: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any objections for us including
4	them?
5	JUDGE MOSS: No, sir.
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 MARKED FOR
7	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14
8	pages) PDQ)
9	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 MARKED FOR
10	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
11	Sworn Statement)
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: As a prior candidate and a sitting
13	judge, you know our process here by which we
14	look at your candidacy and the nine evaluative
15	criteria that we followed, which includes a
16	ballot box survey, thorough study of your
17	application materials, verification of your
18	compliance with the state ethics laws, a search
19	of previous screenings, search of newspaper
20	articles in which your name appears, and a check
21	for economic conflicts of interest. No
22	complainants and therefore no affidavits and
23	therefore no witnesses are here to testify
24	against you. You have met Ms. Baker, know Ms.
25	Baker. She's going to ask some questions and

1 perhaps other members of the commission, and so 2 we're going to turn it over to her. But thank 3 you for being here this morning. 4 And thank you for all that good news you JUDGE MOSS: 5 just gave me too. Yes, ma'am. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. BAKER: 7 EXAMINATION 8 MS. BAKER: 9 Good morning, Judge Moss. After serving for three Q. 10 years on the family court bench, why do you want to 11 continue serving as a family court judge? I consider it an honor and a privilege to serve as a 12 Α. 13 family court judge. It has been such a meaningful 14 and challenging role. Every day I go to work, I'm 15 excited to go to work. It's never dull, as you 16

probably can imagine. It's never dull, and the 17 effects of family court are far-reaching, and I do 18 not take that responsibility lightly. The things 19 we're dealing with in family court are things that 20 are most precious to people. We're dealing with 21 their children, their hearts, and their money, and 22 those are so important and so precious to people, and 23 I do not take that lightly at all. And I do 24 appreciate the opportunity and would like to continue

25

if you see fit.

- Q. Thank you, Judge Moss. Your SLED report listed several lawsuits for another individual named Angela Moss. You shared with the commission that out of the matters listed, there was only one suit within the packet in the U.S. District Court filed by Jerry Wayne Smith, and that you and the law partner you worked for at the time were listed as attorneys in the matter. Is that a correct description of your SLED report at this point?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes, it is.

- 11 Q. Thank you. Judge Moss, what do you think your
  12 reputation is among the attorneys that practice
  13 before you?
  - A. Well, I would hope that people would see me as empathetic, unbiased, even-tempered, and approachable, and that's what I would hope my reputation would be.
  - Q. Judge Moss, the Commission received 272 ballot box surveys regarding you with 33 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. Judge Moss listens carefully and rules with wisdom and compassion. She clearly cares for children, gives excellent guidance to parties, and never yells at lawyers. Judge Moss is thoughtful and deliberate. She is well-suited for

1 the bench. Four of the comments expressed concern. 2 The comments indicated that you made orders that 3 maybe contradict the law or the facts within a case. 4 How would you respond to that concern? 5 Α. Well, I'm not going to say I'm perfect. However, I 6 do my very best to follow the law. My job is to put 7 on a lens and a filter of the law and filter the 8 facts through that and come to a decision. I don't 9 know at this point of any cases of mine that have 10 been reversed. I only know of one that's been 11 affirmed. If I do make a mistake, that's why we have 12 the Court of Appeals, and that gives me a lot of 13 comfort to know that if I miss it, they're my 14 They're going to fix it. But at this backstop. 15 point, I've not had any that have been reversed. 16 so I do try my very best to do the right thing and follow the law. 17 18 MS. BAKER: I would note that the Upstate Citizens 19 Committee found Judge Moss qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 20 21 qualifications, physical health, and mental 22 stability. The committee found her 23 well-qualified in the evaluative criteria of 24 ethical fitness, professional and academic 25 ability, character, reputation, experience, and

- judicial temperament.
- 2 | Q. Judge Moss, I just have a few housekeeping questions.
- 3 A. All right.
- 4 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
- 5 contacted any members of the Commission about your
- 6 candidacy?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the
- 9 limitations on contacting members of the General
- 10 | Assembly regarding your screening?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
- sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
- either prior to this date or pending the outcome of
- 15 your screening?
- 16 | A. No.
- 17 | Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
- of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
- aware of anyone attempting to intervene in the
- 20 process on your behalf?
- 21 | A. No, I'm not.
- 22 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
- commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina
- 24 | Code Section 2-19-70, subsection E?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 MS. BAKER: I would just note for the record that any 2 concerns raised during the investigation 3 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 4 the questioning of the candidate today. 5 Chairman, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 6 Okay. Senator Garrett. 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY SENATOR GARRETT: 9 Thank you for agreeing to continue to serve. Q. You 10 have an excellent record. 11 Α. Thank you. 12 Q. One thing that I see that's out here that's important 13 to some members of this body is temporary hearings. 14 And one of the comments was that you don't make snap 15 judgements. You read the material, you know. 16 I'm assuming that you try to maintain the status quo, 17 if in any way possible, right there at the end. 18 Α. Yes, sir. Unless there's a danger to the children or 19 unless there's some unusual circumstance, I do try to 20 keep things as calm and as peaceful for the children 21 as I can. 22 And you've made clear that if someone disagrees with Q. 23 your findings of fact or conclusions of law, they 24 have an opportunity to take it up on appeal. 25 Yes, sir. And I would welcome that because I am not Α.

1 perfect, and I'm likely to make a mistake. 2 that does give me comfort knowing that there's a 3 whole appellate division waiting to fix any mistakes. 4 But I will not make mistakes intentionally. 5 will be definitely unintentional. 6 Well, the most important mistake that you can make in 0. 7 family court, of course, there's children, and 8 custody, and visitation, and protecting. 9 everything that I've read says that that has been 10 your exclusion of all others. 11 Α. That's my goal. 12 Q. That's your job. 13 Α. That's my goal. Yes, sir. 14 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you for doing it and doing it 15 so well. 16 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. Thank you so much. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY SENATOR RANKIN: 19 Real quick, Judge. And we all look at various Q. 20 things. Obviously, you have, as Senator Garrett said, 21 a great reputation, overwhelming support of those who have taken the time to anonymously say things about 22 23 Only two negative, which is a pretty good day you. 24 in court and a pretty good term of service thus far.

And so very quickly, you've listed five cases that

24

25

are most important to you. I don't want you to diminish the other four, but Senator Saab asked this of someone earlier this week. Those five, what was it about them, not the facts of each, but what about those five speaks to you that says, other than being affirmed on appeal, that these are important to me?

I'm going to point out the Flowers case. Α. pointed that one out and then I can address the others as well. But the Flowers case was a temporary hearing where the mother of the child did not want the father to have any contact with the child, but we were able to fashion a visitation schedule where he could work up to standard visitation. They ended up co-parenting well together. It was beautiful, and they ended up getting along great. They're raising the child together. And so it reminded me that every stage of our process is important. A temporary hearing is not something to be overlooked. the tone. You can set the trajectory of that case from the very beginning, and it makes a difference. And so when this father was given a chance, he was able to prove himself, and that child is benefitting from both parents. And then the other cases, there was a couple of that -- there was one with an unusual jurisdictional issue that made me dig in and really

24

25

research. It reminded me I do not know everything. I have lots to learn. And so that helped me remember The other case is dealing with a lot of pro se that. clients -- pro se litigants, and that is a different situation, a different experience, and that's just really good to help me remember everybody is not familiar with the law and that we need to make it accessible to as many people as we can. And it was just really good for me to be in a four day trial with a pro se litigant. That was really good for me as a judge to help me grow and learn and develop, and I hope she felt that she was heard and seen in that case.

- Q. You described Flowers as setting the tone and the tenor and the trajectory of great parenting. What if the parents aren't so receptive to peace. And you have to speak to the parents when children are involved, and I don't want to hear the spiel, but if tone and tenor aren't received, how do you communicate to a parent to look out for the kids in terms of how you speak of and treat your ex-wife or ex-husband after they leave your courtroom and pray never to be seen again?
- A. Oftentimes I remind them, and I'll look to the man and say Sir, out of all the women in the world, this

is the woman you chose to be the mother of your
children. And ma'am, out of all the men in the
world, if you could have chosen any of them, this is
the man you chose to be the father of your children.
So if you have a problem with each other, look in
the mirror, because you made that decision. And I
try to help them remember that so that they will see
that they do bear some responsibility and that they
do need to think about their own actions in order to
do the best for the children. And I remind them,
this is their children. This is their only
childhood. This is the only chance they have to get
it right, and you only get one chance at this
childhood. Let's make it a memorable and wholesome
and good childhood for these children. You can
co-parent separately well. You can do it. It's done.
And we don't see a lot of those in our courts because
they're not there. They're not the ones with the
problems. But it's done every day across our state,
and people can do that well. And so I try to
encourage them to remember that. At one point, you
did see something good in that other party. At one
point, you saw something good. Dig in, remember
that, and do that. Look for the good for the good of
your children.

That's beautiful. All right, any 1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 2 other questions? 3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And you don't MR. STROM: 4 need to respond to this. I just want to point 5 out, and I'm doing this to a lot of the family 6 court judges, the two issues I hear more about 7 as far as complaints about family court judges 8 are not allowing them to speak at temporary hearings, the client's paid, or it's a lot of 9 10 money to put these packets together and they 11 come to court and then the lawyers don't have an opportunity to say anything and the clients 12 13 don't feel well served, feel like they've 14 Why is my lawyer here if he doesn't overpaid. 15 have an opportunity or she doesn't have an 16 opportunity to say anything. And the other 17 issue is the clock is always tight. Everything 18 is scheduled, and one lawyer will speak longer 19 than the other, and will run out of the clock, and then the motion has to be continued. 2.0 21 you know, those are issues that I think need to 22 be monitored, and I encourage you to think about 23 both of those as you move forward. 24 Yes, sir, and I do try to allow for JUDGE MOSS: 25 that. Thank you.

1 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley. 3 Good morning. MS. BLACKLEY: 4 JUDGE MOSS: Good morning. 5 MS. BLACKLEY: I don't have any questions. I just 6 want to make a remark in regards to Judge Moss 7 and I used to work together prosecuting people 8 who harm children --9 JUDGE MOSS: That's right. MS. BLACKLEY: -- when she was assistant solicitor. 10 11 So I know -- I know her heart, especially when 12 it comes to children and family. And I just 13 wanted to say thank you for bringing yourself up 14 Thank you. again. 15 JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. I appreciate 16 that. All right, Judge, thank you so 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 18 This will conclude this portion of the much. 19 screening process. You know that we are 2.0 sticklers for both adherence to the letter and 21 spirit of the state ethics law. Any violation 22 or the appearance of impropriety on your part 23 would warrant us calling you back because, as 24 you know, the record is not closed until the 25 formal release of the qualifications. Is that

-	
1	correct?
2	JUDGE MOSS: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you.
4	JUDGE MOSS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being early, and God
6	speed to you traveling back up towards
7	Spartanburg.
8	JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. It's good to see
9	all of you. Thank you.
10	(Off the record)
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning, Judge.
12	JUDGE THIGPEN: Good morning.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being here early.
14	We're going to do your temporary hearing out of
15	order, and I say that in jest. Judge, you have
16	the PDQ and the sworn statement. Are those
17	ready to be entered into the record?
18	JUDGE THIGPEN: Yes, sir.
19	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 MARKED FOR
20	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (16
21	pages) PDQ
22	(EXHIBIT NO. 11 MARKED FOR
23	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
24	Sworn Statement)
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And, truly, we

1	appreciate your being here early. So, Judge,
2	let me first have you raise your right hand.
3	TODD THIGPEN, having been first duly sworn, was
4	examined and testified as follows:
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You know in this process that we
6	look at the nine evaluative criteria in terms of
7	vetting your candidacy for continuing to serve.
8	Those include the ballot box survey, thorough
9	study of your application materials,
10	verification of compliance with the state ethics
11	laws, search of newspaper articles in which your
12	name appears, study of the previous screenings,
13	and check for economic conflicts of interest.
14	No affidavits or complaints have been filed in
15	opposition to your election. No witnesses are
16	present to testify. The floor is yours, and Mr.
17	Breeden John will be asking questions, members
18	of the commission, and then we'll turn it over
19	to you if you'd like to make a closing statement
20	at that point. So welcome. Thank you so much.
21	JUDGE THIGPEN: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. John.
23	EXAMINATION
24	MR. JOHN:
25	Q. Morning, Judge Thigpen. After serving five years on

the family court, why do you want to continue
serving?

- A. I practiced law for 22 and a half years before I was fortunate enough to get this position. I will say, I qualify this by saying other than during COVID, I have truly enjoyed serving on the bench. I believe that I've helped many people, and that's part of the reason I asked to take this job, so I'd like to continue serving the public.
- 10 Q. Thank you. What do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
  - A. If you're prepared and you follow the rules, I believe the lawyers love me. If you don't follow the rules, you come into court unprepared, you probably don't like me all the time. Short and sweet.
  - Q. That's a good answer. The Commission received 297 ballot box surveys regarding you, 34 additional comments, the majority of which were positive.

    Respondents noted that you are hardworking, professional, thoughtful, excellent temperament on the bench, and very knowledgeable about the law.

    There were just a few comments in there that could be considered negative. Some expressed concerns regarding your vision and, for example, potential impacts on your ability to assess a witness's

25

demeanor or credibility. We discussed this before, but for the commission, does your vision have any substantial impact on your ability to perform your job with or without reasonable accommodations?

- Α. No, sir. I'll give you an example. The witness is probably not further than this lady in my courtroom, so I can see the witness perfectly clear. I will be quite frank, though. To have the newest courthouse in the state, the lighting in our courtroom is not great. They put in recessed lights, which cause And it's not just me with my vision. shadows. talked to every other judge that's been in that courthouse. We're having trouble seeing people at counsel table. You can see their faces, but you can't really see them, but the witness is right under basically a spotlight. The only accommodation I use is when I get these temporary hearing packets and I have these screenshots of text messages about that big in a little box, I do have a magnifying glass that I use. But other than that, I practiced law 22 and a half years before I got this job and sat in every chair in the courtroom, so I don't believe it affects my ability to be a judge.
- Q. Yes, sir. Thank you. Then just a couple other concerns noted your demeanor. Please describe what

- you would consider to be appropriate judicial temperament for a family court judge.

  3 A. Our legal system is based on the fact that --
  - A. Our legal system is based on the fact that -- or based on the longstanding principle that judges are independent, fair, impartial, and competent to interpret and apply the law. Therefore, to uphold that principle, my philosophy is that I myself as a judge and all judges should always strive to be fair, just, impartial, kind, and patient to not only the litigants and lawyers, but also the staff, court personnel, and the general public.
  - Q. Very good.

- MR. JOHN: I would note that the Upstate Citizens

  Committee found Judge Thigpen qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability, well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament.
- Q. Just a few items as we wrap up, Judge Thigpen. Since you submitted your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- A. No, sir.

Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the 1 Q. 2 limitations on contacting members of the General 3 Assembly regarding your screening? 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 Q. Have you sought or received the pledge of any 6 legislator either prior to this date or pending the 7 outcome of your screening since you submitted your 8 letter of intent? 9 No, sir. Α. 10 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 11 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 12 aware of any attempt to intervene in this process? 13 Α. No, sir. 14 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 15 commission's guidelines on pledging in SEC Code 2002 16 -- excuse me, Section 2-19-70E? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 MR. JOHN: I would note for the record that any 19 concerns raised during the investigation 20 regarding Judge Thigpen were incorporated into 21 the questioning of the candidate today, and I 22 have no further questions. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. SAFRAN:

EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Judge Thigpen, you practiced a long time before you got on the family court bench, didn't you?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you went in front of a variety of judges during that time, didn't you?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you think you've treated anybody any worse than you ever got treated by judges?
- 10 A. Not naming names?
- 11 Q. You don't have to.
  - Α. I don't think that some judges would get it through the screening process at this point, that I appeared in front of. And I'll be quite frank with you. lady who ran with me when I ran last time said, based on two judges out of Greenville said, I think these lawyers need to get thicker skin. I grew up under such and such and such. I mean, there were judges that a lot -- I mean, were they called rude? I mean, I always took it as a learning thing. always said if they're hard on me and they're trying to teach me a lesson, just don't make that mistake again. And I think that's the problem we have now with the younger members of the bar. They come in and do the same thing over and over again.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And I tend to agree with you. I mean, I don't think a lot of times back in my time, and I share some of that time with you, and I can think of one particularly from Spartanburg, who you probably were alluding to, who I had my experience with also. I don't think it was just necessarily just because they wanted to make your life miserable. I think they wanted you to remember don't do this again or next time do it the right way and you'll make sure that you don't forget. I mean, is that your perception of it?
- Α. That's the way I viewed it. I mean, I had judges that I guess some people would call them a tyrant. Ι didn't look at it that way. Well, I will name a I sat with Judge Creech at my request my second week on the bench for training. I told Judge Creech, I said, I asked to sit with you because when I first started appearing in front of you, you were intimidating, somewhat intimidating, because he's very -- he's not rude or anything, he's just very firm. I said but then once I learned what you wanted, I used it to my advantage. You wanted the lawyers to be prepared, follow the rules. He said you're not the first person that told me that. trained a lot of -- I mean, a lot of judges sat with

- 1 him prior to his mandatory retirement.
- Q. Well, and the other thing is this. During all that
- 3 time you were a lawyer, you had issues in terms of,
- 4 you know, your vision or at least it built up that
- 5 | way, didn't it?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. You didn't have any problem being able to be a
- 8 competent and capable lawyer, did you?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. And so when I see these references, I mean, I tend to
- 11 think that's a cop-out. Just somebody's got, you
- 12 know, something they didn't like, and it's just an
- easy shot to take. So I certainly wouldn't put any
- 14 stock in it myself, and I would ask -- you probably
- 15 need to just ignore it, too.
- 16 | A. Okay.
- 17 MR. SAFRAN: Appreciate it.
- 18 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge -- Senator Garrett.
- 19 EXAMINATION
- 20 BY SENATOR GARRETT:
- 21 Q. I just want to thank you for agreeing to continue to
- 22 serve. We need tough judges. We don't need Baby
- 23 Ruth judges. We don't. We need tough judges. As
- 24 | far as my responsibility here, I want a judge who
- 25 knows the law. I want a judge who makes sure that

1 the lawyers are well-respected. However, if they 2 come in unprepared, they need to be called on it. 3 Now, there's ways you can call them and not embarrass 4 them, but the next time they come in your courtroom, 5 they need to be aware that they need to be prepared. 6 This idea of not giving up financial declarations or 7 not following and giving you the things that are 8 required in contested custody cases that now our law 9 requires, that's the law. Those lawyers need to do 10 exactly that. We need judges like you who call them 11 when they -- who call them out when they need to be 12 called out. I think it's a disservice to our bar if 13 you don't do that. You have to be as nice as you can 14 be, but that is friendly, fair, but firm is probably 15 something that we need to adhere to. Do you want to 16 comment on that? 17 Α. Yes, sir. One thing I thought about is I know this 18 Commission has put our temperament probably above 19

A. Yes, sir. One thing I thought about is I know this Commission has put our temperament probably above all, which it probably should be to some extent. But on the other hand, don't embarrass lawyers. That's what we interpret. Well, when I'm in family court with a pro se litigant and the lawyer doesn't follow the rules, I can't call them in chambers and talk to them. I've got to call them out on the record. But to your other point, as far as financial

20

21

22

23

24

1	declarations, my predecessors would waive them.
2	Judge Bridges would not, and Judge Sinclair got to
3	the point where he would not. So I basically made my
4	decision when I took the bench was we need to be
5	consistent, especially in one circuit. Judges don't
6	need to be doing things different ways. So
7	everything we try to do, the three well, now four
8	of us since Judge Diamaduros got elected, we try to
9	be exactly the same. I had to send a lawyer out
10	yesterday with a pro se litigant, go downstairs, get
11	him a financial declaration. In that lawyer's
12	defense, he had sent it to him, but the guy didn't
13	fill it out and bring it to court. And I'm like I
14	can't approve this agreement unless everybody has a
15	financial declaration. But I took a break. I didn't
16	continue the case. I took a break, worked them back
17	into my docket later that morning, and got the case
18	done.
19	SENATOR GARRETT: Well, consistency is absolutely, as
20	been stated before, for litigants to go before
21	the judge is consistency. So thank you for
22	recognizing that thing as well. Thank you, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Jordan.
25	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

## BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN:

- Q. I just want to make sure I'm clear because I agree with consistency, and thank you for your service,
  Judge, and appreciate you being back here again. But
  I also want to make sure I'm understanding you agree with me that every situation is different? While we have similarities certainly that flow, the people that come before you bring different circumstances, different issues, and you have to -- part of your responsibility and job is to handle those on their individual basis, correct?
- A. Yes, sir. And I totally agree with that. I was talking about consistency and following the rules of the court, the family court rules particularly.
- Q. Well, and I agree with that except to say I'll go back to an example I used I think yesterday or the day before. The days run together up here sometimes.
- A. I heard y'all worked late last night.
- Q. The financial declarations. So if you have a situation where you have very short-term marriage, the parties are both represented, they both agree that a financial declaration is not necessary, it's a non-alimony case, you don't need to spend a tremendous amount of time on that. Can you not just

1 waive the financial declaration just as a -- I'm 2 giving you a very specific example, but is that not a 3 situation where every case is --4 You have an uncontested divorce with no property or 5 debt to divide, alimony is waived, no children 6 involved. The rule says you shall file -- it doesn't 7 give us discretion. It says you shall file if there 8 are financial issues in the case. That example you 9 gave, there's no financial issues. I don't want 10 require them. 11 And that gets to the root of my question. While I'm 0. 12 100 percent in favor of consistency and when I go --13 if I go in family court, which I try not to, but when 14 I go in family court in front of Judge McGee and then 15 I go the next week in front of Judge McEachin in 16 Florence, I should get consistency. I think that the 17 system thrives on that consistency, but I also want 18 to hear what you just said, which was I have to take 19 every case on its face and every piece of the case to 20 be able to determine what needs to happen in that 21 particular case as well. 22 Thank you, Judge. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 23 JUDGE THIGPEN: As a practicing lawyer, what I did 24 was I knew if there were no financial issues in

my case, I didn't have to have it, but I had it

in the file in case the Court asked for it. But I agree with you that if there are no financial issues in the case, the rule doesn't apply, you don't have to file one.

#### EXAMINATION

### BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

- Q. Judge, I'm chasing a rabbit. You said something about -- maybe I misheard, but the sense was that this commission did not want to hurt lawyers -- did not want judges to hurt lawyers' feelings. Did I hear that wrong in terms of the perception of what we want y'all to do?
- A. Chairman Rankin, I hope I'm not misquoting you, but what I've read in transcripts is I've heard the term, and I'm not sure it's you, to be a lawyer's judge, to not embarrass lawyers. I've read it -- and I'm not saying it's you, but it may be previous members of the commission. That's what I was referring to. And I try not to -- I try not to call anybody out. If I can call a lawyer in chambers, especially a young lawyer, and I can help them learn about service or some other issue, I try to do it. But my point was, if you've got a pro se litigant defendant on one side of the case, you've got to do everything on the record, otherwise it's ex parte. That's all I was

1 referring to.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And, sir, I appreciate that because that is not the sense of mine, or I think corporately. If there's an attorney who is acting like some of the judges that you said used to serve acting that way in a courtroom, hold them to -- put the feet to the fire.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If that attorney does not provide discovery timely or does not do something, and that person is not green, newbie, but has a history of that, hold their feet to the fire. So I hope that me, one of 170 folks who get to vote on judges that this commission screens out, I'm certainly not wanting some judge to coddle a lawyer if they need to be shaken to get their attention. So I'm with Senator Garrett on that. I hope -- take that back to Spartanburg, if you will. If you will, please.
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Do I have a witness?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Two quick things. And Senator Talley
  used to serve with us, and he has wisely moved on out
  of politics. But I recall his line of questions of
  all family court judges, and I think he spoke highly
  of you when you came through. But docket management,

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

how -- in a big county, how is it done well? How can it be done better? And is it each individual circuit, or do you need help from Columbia? How does it work best, and what can we do to help you if it isn't working well?

I think docket management in Spartanburg and Cherokee Α. Counties, which is the two counties in the 17th Circuit where I'm at, as evidenced by the numbers, are working fine. I became the administrative judge for the first time on January 1, 2021. About five days later, the Chief Justice, Chief Justice Beatty, catches me in the hall and says let me talk to you. I mean, I've known him for 25 Well, am I in trouble? years at that point. No. What can't you do virtually? So we got shut down, but we worked. did as much as we could. As long as he let us have live hearings, we had live hearings. We never got -you know, the goal is 80 percent finished within 365 days. We were at the judicial conference that October. We were -- everybody was -- nobody was meeting the threshold. We were at 75 percent. never dropped. The last numbers I heard, and I was also administrative judge last year, the end of the year we were number one in the state as far as the The last report I saw, we're still number 365 rule.

1 one under Judge Moss. We all worked together to make 2 sure the docket runs smoothly. To catch up from 3 COVID, we started what we call on trial docket. 4 do A, B, C, D, E docket when we have cases to set. 5 As y'all know, most trials settle. That way we get trial cases tried. I think our docket's working 6 7 fine. I don't know that we need any help from --8 another thing we've done is we've gone to -- I guess 9 you'd call it a mandate from Chief Justice Beatty, 10 but we went to one case, one judge on DSS, and all 11 the reports I'm getting back are that our numbers are 12 better than anybody in the state. You get to know 13 your cases. All the lawyers I've talked to, and one 14 of them's the attorney for the Guardian, said when 15 y'all mentioned this, they said, y'all we're going to 16 do it. I was a naysayer. I said it's not going to 17 It's going to delay cases. She said, but now 18 I'm your biggest proponent. And I had that 19 conversation when I came down to meet with Mr. John 20 on the way back from the Spartanburg. So I think the 21 docket's working great. In the counties where all 22 the judges work together, I think ours are doing 23 better than anybody elses.

24 | Q. Very well.

25

A. As Ms. Blackley remembers, it used to be a race

1 between Greenville and Spartanburg, who was number 2 one, who was number two. That's not the case 3 We're basically arguing with the First 4 Circuit, trying to one and two. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Super. Well, hey, thank you very 6 much. 7 MS. BLACKLEY: I couldn't let you get out of here 8 without saying thank you for offering up, and 9 you're right, I was going to brag on 10 That whole one judge assigned to Spartanburg. 11 the DSS cases started when I was there, and I 12 know there were a lot of comments about it, but 13 I keep up with the numbers, and it's going 14 really well. I do think that the judges in 15 family court in Spartanburg do work very well 16 together. They did before, but definitely now. 17 And I just have to comment one of the best hires 18 I ever hired, wrote you a letter, a reference 19 letter, that's Sheeba Browning, a family court 2.0 supervisor, glowing. We don't normally see 21 letters like this. I haven't seen letters like 22 this from staff. But I want to applaud you for what she acknowledges you and your work as judge 23 24 in the First Circuit. So thank you. 25 JUDGE THIGPEN: I'll let her know you put her name on 1 the record.

2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Sabb.

3 EXAMINATION

# BY SENATOR SABB:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Your Honor, I did want to chime in on the conversation that was being had relative to dressing lawyers down. One of the things that we have said in the record, and we have encouraged, is situations where lawyers go afoul, and there's a question about -- or shall I say there's an opportunity to bring them back in chambers as opposed to dressing them down in front of their clients. And we have encouraged members of the judiciary to consider in their judgment whether or not it's better served to take them in chambers as opposed to dressing them down in front of their clients. Never have we taken the position that a lawyer ought not be dressed down. The question has always been do you dress them down in public, or do you dress them down in chambers? Y'all mentioned Judge Beatty, and I recall -- you know, I was trying a case in criminal court against Attorney Charles David Barr. Charles and I have always been friends. We've always been neighbors. But in the courtroom, if you saw us, you wouldn't think that. You'd think that we were on

1 the opposite side of everything. But quite frankly, 2 our goal was to control the courtroom, to be the one 3 that the jury will look to for direction. And that's 4 just how we tried cases. But Judge Beatty didn't 5 think so when he came to town. But he didn't yell at 6 either of us in the courtroom. He took us in 7 chambers and had a quiet conversation with us. 8 we got the message. And, of course, the atmosphere 9 changed in the courtroom. So I just wanted to throw 10 that out there. You can put it in the for what it's 11 worth column. But those are some of the things that 12 we've --

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. And Senator Saab, I'm glad you mentioned that. I guess I would have considered myself -- I was kind of complimented by the fact what -- the comments lawyers made on me last time, when I was still a lawyer running to be a judge again. I said how did I do that? I was aggressive. I was very -- I mean, I wanted to win. I wanted my client to win. But I guess at the end of the day, what I did was I shook their hand after the case. We went and had a drink or had a lunch. I mean, I think you can be the -- I would not call lawyers out for being aggressive in the courtroom because, quite frankly, I'm a little saddened that more lawyers aren't more aggressive in

1	the courtroom these days.
2	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Judge. Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge, thank you. This
5	will conclude this portion of the screening
6	process. And as you know, we're very strict in
7	terms of applying the state ethics law. Any
8	violation or appearance of impropriety would be
9	deemed very serious by us. You understand that
10	the record is not closed until the formal
11	release of the report of qualifications, and we
12	could call you back in the very unlikely event
13	there would be a question about your conduct.
14	You understand that, correct?
15	JUDGE THIGPEN: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, thank you so much for being
17	early and being firm, fair. What was the first
18	one, Billy?
19	SENATOR GARRETT: Friendly.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And friendly. Pick the order, but
21	being all of those can be done with a smile.
22	JUDGE THIGPEN: All right. Thank y'all for your
23	service. I know just like judges, y'all have
24	been under attack in the last decade too. So I
25	appreciate what y'all do. Thank y'all.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Bless you. Thank you.
2	(Off the record)
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge Able, welcome. Good morning.
4	JUDGE ABLE: Thank you, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And thank you for being early, and
6	we're going to try to reward your early
7	promptness with a quick and thorough but
8	efficient examination. First, let me get you to
9	raise your right hand.
10	BRYAN ABLE, having been first duly sworn, was
11	examined and testified as follows:
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have with you somebody, I
13	believe. Would you like to introduce your
14	guest?
15	JUDGE ABLE: Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman, this is my
16	lovely wife, Toni. Her real name is Esther, but
17	she has a nickname of Toni. We've been married
18	20 years. We have three beautiful children and
19	three more beautiful grandchildren.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Bless you. Bless you. Forgive me.
21	They know I'm going to chase a rabbit, but
22	Esther is a beautiful name.
23	MS. ABLE: Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And for such a time as today, Judge
25	Able is here. Forgive me for that. I love that

1	story.
2	MS. ABLE: Thank you, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge, you've got two
4	documents, the PDQ and the sworn statement. Are
5	those ready to be introduced into the record?
6	JUDGE ABLE: Yes, sir, they are.
7	(EXHIBIT NO. 12 MARKED FOR
8	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (17
9	pages) PDQ)
10	(EXHIBIT NO. 13 MARKED FOR
11	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
12	Sworn Statement)
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, and we'll put them in.
14	You know this process well.
15	JUDGE ABLE: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you know our focus is on the
17	nine evaluative criteria as we investigate your
18	candidacy, which includes a ballot box survey, a
19	thorough study of your application materials,
20	verification of your compliance with state
21	ethics laws, searches newspaper articles in
22	which your name appears, study the past previous
23	screenings that you've done, and then check for
24	economic conflicts of interest. Nothing there,
25	no complaints, no affidavits filed in

opposition. Other than Esther, Toni Able with 1 2 us here today, we're not going to put her under 3 oath to ask her any questions. 4 JUDGE ABLE: That's good. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so turn it over to Mr. Triplett 6 for questions and then members of the commission 7 that may have some themselves. Thank you. 8 JUDGE ABLE: Yes, sir, thank you. 9 EXAMINATION 10 MR. TRIPLETT: 11 Good morning, Judge. 0. 12 Α. Thank you. 13 Judge Able, please state for the record the city and 0. 14 circuit in which you reside. 15 It's the 8th Judicial Circuit. I live in Laurens, Α. 16 South Carolina. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 Mr. Chairman, I note for the record MR. TRIPLETT: 19 that based on the testimony contained in the 20 candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the 21 record for this candidate's consent, Judge Able 22 meets the statutory requirements for this 23 position regarding age, residence, and years of 24 practice. 25 Judge Able, why do you want to serve as a family Q.

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

court judge and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience will qualify you and will assist you to be an effective judge?

- All right, sir. And that's the first question everybody always asks, why do you want to do this job? Well, I've seen this job done for 37 years. I've been practicing law primarily in the family court, primarily in the 8th Circuit for 37 years now. I've seen some very good judges that we've had in our -- a resident of Laurens County. Judge Bill Crane was when I started, Judge Saunders, and I've always thought that I would be good at it. I always thought I would be interested in doing it. I had originally thought about running for family court judge back in the late '90s, but at that time my first wife, Mary, had been diagnosed with breast cancer, and I did not think it was a wise thing for me to do with two little ones to try to be elected judge, because that was during the time they might send you to Horry County for six months or something like that, and I had more important things to do at home. But I've always thought I'd be good at it. I think the experience I have over the last 37 years has prepared me for this job, and I think I would enjoy it.
- Q. All right, thank you. Judge Able, are there any

1 areas of the law for which you would need additional 2 preparation in order to serve as a family court 3 judge, and how would you handle that additional 4 preparation? 5 Α. Well, over the last 37 years I have, I would think, 6 handled just about every kind of case there is that 7 appears in front of a family court judge. I was a 8 contract attorney for 12 years. Well, let me start My practice has always been private. For the 9 10 last 22 years I've been in private practice by 11 myself, and for 12 years of the 37 years I was a DSS 12 contract attorney. I handled all of DSS's cases for 13 the Eighth Circuit, the four counties in the Eighth 14 Circuit, Abbeville, Greenwood, Newberry, and Laurens. 15 As far as your question being would I need any additional preparation to handle the position of a 16 family court judge, I really don't believe that I 17 18 would. I think I would be prepared to start day one. 19 Judge Able, please briefly describe your experience Q. 20 in handling complex, contested family court matters, 21 and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work. 22 23 All right. Well, of course, I'm not a CPA, I'm not Α.

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

complicated family court cases where it's involving

an economist, so a lot of times in the more

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

division of marital property, businesses, retirement accounts, things of that nature, you often have to hire an expert, and that's what I've done in the past. I've found it necessary to hire experts in a lot of the cases I handle. Again, going back to my experience, I believe that I have the experience to hold the job of family court judge and to handle those type cases.

Judge Able, the Commission received 186 Q. Thank you. ballot box surveys regarding you with 19 additional The ballot box survey, for example, comments. contained the following positive comments. nothing but good things to say about Bryan Able. He's a kind-hearted, respectable, and level-headed individual. Mr. Able would make a fantastic family court judge. He has all the necessary empathy, patience, and understanding to treat all parties fairly and rule justly. Mr. Able is one of the finest legal minds I know. He possesses all the traits that make a great judge. Of the candidates running for this position, Mr. Able is far and away the most capable, appropriate, and knowledgeable. My experiences with Mr. Able have proven to be fair and He treats everyone with respect. The Eighth Circuit would be blessed to have this man on the

1 bench. 2 3 4 5 6 you offer to that concern? 7 Α. 8 you still hear me? 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 10 Well, I'm sorry. Anyway, temperament, as far as my Α. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

While the majority of the comments spoke very highly of you, four of the written comments expressed concerns regarding your temperament on the bench, and specifically that you appeared disinterested while presiding over your courtroom. What response would

- Well, I don't like to stand behind the podium. Can
  - Put the mic -- just for the record.
  - position as probate judge, associate probate judge in Laurens County, and specifically saying I appear disinterested, really I'm taking a lot of notes. Ι take voluminous notes when I'm hearing a case. lot of the time I'm looking down. I'm not looking at the parties and I'm not looking at the lawyers. believe it's a good thing for a judge to appear kind of where he doesn't show a lot of emotion, he doesn't show a lot of facial expression, because somebody might read something into that as far as, you know, what the testimony is or anything else before the So the first answer I would have is that I'm usually looking down. I'm taking a lot of notes. And when I do look up, though, I don't want to show a lot of expression on my face to give anybody the idea

2

3

\_

5

6

7

8

9

1 ^

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

of how I might rule in the case. So I don't think I would appear disinterested. I think I appear as I should because I'm working and taking notes and listening to the parties. I'm not trying to express any kind of opinion just with my facial expressions.

- Q. Thank you. And you may have touched on this, but the second concern was with your work ethic. What response would you offer to that concern?
- A. As a judge?
- Q. Yeah.
- Α. My work ethic, that's something that really concerns I pretty much am available to the probate court. And the lawyers within the circuit where I practice, they know that if they need a hearing in front of me, we'll get them a hearing date, we'll get them a hearing time. I'm at court when I have a hearing. usually -- after a hearing, I'm usually available to get an order prepared, or if I need to prepare the order within just a couple days, if I need to give directions to someone or give information to either or both of the lawyers as to preparation of an order. It's usually done from the bench or within the next day. So the job isn't full-time. It's a part-time And I'm there on an as-needed basis. job. all the contested cases in Laurens County Probate

Court. And so my response to that would basically be
I'm there when I'm told to be there, when the court
is supposed to be held, and I'm there until I have to
go home, until I'm done.

All right, thank you. Since your last screening,

- Q. All right, thank you. Since your last screening, have you been a party to any lawsuit?
- A. No, sir, I don't believe so.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right, thank you, Judge Able.
- I would note that the Piedmont MR. TRIPLETT: Citizens Committee found Judge Able qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The committee found Judge Able well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial The committee stated of all the temperament. candidates for this seat, Judge Able offers the widest and deepest experience. He has a judicial experience as an associate probate judge, and his compassion, commitment, service, and humility are remarkable. The committee strongly commends him for the Commission's consideration.
- Q. Just a few housekeeping issues, Judge Able.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you're
- 3 bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in
- 4 Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, I am.
- 6 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
- 7 contacted any members of the commission about your
- 8 candidacy?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 | Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the
- 11 limitations on contacting members of the General
- 12 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 13 A. I read it again last night, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
- sought or received a pledge of any legislator either
- prior to the state or pending the outcome of your
- 17 | screening?
- 18 A. No, sir, I have not.
- 19 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
- of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
- aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
- 22 process on your behalf?
- 23 A. No, sir, I have not.
- 24 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
- commission's guidelines on pledging and South

## 1 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70E? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 I would note for the record that any MR. TRIPLETT: 4 concerns raised during the investigation 5 regarding this candidate were incorporated into 6 the questioning of the candidate today. With 7 that, Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, questions of Judge Able. 9 Let me, Judge -- I mean, Senator Garrett, excuse 10 me. 11 Yes, sir. JUDGE ABLE: 12 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Bryan, for offering. 13 JUDGE ABLE: Thank you, sir. 14 I've known Bryan for a number of SENATOR GARRETT: 15 I've litigated against him. He is an vears. 16 excellent lawyer, cares about his children, 17 cares about his family. He's great with 18 barbecue, by the way, when he's not -- when he's 19 not working as a judge. And I've litigated, and 20 he and I have gotten to some hard fights in the 21 courtroom, and that's where you really learn 22 about another lawyer and what they're abilities 23 And even after the fights, and they 24 sometimes were very hard, we were always friends 25 afterwards.

| JUDGE ABLE: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR GARRETT: Nothing ever personal about it.

And if he didn't do his job, I'd get on to him, and if I wasn't doing my job well, he'd get on to me. So, I mean, we literally believe that the adversarial system is such that through that, you get to the truth and help our judges. And you mentioned some fine judges that we got to work on.

JUDGE ABLE: Yes, sir.

And Brian may not know this, but SENATOR GARRETT: his mother and I were friends. She worked at the post office. And I tried to get him to come to Greenwood as opposed to going across the river to go to Laurens because I wanted him in Greenwood. But he decided to go to Laurens, and he's made a tremendous judge. He does have the experience, and because you live in a small town, you do a little bit of everything. I've seen Bryan in the criminal courtrooms. I've seen him in the domestic courtrooms. He's going to know his juvenile work, know it well. He loves family. He's going to be a great steward in the event that he's chosen as the judge for this area. And I have nothing but

1	just wonderful things to say about him. This
2	idea about temperament. He's tough, but I've
3	I've heard him say, I want a tough judge. I
4	don't necessarily want a Baby Ruth judge. I
5	want somebody who's friendly, fair, firm. So
6	thank you for offering.
7	JUDGE ABLE: Thank you, sir.
8	SENATOR GARRETT: I look forward to seeing you
9	through.
10	JUDGE ABLE: Thank you, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Other questions? Judge, you and I
12	were two of the most distinctive law students in
13	our day.
14	JUDGE ABLE: You may have been. I was not. I
15	promise you.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that distinction is not
17	necessarily complimentary of me.
18	JUDGE ABLE: I've always prided myself on the fact
19	that I believe I'm a better lawyer than I was a
20	law student. I was not a very good law student.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Do you need another witness?
22	Hallelujah.
23	JUDGE ABLE: It was hard.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Amen. I want to thank you
25	for offering. Thank you for serving in the

1 probate capacity. Like Billy -- like Senator 2 Garrett, rather, you are doing a lot of things 3 in terms of touching the law, all of which, in 4 my view, based on what folks are saying about 5 you as well, equip you to be a judge ready to go 6 on day one if you're so lucky or successful in 7 getting the election. So congratulations for 8 offering this. 9 JUDGE ABLE: Thank you, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Unless there are other questions, 11 this will close this portion of the screening 12 process, whereby we want you to know that the 13 record does remain open until the formal release 14 of the report of qualifications. 15 Yes, sir. JUDGE ABLE: 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The ethics law we deem very 17 important in violation of or appearance of 18 impropriety of the state ethics law would 19 warrant us calling you back. You understand 20 that? 21 Yes, sir. I understand that completely. JUDGE ABLE: 22 Judge, thank you. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 23 JUDGE ABLE: Thank you. Thank you, everyone, for 24 having me this morning. 25 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And y'all have a great trip out.

1	And thank y'all for being early. And, Esther,
2	thank you for coming. Take care. We're off the
3	record now.
4	(Off the record)
5	MR. CONE: Good afternoon.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're back on the record and for
7	the record during executive session, no votes
8	were taken, no decisions were made. We are back
9	on the record. Judge candidate, correct?
10	MR. CONE: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: First time coming through the JMSC?
12	MR. CONE: No, actually, this is my third time coming
13	through.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. So the point of that is
15	you understand how this process works. First,
16	let me start by having you raise your hand.
17	ROBERT CONE, having been first duly sworn, was
18	examined and testified as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The PDQ the sworn statement that
20	you prepared are they ready to be introduced
21	into the record?
22	MR. CONE: Yes, they are.
23	(EXHIBIT NO. 14 MARKED FOR
24	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (20
25	pages) PDQ)

1 (EXHIBIT NO. 15 MARKED FOR 2 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages) 3 Sworn Statement) 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Again, you know this 5 process, our effort in terms of vetting candidates is the nine evaluative criteria that 6 7 we look at, which includes a ballot box survey, 8 thorough study of your application materials, 9 verification of your compliance from the state 10 ethics law, search of newspaper articles in 11 which your name appears, study of previous 12 screenings and then check for economic conflicts 13 of interest of which they are none. 14 affidavits or complaints have been filed in 15 opposition your candidacy and Ms. Trask will open it up with some questions and perhaps other 16 members of the commission. Welcome and thank 17 18 you for being very early to this process today. 19 MR. CONE: Thank you for having me. I'm glad to be 20 here. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Ms. Trask. 22 EXAMINATION 23 MS. TRASK: 24 Good afternoon, Mr. Cone. Please state for the Q. 25 record the city and circuit in which you reside.

- A. I live in Greenwood, South Carolina, which is the Eighth judicial circuit.
- Q. Thank you.

- MS. TRASK: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidates PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidates consent, Robert W. Cone meets the statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice.
- Q. Mr. Cone, why do you want to serve as a family court judge and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?
- A. Well, there's several reasons. Some are outlined in my -- were in my application process. I've been practicing family law now for 26 years and I believe I've accumulated a fair amount of experience in that area and comfortable in most every aspect of family court and the nature of that practice. And feel very confident that in particular. More and more, what family court judges do is deal with what we call agency cases, which is the Department of Social Services, Department of Juvenile Justice, child support issues. And I think most family court judges

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that takes up a large portion of their work week. have spent the last 18 years working with and for the Department of Social Services. Before that, I represented parents against the Department of Social Services on top of my private practice. So I'm very comfortable in the area of child welfare law. And I thought a lot about this question. I knew it was And I but something happened in a week or so ago that made me realize I needed to add something to why I felt like I should be would do well on the On two different occasions in different parts bench. of the state, we had family court judges to speak to one of our attorneys, and were upset that they had been shown pictures during an abuse or neglect trial of what had happened to the children, the injuries that the children had suffered. One was a sex abuse case, one was a physical abuse case. And those pictures are upsetting. But both judges, and it was strange to get both of these requests within about a week period in two different parts of the state said that they were they just they were upset that they had to look at that. And they felt that they needed to -- we should have given them some kind of warning that they were going to -- like you see on TV, sometimes that they might view some upsetting images.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that bothers me. I have -- I have to look at those kind of pictures, the attorneys that work for me have to look at those pictures, our caseworkers have to look at those pictures. And most importantly, the children had to live through what happened in those pictures. It concerns me that judges are uncomfortable looking at that type material. You're supposed to consider all of the evidence in cases before the family court. And to say that you're not comfortable looking at part of it because it's upsetting. Well, it's supposed to be. What we do is not easy. Family court -- you know, family court work is, is, frankly, except when you're doing adoptions is kind of a dirty business. know, you're ending marriages, you're fighting over custody, you're dealing with children who've been abused or neglected, you're dealing with children who are committing crimes. It's a tough job. I, for better for worse, have been working in that area now for 26 years. And I've had to -- I don't enjoy seeing those things. I find it keeps me up at night, I don't like the world that we have it bothers me. to live in sometimes, but it is the world we live in. And so I realized that we need judges that have the fortitude, I guess, that they can handle looking at

these things, not be so uncomfortable that they're
not willing to consider the facts of the case and
ignore important evidence simply because it makes
them uncomfortable. The whole point of having a
judicial system is to have someone who's going to
make the uncomfortable decisions. I think I'm a good

person to do that.

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Cone, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge? And how would you handle that additional preparation?

Α. I don't believe so. It has been a little while since I've been handled things like routine divorces and child custody. My last time doing that kind of work was in 2012, when I went to work full time for the Department of Social Services. So I probably need to refresh my memory a bit about things like, you know, some of the rules of law about division of property and divorce cases. I'm very comfortable with anything related to children. That's all I've done for the last 12 years. And even before that, I'm very -- so those issues don't concern me. But I have to -- I definitely need to go back through review some particularly recent case law about the division of property awarding of alimony. I do read those

opinions when they come through, but again, hadn't
been an urgent priority. So I would definitely want
to make sure I have gone back through and review that
information before I started hearing cases on those

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

topics.

- Q. Mr. Cone, please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- With the financial aspects, the most I've handled Α. probably the most complex cases financially is when I've handled divorces that involved a small business, where we were having to, or had two occasions that I the two cases that I'm most familiar with -- we had -- these were small business owners, but these were marriages of some length, they've been going on for eight years or more. They're primarily the husband's business, but the wife had been heavily involved, not only in the business, but obviously in maintaining the household and supporting, you know, the husband while he's developing this business. And so you spend you have to spend a fair amount of time, there's a lot of arguments about what the businesses are worth, you know, who's, you know, who contributed And what happens if you try to, you know, the most?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can it be divided up? If so, how's it going to be divided? How do you come up with some kind of equitable division? And those that usually require that in both those cases, it required us to retain the assistance of experts in the form of accountants. And in one of the two cases, an economist to help us project a value of business, so we can come up with a reasonable number that everybody could agree upon for what that what that is valued. Beyond that, as far as other other complex issues, it's more just if it's disposition of assets like you know, just investments and things like that, going through and saying okay, we're -- you know, what do you have? How much of this was accumulated during the course of marriage? How much has everybody kind of contributed to that? Those can be done. That's pretty simple. issues, usually disposition of a home. And that that's the complication, there's always the kids, you know, do we -- who's getting the kids and do they get to stay in the house? Do they have to sell the house to meet everybody's needs? Are they going to have to take out loans, that then you start getting into issues of who's going to pay back? Who will be responsible for paying it? Can they qualify for it? So I mean, I'm familiar with those issues. And I

1 guess those are certainly the most common things that 2 you deal with in family court is that, as I tell 3 people, unfortunately, up in my area, we don't have a 4 lot of multimillion dollar divorces, for the most 5 part, because we don't have that many millionaires up in the Greenwood area. But I have had to deal with 6 7 and I have had to do with complex financial issues. 8 I did some bankruptcy work for a while. So I have 9 filed some bankruptcies for wealthier individuals or 10 higher net worth individuals. And it's -- you cover 11 some of the same ground in terms of how do you 12 dispose of assets? How do you manage their needs 13 and, you know, repay their -- deal with debts and 14 creditors? Well, that's part of family court to is 15 how you how you go get things paid off and make sure 16 nobody gets stuck holding a bill they shouldn't be 17 obligated to pay. 18 Mr. Cone, the commission received 124 ballot box 0. 19 surveys regarding you with 23 additional comments. 20 The ballot box survey, for example, contained the 21 following positive comments. He has an academic mind and ability tempered by the practical experience of 22 23 private practice and working for DSS. 24 demonstrated an extremely hard work ethic, a

compassion for the public at large, and the knowledge

to do what the law and justice require of a judge.

Six of the written comments expressed concerns.

Several comments indicated that you may lack
experience outside of DSS work. What response would
you offer to this concern?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- My assumption on that comment is there a lot of -- a Α. great many people know me because of my work with I work statewide now travel extensively and try cases in other places. So most of the people that know me know me in my capacity as a DSS attorney. They're probably not familiar with the fact that I practiced law, you know, for almost 14 years outside of DSS work. So I didn't always do that. graduated law school in 1998. I went to work full time for DSS in 2012. So I had a lot of history that but in fairness, it's not recent history. So I think there are a lot of people -- I'm sure there's a lot of people who don't realize I ever had a private practice or don't know what I did in that practice. Because again, I worked primarily in the Greenwood and Eighth Circuit area. So if you know me from anywhere else, during that time, you wouldn't come across me.
- Q. Mr. Cone, a second topic of concern was regarding your work ethic. What would your response be to that

## concern?

25

1

Α.

I honestly don't know. I've thought about that. really don't know what people think. I'm considered one of the go to people at DSS for getting work done. I'm called on to deal with special projects. end of 2023, our managing attorney for our Richland County office and for our regional attorney for our Midlands region, which are the two busiest, busiest positions in DSS as far as trial level management and supervisory management, were both vacated within a couple of weeks of each other. I was assigned to handle both jobs and did it for about -- well, from January of 2025 until basically the end of June of this year. Sorry, January 2024 until the end of June, just a few months ago, and I'm still helping out in Richland, even though that's not part of my technical job description for the agency. I believe I'm one of the go to people. When there's a problem, I'm sent to solve it. And that's not because I'm a It's just because I'm willing to work hard to try to solve the problem. So I'm not sure where that's coming from but I'm sure there's somebody somewhere that thinks I could have done more on a given case or given matter. But I'm afraid that's all I can say.

I would note that the Piedmont Citizens 1 MS. TRASK: 2 Committee found Mr. Cone qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 3 4 qualifications, physical health and mental 5 stability. The committee found him well 6 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 7 fitness, professional and academic ability, 8 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 9 temperament. The committee stated in summary, 10 Mr. Cone has unparalleled experience in DSS 11 matters in particular, and significant 12 experience in other areas of practice relevant 13 to the family court bench. His doggedness good 14 nature in the face of daunting and often tragic 15 family disputes and strong work ethic make him 16 well suited for the family court bench. 17 Q. Mr. Cone, I have a few housekeeping issues. 18 Α. Sure. 19 Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are Q. 20 bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in 21 Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 22 Α. I am. 23 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 24 contacted any members of the commission about your

25

candidacy?

- 1 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General
- 4 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 5 | A. I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 10 A. No, I have not.
- 11 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members
  12 of the General Assembly on your behalf? Or are you
  13 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this
  14 process on your behalf?
- 15 A. I haven't asked anyone and I'm not aware of anyone who's done that.
- 17 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
  18 commission's guidelines on pledging and South
  19 Carolina Code section 2-19-70E?
- 20 | A. I am.
- MS. TRASK: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. And Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Trask.

Questions by members of the commission? Senator

Garrett.

SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

## BY SENATOR GARRETT:

- Q. Robert, thank you for offering for this important job. You've been in Greenwood where I've had the pleasure of working with you for a number of years. This is a large family court seat. But in your capacity, I guess, DSS, you've probably been around every county now in the state, is that correct?
- A. I won't say every county in the state. I've probably held -- I've conducted trials for DSS in probably 30 counties in the state. I've been from one end to the other, and even including some of the some of the smaller counties. I'm frequently called on to fill in when there's a vacancy, or somebody is out ill or whatever. And so I have to go in and it's been very helpful to me, because I've learned a lot, just seeing how the law is practiced -- not practice differently. But courts -- the preferences of judges do make an impact on you how things are done in one county versus another. So I feel pretty comfortable in that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. We've always been very proud of you for going into DSS, because it was a mess back in Judge Billy Tunstall's day. And Judge Tunstall would pick on DSS in an awful, awful sort of way, because he just felt like they weren't up to snuff. Robert came in at that time earlier -- not long after that. And we've just been all proud of you. You continue to get elevated up and up into that. And frankly, we thought one day you'll be running it.
- Well, I know there's going to be a vacancy at the Α. first of the year, but that -- there can't be -there can't be too many more thankless jobs and being the director of the Department of Social Services. wish I could take credit for the improvements that have been made. I've chipped in as much as I can. But we've also had -- there's been a lot of hardworking people who have done a lot. improved how we do what we do. And I think as much as anything, there's been a mind set that, you know, there is a recognition that there are some things DSS does well, and there are a lot of things we should do better. And there's a lot of times we need to get out of the way. And I think that's -- that's probably been the most important thing is to recognize that the state -- you know, we're -- we're

necessary, but it's not a good idea for us to be involved in every family. We're just not good enough at that to be telling everybody how to parent. need to be intervening for emergencies and true crises for families and otherwise, be there as a resource, but not be there to dictate how people should take care of their kids.

8

7

9

10

11 12 Α.

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

I like that philosophy. As Tunstall used to tell us Q. when he was a judge, you know, you people are crazy for allowing DSS to come into your lives.

I tell people it's a -- you know, it should be kind of like going to the emergency room, you never want to have to go and you want to get out as guick as you can as healthy as possible. And my position has always been no one wins a Department of Social Services case. If we got involved, everybody already lost. Our job is to make sure you survive a case with the Department of Social Services and get your family back. Or if that can't happen, we get your kids where they need to be, and let them move on with their lives. Because I'm very mindful. I work with good, well-intentioned people who have dedicated their time and in many cases, their entire lives to protecting children and looking out for families.

But we're not perfect. And we are -- you know, we

1 have a limit to how much we can do. And so I'm --2 I'm one of the first people to be kind of skeptical 3 about, do we need to be involved? And for how long? 4 And, you know, are we still doing good for this 5 family? Are we making a bad situation worse? 6 Because I've always said having DSS involved in your 7 family -- if I put anybody's family under the DSS 8 microscope -- or magnifying glass, something will 9 catch on fire. I can catch anybody finding 10 something. I mean, I'm not a perfect parent either. 11 So...

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Robert, thank you for that. And thank you for offering to serve. Some of these comments -- the positive ones I certainly can agree with. This idea that you don't work hard -- that just flabbergasted me. I've seen you running from one court to the next, both in private practice and also working at And I've had the pleasure of calling you on DSS DSS. issues before if I saw something out there that I felt like you needed to be aware of. You've always listened to that phone call as a practicing lawyer, because you and I care and always tried to fix it if you could. And I sincerely appreciate your involvement in doing that. And always, always the most important thing that we do in family court is

1 take care of the children. I believe that you with 2 your experience -- and I have -- I don't think you 3 and I've ever had a case against one another. 4 We've been -- I think I've assisted a time or 5 two with -- you know, with some matters where -- on 6 the third party. And I think maybe I was a quardian 7 ad litem in one of your cases a while back but, like 8 I said, getting to be a longer and longer while back. 9 SENATOR GARRETT: It was always a pleasure to have 10 you and to work and I'm always proud of our 11 Greenwood lawyers, because I think we trained 12 under some great judges, Judge Charles and some 13 of the others. I was proud to see you going 14 around -- around the state and doing the work 15 that you do. Thank you. 16 MR. CONE: Thank you, Senator. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Other questions? You were a judge, 18 a municipal judge. 19 MR. CONE: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So I'm off but not off totally. 21 once a judge always a judge, I've heard. 22 you came through here the first time of 2012. 23 MR. CONE: That's right. 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Correct. And then -- sorry. 25 say they can't hear me, which is what they would

1 prefer actually. You heard me, though, did you 2 not? T did. 3 MR. CONE: 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay, good. In terms of your 5 running again, I appreciate you're doing it. 6 I've never had such nice things said of me as 7 Senator Garrett just said. He knows you well, 8 so that is a testament to you. If there are no 9 other questions at this time, Judge Cone, 10 Municipal Judge Cone, I would remind you that 11 this closes this portion of the screening 12 process. The record does remain open until the 13 formal release of the record of qualifications. 14 Because we are bound by the state ethics law, 15 the perception of, appearance or direct 16 violation of that would be on your part would be 17 very seriously considered by us. You do 18 understand, do you not that we could call you 19 back in that unlikely event, correct? 2.0 MR. CONE: Absolutely. Yes, sir. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much. And thank you for getting here early as well. Happy weekend 22 23 to you when you get there. 24 Thank you. I appreciate it. Thank y'all MR. CONE: 25 for having me.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take care. 2 MR. CONE: Take care. 3 (Off the record) 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we are back on the 5 record. And sir, come on up. Welcome. 6 MR. TODD: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will please raise your right 8 hand. 9 JAMES CONWAY TODD, having been first duly sworn, 10 was examined and testified as follows: 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your full name for the 12 record. 13 James Conway Todd, the fourth. MR. TODD: 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. You brought someone 15 with you today. Would you like to introduce 16 your quest? I have. My wife, Ashley Harris Todd, 17 MR. TODD: 18 originally from Lexington is here to support me 19 today. 2.0 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Say all that again in the mic so 21 we'll be sure to --22 MR. TODD: My wife, Ashley Harris Todd, of Sure. 23 Lexington is here to support me today. 24 Very good. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Welcome, 25 both of you. You've got two documents that

1	you've signed. Are they ready to go into
2	record? The PDQ and the sworn statement?
3	MR. TODD: They are.
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 16 MARKED FOR
5	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (19
6	pages) PDQ)
7	(EXHIBIT NO. 17 MARKED FOR
8	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (9 pages)
9	Sworn Statement)
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we'll put them in the
11	record. Mr. Todd, and Conway, by the way, is a
12	family name or
13	MR. TODD: It is.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ever been to Conway, South
15	Carolina?
16	MR. TODD: Oh, yes.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good.
18	MR. TODD: Many times.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. My hometown. So we
20	have not met before I don't believe though we
21	have a similar hometown and name. But this
22	process in terms of our judging your candidacy
23	and vetting you, you understand that we look at
24	the nine evaluative criteria, which includes the
25	ballot box survey, thorough study of your

application materials, a verification of your 1 2. compliance with the state ethics laws, and search of newspaper articles in which your name 3 4 And finally, a check for economic 5 conflicts of interest. No affidavits or 6 complaints have been filed in opposition to your 7 campaign. And so we're going to turn it over to 8 Mr. Hinson for questions to start with, and then 9 other members of the commission as they will. 10 Thank you so much for being here. 11 MR. TODD: Thank you. 12 EXAMINATION 13 MR. HINSON: 14 Good afternoon, Mr. Todd. Q. 15 Hello. Α. Mr. Todd, please state for the record the city and 16 0. 17 circuit in which you reside. 18 City of Laurens, Eighth Judicial Circuit. 19 MR. HINSON: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that 20 based on the testimony contained in the candidates PDQ, which has been included in the 21 22 record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Todd 23 meets the statutory requirements for this 24 position regarding age residence and years of 25 practice.

Q. Mr. Todd, why do you want to serve as a family court judge? And why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Thank you. First and foremost, I love the law. Ι love family court in general. I've devoted the majority of my career to family court. I get great personal satisfaction with helping people during some of the most difficult times in life. Specifically, the children. It gives me great pleasure to be a part of adding stability to a child going through either a divorce or through the system during some of their most critical developmental years of their life. As far as my personal -- my professional experience is concerned, I believe I'm unique in the fact that I've got somewhat of a varied background. I've handled real estate, probate, personal injury, and defended criminal actions in the municipal, state and federal level. But more importantly, going back to family court. I feel like I've done about everything that I would face as a family court judge. I've been efficient and effective in that regard. I've done TPRs, adoptions, child custody, high conflict custody cases. High net worth individuals. I've used forensic accountants and experts to come up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the value to be divided for the marital estate, ranging in the multi-million dollar aspects of family businesses and family trusts. I've handled about every divorce case that you could probably come across, and I've obviously interacted some with the DJJ process and the DSS process. I also served as an assistant solicitor for two and a half years where I had the opportunity to try multiple murder cases to verdict as well as any other of the high violent crimes that were on my docket at the time. And I believe those are important attributes for a family court judge because they all spill over into family court at some point in time. You might have a divorce where someone's arrested in the case or someone's injured on the job, or at work, or in a car accident that might become a part of the overall marital estate and knowing how to handle that. more importantly to me, I believe that family court judges and all judges for that matter, I liken it to public service. I'm hometown guy. Born and raised My family still lives there. in Laurens. raising my family there. And I believe I'm in the prime of my legal career, and I think would be extremely rewarding to me to be able to give the prime years of my legal career to public service and

1 to my community and this state.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Todd. Mr. Todd, are there any areas of law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge? And how would you handle that additional preparation?
- Α. So it's more of a re-familiarization of it. mentioned just minutes ago, I was assistant solicitor in the Eighth Judicial Circuit. And in that capacity, I had an opportunity to be exposed and involved with the DJJ process. But since I've transitioned back to private practice years ago, that has kind of put some distance between my experience back then and where it is now. So in preparation for this potential opportunity, I've met with the solicitor in the Eighth Circuit. I've met with the chief public defender in the Eighth Circuit. viewed some DJJ hearings and, obviously, had conversations with some of the sitting judges, and I'll continue to do that. I've also been a part of the Commission on Indigent Defense, their lunch and I didn't get the CLE credit for it, but I sat through it and was able to continue to refresh my recollection.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. Todd, please briefly describe your

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.

- So in my firm, that's all we do is family court, and that's all I've done, like I said, for the majority of my career. And early on, I was involved with dividing pots and pans, and now it's moved to pensions. And that includes high net worth individuals that have family businesses. I've had to retain forensic accountants. I've had to retain additional third parties to value what the business is worth, what officers would be paid if they were to be divided and coming up with those incomes. also divided family trusts. Multiple times on that. And I've also done high conflict custody cases where we've had to use various counselors. We've had to use, obviously, the guardian ad litem, but other experts to come in to evaluate what is, indeed, the best interest of the minor child. I've done that consistently, and I've been efficient in doing so, and I've been -- I've got great experience in both of those.
- Q. Mr. Todd, the commission received 146 ballot box surveys regarding you with 41 additional comments.

  The ballot box survey, for example, contained the

1 for 2 and 3 and 4 Too 5 exp 6 and 7 Wh: 8 of 9 que 10 sit

following positive comments. One stated you would be an outstanding judge. He's intelligent, thoughtful, and has excellent temperament. Another stated Mr. Todd is an excellent attorney with a wealth of experience in family court. He has the temperament and knowledge to excel as a family court judge. While many of the written comments were complimentary of your family court experience, a handful did question whether you had the requisite experience to sit on the bench. How would you respond to those concerns?

A. Sure. Well, first, I'll address the positive comments. I appreciate those. And I would just like to point out that's probably a reflection of my mentors who I'm proud that have helped me shape into the attorney I am today. But in terms of the age and experience comment, I think a lot of it probably would relate to their -- my age. Obviously, I'm not the oldest candidate in the race, but I'm not the youngest, and I meet the statutory requirements. And I would always go back to my grandmother, who was 100 years old who said, Jim, age is just a number. It's the experience in the number of years that you -- that you have that you can work off of. And that's truly what my overall thought process on that

1 question is, is my experience. I have to go back to it, and I know that I'm handling a high volume of 2 3 family court cases and have for some time. 4 practiced family court in almost every courthouse in 5 the upstate. I'm working my way through the Midlands 6 And I enjoy doing it. I've got plenty of 7 experience in terms of high conflict cases, rule to 8 show causes, TPRs, adoptions, and that's really sort of the bread and butter of my career is complex 9 10 cases. And I truly enjoy them, and I think that the 11 experience aspect is shown in my body of work and my 12 colleagues, I believe, would tend to agree with that.

## Q. Thank you.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HINSON: I would note that the Piedmont Citizens
Committee found Mr. Todd qualified in the
evaluative criteria of constitutional
qualifications, physical health, and mental
stability. The committee found him well
qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical
fitness, professional and academic ability,
character, reputation, experience, and judicial
temperament. The committee added the following
comment: Mr. Todd is a dedicated family court
practitioner with a wide range of experience in
family court matters. Though younger than many

1 candidates, he brings an impressive level of 2 diligence and a strong work ethic to his 3 candidacy. 4 Just a few housekeeping questions for the record. 0. 5 Mr. Todd, are you aware that as a judicial candidate, 6 you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as 7 found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate 8 Court Rules? 9 I am. Α. 10 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 11 contacted any members of the commission about your 12 candidacy? 13 I have not, but for transparency purposes prior to 14 Senator Garrett being appointed to the JMSC, he 15 obviously is a senator in my circuit, and I had 16 reached out to him prior to him being on the JMSC, 17 but I've avoided him like a ten foot pole since then. 18 Thank you for that. Are you familiar with Section Q. 19 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting 20 members of the General Assembly regarding your 21 screening? 22 Α. I am. 23 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 24 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 25 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of

1 your screening? 2 I have not. Α. 3 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 4 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 5 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 6 process on your behalf? 7 Α. I have not, and I'm not aware of anyone. 8 Have you received and do you understand the 0. 9 commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina 2-19-70E? 10 11 I do. Α. 12 MR. HINSON: I would note for the record that any 13 concerns raised during the investigation 14 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 15 the questioning of the candidate today. with that, Mr. Chairman, I have no further 16 17 questions. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 18 All right, thank you so much. 19 Questions by members of the Commission? 20 I want to just go right back to the Conway. 21 said it was a family name. Give me the 22 background there. 23 MR. TODD: Sure. So it traces back to England, and I 24 guess where the family came from. There's a 25 small, what's it called, hamlet outside of a

1	major city there, and that's apparently where we
2	came from and came over and been in Laurens a
3	long time. And my son now carries that middle
4	name as well.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I've noticed your son,
6	four-year-old at that time, is named Conway as
7	well, but is your father?
8	MR. TODD: Yes, James Conway Todd III.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So we are now to the
10	MR. TODD: Five and I think that's where it's going
11	to stop.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You don't get to decide that,
13	though, do you?
14	MR. TODD: That's fair.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. Well, I appreciate your
16	letting me go down that lane.
17	MR. TODD: Sure.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You meet folks and get the most
19	interesting information, and perhaps you were
20	thinking questions as well.
21	MR. TODD: Yeah.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY SENATOR GARRETT:
25	Q. Thank you. It's a pleasure for you to put your name

in the hat for this. You're a super lawyer. I think you've been identified as a super upcoming rising star, et cetera, et cetera. You've checked all the boxes. You've got a beautiful family. I mean, why would you want to be a judge at this stage when you could come out and be one of the top domestic lawyers in the state of South Carolina? If you decided to go that way.

**8** 

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

Sure. Well, I think it goes back to, and I have Α. enjoyed private practice, and I believe I've done well with my work in doing so, but it truly does go back to public service. I feel like I was called to come back to Laurens. I had other opportunities to go elsewhere, and something kept bringing me back to I enjoy family court, and it's my passion. Like I said, it would do me a great sense of personal satisfaction over anything else to serve in my community, where I plan to stay and raise a family and help as many people as I can. In my current role, I can only help hundreds over the course of a couple of years or five, six years, but as a family court judge, I'd be able to help thousands potentially, not just in my community but across the state. And I believe that that's important to have someone that's approachable and relatable, and I feel

- like that I am that. And I think I have a lot to offer to the bench.
- 3 We were glad to see you help Tommy Thompson over at Q. 4 Laurens County after our great friend Richard 5 Townsend passed away. Thank you for doing that. 6 Tommy was getting on up in his age so I imagine that 7 youth and exuberance was wonderful for him, and so 8 you helped him out. I assume you trained under the 9 seat that's being emptied, or now you're trying to 10 fill, was Matthew Turner's seat. Is that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you get to practice under -- I would assume you practiced under him as well as Mindy Zimmerman.
- 14 A. Absolutely.
- Q. And, of course, Judge Smithdeal. We're going to see him in just a little while. So you got to practice under those judges.
- 18 A. I did. And Judge McGowan as well.
- 19 Q. Judge McGowan. Which one -- so do you have an opinion as to which one you would like to emulate?
- A. Quite frankly, Judge Turner. I think he was a really consistent, level, approachable judge in the Eighth Circuit. I know that a lot of the family court bar enjoyed having him there. And I think Judge McGowan as well was fantastic and approachable and made it

1 really comfortable to come into a family courtroom 2 where it's already a tense and hostile environment. 3 When you knew Judge McGowan or Judge Turner was on 4 the bench, you could kind of sigh of relief but still 5 be prepared and know that he was going to hear 6 everything that you had to present and that everybody 7 was going to have their day in court, and I think 8 that's important for litigants that are before family 9 That's their most important day.

- Q. I'm glad to see that Judge McGowan is now -- although he's retired, he's helping us a lot with our mediations.
- 13 A. Absolutely.

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 14 Q. Have you attempted to become a mediator?
  - A. So I took the mediator class early on in law school, but I have not done the certified mediator for family court. I was asked by a colleague to mediate a case where you can sign the waiver saying that you're not a certified as long as both parties agree. I have done that, but outside of doing the actual formal training, I've just been really busy to try to find the week to take up and take care of that.
  - Q. Well, I think we're blessed to have you as a candidate for this position. Thank you for offering.

    I see you as one of the up and coming stars in

- 1 domestic law one way or the other. 2 Thank you, sir. Α. 3 Thank you very much. SENATOR GARRETT: 4 MR. TODD: Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. SAFRAN: 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. SAFRAN: 9 Let me see if I can kind of get a good grasp of what Q. 10 you've done in this roughly 11 year career so far. 11 It looks like from what I'm hearing from Senator 12 Garrett, maybe you were in a situation that started 13 out with a certain promise, but then things kind of 14 suddenly changed when you went back to Laurens. 15 Well, I went back to Laurens. That was my plan all Α. 16 along, but, unfortunately, Townsend & Thompson was 17 the firm I worked in. Right before I came home, 18 Richard Townsend passed away unexpectedly, leaving 19 behind a large family court book, and Tom had his 20 hands full, and I came in. I'm not sure that I was 21 expecting to come in right off the bat and work, but 22 I did and took over a lot of Mr. Townsend's family 23 court cases and had to resolve all those.
  - Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

Basically had to learn on the fly.

Trial by fire.

24

25

Q.

Α.

- Q. I've been there.
- 2 A. Yep.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. I understand. I guess what prompted you to move on to the solicitor's office after that?
  - Α. Obviously in family court, trials are limited, and I wanted to have more experience in trials. You know, you'd have your contested rule to show causes and things of that nature, but I really wanted to have confidence in my ability for trial work. I knew that I was good with the procedure aspect of it, but I wanted to be able to back it up if I had to, and I've certainly done that. So I talked to my mentor, Tom, and told him about the opportunity from Solicitor Stumbo and said, you know, it might not be a bad thing just to take two years to do that, and I did, and I'm glad I did because unlike a lot of the larger circuits where you're the young guy, you have to wait in line for the more serious cases, it's a small circuit, so you're the guy. And so I was able to pick up and try some of the more difficult ones.
  - Q. I guess at that point it seemed like maybe there was still some thoughts about getting into trial work that might be at a circuit court level, at least looking into it.
- 25 A. Yes and no. I think my options were open, but at the

- 1 same time, family court, when I was at Townsend and 2 Thompson, I felt I was the most comfortable in, most 3 effective in, and I started missing it, quite 4 frankly, after being there for two years, and I'd 5 gotten my experience, and I said, I want to put this 6 to work and help people. And so that's why I ended 7 up making the move to find a firm that only did family court. 8
  - Q. Well, I mean, were you -- they approached you or were you just looking for something to kind of move on out of solicitor's office, I guess?
  - A. I was looking for -- because I knew I was going to be there just for a couple of years because I had a vision and goal to get as well-rounded as I possibly could be, and so after I felt that I had done that -- I mean, I think I tried 13 cases in two years.
- 17 Q. Wow.

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. And at that point I said okay, I think I've done my due diligence here, and I was sort of looking for a firm that only did family court, and that's when I ultimately landed where I am.
- 22 Q. And that took you to Greenville?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. I mean, how has that experience been?
- 25 A. I've enjoyed it. I still live in Laurens, obviously,

1 so I've got to commute each day back and forth, but 2 it's fantastic. There are some wonderful family 3 court lawyers up in the 13th Circuit, but I like it 4 because I'm able to go all over the upstate and now 5 working my way into Lexington, Kershaw, and those 6 counties. And it's been fantastic, and there are a 7 lot of great lawyers, and I've learned a lot 8 throughout going against them in trials and 9 procedure.

- Q. I'm assuming probably where you ended up, more or less, it didn't -- there was no lack of work to do.
- 12 A. Not at all.

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Instead of having to go kind of start from scratch, I mean, you kind of got into a going concern.
  - A. Correct. And then my friend, obviously -- actually Judge Turner's brother worked at the firm, and he said hey, we're hiring. Come on up. And I said okay, sounds good. So I ended up working with him some.
  - Q. I mean, so you pretty much just expand based upon what you get, or have you started to kind of, more or less, generate some of your own stuff?
- A. I've gotten a ton of personal referrals, but the firm has their own marketing. They'll bring in the clients. But I've had a few repeats, and then also

- just been able to branch out with just word of mouth and just see and be seen so much in court that I think that it helps.
- Q. Well, I see one of your letters of reference from Mr. Hayford.
- 6 A. Oh, yeah.
- 7 Q. He basically was saying that he's referred to you.
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. Yeah, I go back with him to high school.
- 10 A. Oh, okay.

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Long time. And, I mean, look, obviously I put a lot of credence in what he says. So y'all had some exchanges in these last few years?
  - A. Absolutely. He actually is my father-in-law's really good friend, and anything up in the upstate he always gives me a call and refers it up to me, which I very much appreciate. And I've been able to resolve his referrals successfully, and I think that's also helped with his confidence in continuing to funnel him my way.
- Q. Let me ask you this, and certainly I'm not -- this is a question I've asked other people.
- 23 | A. Sure.
- Q. And so I'm not in any way trying to pick on you,
  okay? You've got about 11 years' worth of time in as

a practitioner. You're about 38 years old.

Effectively, you're coming to us and ultimately to the Legislature and saying give me an employment really from now on until I'm going to retire. I mean, because that's effectively what it can and likely would be. Tell us, you know, why? Because obviously I think you've accomplished a heck of a lot in a short time, but I'm one of those people that believes that, you know, experience is something that is gained over maybe the long haul as opposed to just a snapshot. And then my way of thinking these days, 10 years is a snapshot.

- 13 | A. Sure.
- 14 Q. So give me your thoughts about that.
  - A. Sure. You know, in our circuit alone, Judge
    Zimmerman and Judge Turner, who's now ascended to the
    Court of Appeals, they both took the bench prior to
    40, and so there's some precedent to that in our
    circuit, but they had just the same amount of
    experience I have, and I believe that I know what
    kind of law I want to do, and I'm passionate about
    family court. I love the people. I love the
    interactions. And I feel like I'm approachable, and
    I think that I would provide stability in terms of
    temperament and demeanor to the family court bench

1 and make people feel comfortable when they come into 2 my courtroom, because that's the most important part. 3 It's already hostile. It's already tense. 4 you've got to be able to be approachable within the 5 rules, obviously. But give them their time and their 6 day in court, and I believe given the body of work 7 that I've done with family court and then the other 8 areas of the law, that all comes into a melting pot, 9 as I said, about family court with different issues 10 that arise, and I think that I'll be able to pick up 11 and get right into work and do what I've done now. 12 And I think y'all will get 110 percent of enthusiasm 13 and effort on my part every day, and I think that age 14 is part of diversity, and a diverse bench is a good 15 bench, and I think that -- I believe I'd be an 16 effective, well-qualified judge to do that. 17 Q. Last thing. Not asking about particular judges, but 18 if you were to look at kind of how you see the family 19

- Q. Last thing. Not asking about particular judges, but if you were to look at kind of how you see the family court system now, and if you were going in as a judge and say, I'd like to maybe tweak some things or use my style to basically improve the way it's perceived and the way it operates, what would maybe be the first one or two things that would jump to your mind about that?
- A. Well, again, first being approachable and just

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knowing that someone that comes in, I'm not going to be some guy in an ivory tower that's wearing a black I'm going to be human just like the rest of them, and I'm going to show compassion and sympathize with their circumstances and hear their case out fully. And I think in terms of an overall what we can do potentially to make family court better is to make it a little bit more predictable in terms of getting into family court. You know, a lot of times these different circuits and practice in different areas, their administrative procedures are all different, and I think that if you've got an opportunity -- we've just recently started holding semiannual meetings with the family court bar in Greenville, and I think it would be good to have that in the Eighth Circuit to where, you know, here's the problem I'm running into, here's what's happening with the administrative aspect and what's slowing the cases down, and hearing from the people who actually practice family court and trying to better -- make the transition easier for clients so they know exactly what's going to happen when they walk into that courtroom and there's not going to be any miscues about paperwork or filing that was supposed to have been done a separate way but is done a

- different way in Greenville or wherever else it may be. So just consistency.
  - Q. What do you do, for instance -- like it seems like what you would envision as your style would fit pretty well in the Eighth Circuit because you're not maybe having the same volume, but you've obviously now been to other places. Greenville. You know, you say you've come to Lexington where they're drowning.
  - A. Absolutely.

- Q. I mean, how do you kind of try to still be able to implement when you're kind of going to, for lack of a better word, a more hostile environment?
- A. Well, and I think it comes down to, again, just organization and the people who you're going to be practicing before you. The Eighth Circuit is not the largest family court bench in the state, but we've got a very cordial and collegial bar, and we work well together, we communicate well together, and I've always said family court is 90 percent communication. If you can pick up the phone and call someone and resolve an issue, that's what's going to help resolve the case. If you're acting hostile or arrogant, it's just going to further polarize the parties and not allow the resolution. So I think, going back to your question, just being able to put together a plan and

1		start with those meetings similar to the Thirteenth
2		Circuit and pick up a few things that I've learned in
3		the Thirteenth Circuit that I've seen that work and
4		are efficient and trying to assist that with the
5		Eighth Circuit.
6		MR. SAFRAN: Thank you very much.
7		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any Ms. Blackley.
8		EXAMINATION
9	BY M	S. BLACKLEY:
10	Q.	I just have a quick question.
11	A.	Sure.
12	Q.	Where you currently are employed with the law firm in
13		Greenville, what type of cases do you handle there?
14	Α.	So it's all family court. Whether it's a divorce,
15		custody action, modification action, rule to show
15 16		custody action, modification action, rule to show cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We
16		cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We
16 17		cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We do some private work for DSS, so pre-nuptials, post-
16 17 18		cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We do some private work for DSS, so pre-nuptials, post-nuptials. Pretty much anything that comes in the
16 17 18 19	Q.	cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We do some private work for DSS, so pre-nuptials, post-nuptials. Pretty much anything that comes in the door that's family court related we're going to take
16 17 18 19 20	Q.	cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We do some private work for DSS, so pre-nuptials, post-nuptials. Pretty much anything that comes in the door that's family court related we're going to take it and handle it.
16 17 18 19 20 <b>21</b>	Q.	cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We do some private work for DSS, so pre-nuptials, post-nuptials. Pretty much anything that comes in the door that's family court related we're going to take it and handle it.  I noticed on the website it says that you represent
16 17 18 19 20 <b>21</b> <b>22</b>	<b>Q.</b> A.	cause, adoption, termination of parental rights. We do some private work for DSS, so pre-nuptials, post-nuptials. Pretty much anything that comes in the door that's family court related we're going to take it and handle it.  I noticed on the website it says that you represent

- 1 that, thankfully. And, yes, I've got plenty of 2 female clients, and I make it a point to when female 3 clients come in, I try to take the case because I'm 4 the one that's signing them, just to keep me sharp 5 and balanced on both ends. 6 MS. BLACKLEY: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Ms. Blackley. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY SENATOR RANKIN: Have you tried a fully contested, stem-to-stern 10 Q. 11 equitable distribution custody case in a final 12 hearing? 13 Yes. Α. Yes. 14 How long ago? How many? Q. 15 In terms of overall trials, probably family court Α. 16 trials, probably seven. But one of them, which was 17 the longest one, went on for about two weeks in the Eighth Circuit. 18 19 Was that with your current employer or previous? Q. 20 Α. So the two week one was with Townsend and Thompson, 21 just an extra hand on the case, and I was also able 22 to do some of the witnesses. But the other ones were 23 all with my current firm. 24 And they involved what?
  - Garber Reporting

Equitable division. Alimony modification was one of

Q.

Α.

1 them. Another one was just a divorce on adultery. 2 And then another was a modification for child 3 custody. 4 And so those were litigated, contested hearings that 0. 5 went to a final order, not a consent order? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And I know a little bit of family court, enough to Q. 8 know that I don't want to go there unless I have to go there, but I'm proud of you for doing it and doing 9 10 I recognize that just as in the civil 11 field, cases that go to mediation more times than not 12 do resolve. I would commend to you, find the time to 13 become a mediator because you will be playing the 14 role of Solomon the mediator and judge if you're 15 successful in getting to the bench. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So you are a well-organized guy. 18 You've got wonderful attributes. People are 19 saying great things about you. You comport 20 yourself very well. Young or not, you are, as 21 Senator Garrett said, an up-and-coming -- you 22 don't have to pay for this line to be called a 23 super lawyer, but he's recognizing a good one in 24 So unless there are other questions now,

Mr. Conway Todd, this will close this portion of

1	the hearing and the screening. You realize that
2	this record will not be closed until the formal
3	release of the record of qualifications.
4	Because of our adherence to the state ethics
5	law, the violation of the letter or spirit of
6	that would warrant us calling you back. I need
7	an affirmation from you that you do know that
8	this record will not be closed.
9	MR. TODD: I do, and I understand. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Thank you both for
11	being here early and being a sharp couple and a
12	sharp family.
13	MR. TODD: Thank you so much, everyone.
14	(Off the record)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good afternoon. I'd like to say
16	good morning, but you have come very early, and
17	I appreciate we appreciate your shifting
18	around here. Raise your right hand, if you
19	will.
20	ALICE RICHTER, having been first duly sworn, was
21	examined and testified as follows:
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your full name for the
23	record.
24	JUDGE RICHTER: Alice Anne Richter.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you have brought a dapper

1	somebody with you. Want to introduce that
2	dapper somebody?
3	JUDGE RICHTER: My husband, Joseph Serrato.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Welcome, sir. Welcome
5	back. Judge, you know how the process works.
6	First of which your PDQ and the sworn
7	statements. Are they ready to be entered into
8	the record?
9	JUDGE RICHTER: They are.
10	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 MARKED FOR
11	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (15
12	pages) PDQ)
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 MARKED FOR
14	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (5 pages)
15	Sworn Statement)
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. As an up for re-election
17	judge who's been through this process, you know
18	we focus on the nine evaluative criteria, which
19	includes a ballot box survey, thorough study of
20	your application materials, check for economic
21	conflicts of the ethics laws, and our search for
22	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
23	and study of past screenings. No complaints
24	have been filed in opposition to your re-
25	election. No affidavits. No witnesses are here

1 to testify against you. And so if I am not mistaken, Ms. Wilkinson, we're ready to go. 2 And 3 she'll ask questions, as you know, and then 4 we'll open it up for members of the commission. 5 Welcome. 6 JUDGE RICHTER: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Back. 8 EXAMINATION 9 MS. WILKINSON: 10 Good afternoon, Judge Richter. Q. 11 Good afternoon. Α. 12 Q. After serving since 2015 on the family court, why do 13 you want to continue to serve as a family court 14 judge? 15 I have been honored to serve our state and all those Α. 16 who come before the family court, and I think that in 17 the family court, we are uniquely situated to be on 18 the front lines of people's lives and really impact 19 the lives of families and children in our state in a 20 positive way, and I hope to continue to do that. 21 We do have a few issues to put on the record. Judge Q. 22 Richter, you indicated in your PDQ that since your 23 last screening, a lawsuit was filed against you in 24 France v. County of Charleston. Can you please give 25 us the nature and disposition of this lawsuit?

- 1 Α. Yes. This was a lawsuit filed in federal court by a 2 litigant, pro se, a self-represented litigant from 3 family court, who appeared in front of me and a 4 number of other judges in Charleston County and who 5 went on to sue us along with his wife and his wife's 6 attorney. Those of us, the clerk and the other 7 family court judges, we were sued in our professional 8 capacity, and eventually the case was dismissed, I 9 believe, in June of 2023. I was never served with 10 the action. 11 What do you think your reputation is among attorneys 0. 12 that practice before you?
  - A. I hope, and based on my meeting with the bar, that it is and will continue to be positive that I am diligent, that I'm honorable, and that I maintain the highest levels of integrity and abide by the canons and the law and provide a fair opportunity to be fully heard to all those who come before the court.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Judge Richter, the Commission received 390 ballot box surveys regarding you with 57 additional comments. The ballot box surveys, for example, contain the following positive comments: Judge Richter is very level-headed and consistent. She appreciates organized and timely filed documents and is thorough in her review of files. She catches things other

1 judges don't and asks questions when appropriate. 2 Her thoughtfulness helps keep attorneys in check and 3 provides a fair tribunal to all parties. 4 Richter is a treasure with a firm but caring demeanor and an excellent command of the law and rules of 5 6 Judge Richter is beyond dedicated to being evidence. 7 a family court judge. She is well-versed in the law 8 and always works hard. She is punctual and always has 9 a great demeanor. She is always mindful to do what 10 is in the best interest of the child. Six of the 11 written comments did express concerns. One pattern 12 did emerge among those comments, indicating that you 13 have a bias in favor of attorneys with whom you have 14 a personal friendship and that you have favorites. 15 What response would you offer to this concern? 16 I think that maintaining neutrality, independence, Α. 17 and integrity is something that is required by the 18 I endeavor to do that every time folks walk 19 into the courtroom. Folks with -- attorneys with 20 whom I am close, I have recused myself from hearing 21 any of their cases, and so I don't. I do not believe 22 I am biased and I would comply with the canons if I 23 felt that I were in any situation and either recuse 24 myself or remit the case if I believe that there was

I, of course, will take the anonymous

25

a conflict.

ballot box comments on board and will continue to 1 2 endeavor to ensure that everyone not only is treated 3 fairly but also understands that there is that 4 perception in place that they feel comfortable and 5 know that I am providing everyone a fair hearing. 6 MS. WILKINSON: Regarding the Citizens Committee 7 report, I would note that the Lowcountry 8 Citizens Committee found Judge Richter qualified in constitutional qualifications, physical 9 10 health, and mental stability. It further 11 reported that Judge Richter is well qualified in 12 ethical fitness, professional and academic 13 ability, character, reputation, experience, and 14 judicial temperament. Additionally, the 15 committee commented in their summary that Judge 16 Richter is a caring, dedicated, smart, 17 personable, hardworking, excellent judge. 18 Judge Richter, we do have a few housekeeping issues 0. 19 to go over with you. Submitting your letter of 20 intent, have you contacted any members of the 21 commission about your candidacy? 22 Α. No. 23 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the Q. 24 limitations on contacting members of the General 25 Assembly regarding your screening?

1 Α. I am. 2 Submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or 3 received the pledge of any legislator either prior to 4 this date or pending the outcome of your screening? 5 Α. I have not. 6 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 7 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 8 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 9 process on your behalf? 10 I have not made such contact or asked anyone to Α. 11 do so, and I am not aware of any such contact. 12 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 13 commission's quidelines on pledging in South Carolina 14 Code Section 2-19-70E? 15 I am familiar. Thank you. Α. 16 MS. WILKINSON: I would just note for the record that 17 any concerns raised during the investigation 18 regarding Judge Richter were incorporated into 19 the questioning of her today. Mr. Chairman, I 20 have no further questions. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Questions about members 22 of the commission? Senator? Hello, Pete Strom. 23 Mike Strom. 24 Thank you, Mr. Strom -- Mr. Chairman. MR. STROM: 25 Too much barbecue. Members of the commission,

1 I've known Judge Richter since literally she was 2 a child. She is an phenomenally bright, caring She was meant to be on the family 3 person. 4 And you've enjoyed a great reputation 5 throughout your whole career. And, you know, 6 there's so many things you could do to make a 7 lot more money but you've chosen this public 8 service, and we really appreciate that. 9 you for your service. 10

Thank you for your kind words. JUDGE RICHTER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. STROM:

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well.

EXAMINATION

## BY SENATOR RANKIN:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge, I want to commend you as well. I've never Q. I obviously appeared before you. I remember you. well remember your father, who I've served with. And I well remember us talking about your father as a judge and how you would be considered as a judge. And you've drawn on the best in him and perhaps put down some of the older style with your demeanor. so I want to not say that he didn't have a good demeanor, but he was a firm snap, crackle, pop, wield in that courtroom, went to that bench, and then the pleasantries were later. You are bringing all the

1 good. And I'm just, again, reading the letters of 2 reference, which are from folks that you can read 3 their names, obviously, but the comments from those 4 who have taken the time, with the exception of the 5 distinct outliers who take issue with you, who hold 6 you up in a very high regard in your service on the 7 bench and making hard decisions that we hear 8 complaints filed about that may well be filed against 9 you at some point in the future based on the hard decisions that you're tasked to do. 10 11 apparently you're bringing the right touch to this 12 role. I want to ask you, as I've asked a few others, 13 docket management down in your part of the world and 14 how you're managing to keep the trains rolling 15 without cutting anybody off that needs a little more 16 time in a temporary hearing to get justice delivered? 17 How do you do that? 18 Α. I'm primarily in Charleston and Berkeley counties. 19 My circuit is Charleston and Berkeley counties, but 20 we, you know, do travel. I have been from 2022 to 21 the end of 2023 for 18 months, I was Chief 22 Administrative Judge in Charleston, and then for six 23 of those months, unfortunately, Judge Creech was ill, 24 and so I was Berkeley County too. And I would say in

the Ninth Circuit, it's very diverse in how that's

dealt with. Berkeley is the fastest growing county in our state. It's the fourth fastest growing county in the country, and the population is booming. they are struggling to keep up and are struggling with docket management, I think, just with growing pains through no fault of anyone's, but maybe need more terms of court. In Charleston, we have kept things pretty steady and are keeping up very well with our numbers and dockets. But I think family court, and I think this is maybe part of what you're alluding to, is so unique in that these are not just cases. These are people's lives. And we do have a The Chief Justice -- Chief 365 day benchmark order. Justice Kittredge has just updated the Chief Administrative Judge order to provide some clarity for its temporary hearings and hopefully help people assess what amount of time they need so it helps folks get scheduled in an appropriate time frame and for enough time to be fully heard. But we try to stay on top of it by working very close with our clerk's offices and with court administration, who I have to say do an excellent job of making sure that we have the resources that we need on the ground.

- Q. In these high growth areas and in Horry similarly, --
- A. Yes.

Q. -- y'all have lots of demands. Obviously,
yesterday, Judge Jefferson and the circuit bench with
the civil and the criminal, the General Sessions
docket, y'all need help. Big counties need help.
And when you need help, do you get it? And if we can
help you, tell us -- if you had the magic pen, what
would you ask of us -- whoever?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know what the magic -- I don't know what the Α. penance is. I think our state and Horry County feeling the same thing. We're growing. Our whole state is growing. And the demographics of our state are changing and the types of cases we're seeing in terms of how quickly they can be resolved and how straightforward they are or how protracted they become based on economic and other aspects is changing. We are using every resource we have. We're doing court virtually in family court in Charleston. We used virtual court throughout COVID and we've kept on using it. We are using something called an owl camera, which is a camera that picks up who's speaking in the courtroom and doesn't require a court reporter. We have a huge court reporter shortage in our state. I think Chief Justice Kittredge is on that and I'll let him take the lead in speaking with y'all. But I think it's important

1 that we stay nimble and stay innovative. 2 everyone, I will say, on the bench right now, who I 3 have the pleasure of working with -- we have a 4 hardworking group of people who are committed to 5 doing a good job and helping people get their cases 6 heard and realize how important it is and how 7 detrimental it can be to have that delayed. I think 8 it's just a period of growth. And y'all's role in it 9 is absolutely going to be integral in the 10 legislature, ensuring that the resources are there so 11 the citizens who need to be heard can be heard and 12 have resolution through the court system. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right. 14 questions by anybody else? If not, Judge 15 Richter, y'all have come early. I hope that 16 y'all get to check out Cromer's Peanuts or 17 Lizard's Thicket or some bakery that Andy Safran 18 could recommend you to on your way out. 19 will conclude this portion of your screening, 2.0 and, again, we appreciate your nimbleness in 21 getting here so soon. As you know, the record is not closed until the final release of the 22 23 record of qualifications of you. Our adherence 24 to the state ethics law, both to the spirit and the letter, we treasure, we cherish, and we 25

1	would take very seriously any violation or
2	appearance of a violation of that law. You
3	understand that we could call you back in the
4	unlikely event that you were to stray from those
5	rules, right?
6	JUDGE RICHTER: I do. Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right, this is it
8	for today. Thank y'all very much.
9	JUDGE RICHTER: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we'll see y'all the next time.
11	JUDGE RICHTER: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Safe travels.
13	(Off the record)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, welcome.
15	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being able to get
17	here on the fly and shifting the schedule to
18	accommodate others.
19	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Not a problem at all.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And try to get you all in and out
21	sooner than 7:30 tonight.
22	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Thank you for that.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Would you like to stay till 7:30
24	tonight?
25	JUDGE MCELHANNON: I would prefer not to.

1 All right, if you will, CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. 2 raise your right hand. 3 SCOTT MCELHANNON, having been first duly sworn, 4 was examined and testified as follows: 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You've got two forms that we need, 6 that PDO and that sworn statement. Are those ready to be entered into the record? 7 8 JUDGE MCELHANNON: Yes. 9 (EXHIBIT NO. 20 MARKED FOR 10 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14 11 pages) PDO) (EXHIBIT NO. 21 MARKED FOR 12 13 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (5 pages) 14 Sworn Statement) 15 All right. You have been through CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 16 this JMSC system before and you know our focus on the nine evaluative criteria, which includes 17 18 a ballot box survey, thorough study of your 19 application materials, verification of your 2.0 compliance with state ethics laws, search of 21 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 22 study of previous screenings and a check for 23 economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits 24 have been filed or complaints in opposition to 25 your election. I don't believe you brought

1		anybody with you in here.
2		JUDGE MCELHANNON: I came by myself today.
3		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well, and so I'm going to turn
4		it over to staff counsel here for questions and
5		then the rest of the folks may ask questions of
6		you. And so Ms. Starnes, take it away, please.
7		MS. STARNES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8		EXAMINATION
9	MS.	STARNES:
10	Q.	Good afternoon, Judge McElhannon.
11	Α.	Good afternoon.
12	Q.	Good to see you.
13	Α.	Good to see you.
14	Q.	Judge, after four and a half years of serving on the
15		family court bench, why do you want to continue
16		serving as a family court judge?
17	A.	Well, first off, I love my job. I love what I do. I
18		feel like I help people in the courtroom in a very
19		stressful situation. I try to calm everybody down
20		and I feel like we get a lot of work done that way.
21		I also feel that I've served the citizens of the
22		state of South Carolina well for four and a half
23		years and I believe I can continue to do that if
24		reelected.
25	Q.	Thank you. Judge McElhannon, what do you think your

reputation is among attorneys that practiced before you?

- A. I would say fair, patient. Hopefully they would say kind. I try to be kind and treat everyone like I would want to be treated coming into a stressful situation.
- Q. Thank you. The commission received 273 ballot box surveys regarding you with 26 comments, a majority of which are positive. Such positive comments include:

  Judge McElhannon has a great understanding of family law and marital litigation. He is courteous and understanding. Judge McElhannon is an excellent judge. He allows you to try your case and gives well-reasoned decisions. He is very courteous to all who appear before him. Two of the written comments did express concerns about your rulings and the procedural rules of your courtroom. What response would you offer to those concerns?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, I think I follow the rules of procedure and I'm not exactly sure what context there that question, or that comment is in, so it's hard to respond to it.
- Q. Regarding the temporary hearings?
- A. Oh, that's probably -- I think when we talked before, one concern was that I did not allow the attorneys to

1 argue enough. And the only time I don't allow them 2 to argue is at temporary hearings. The reason being, 3 Rule 21 states that temporary hearings are to be 4 decided on affidavits and other supporting 5 documentation. Arguments of counsel is 6 discretionary. The way -- I started out letting them 7 argue at the very beginning when I took the bench and 8 what I found was happening, I would take -- and time 9 constraint is one thing. Most temporary hearings are 10 set for 30 minutes. If you read all of the 11 affidavits from both parties, it normally takes 15 to 12 20 minutes at least to read through those. And I was 13 finding that the attorneys were arguing or basically 14 repeating what I'd already read in the affidavits. 15 And then my whole schedule got bumped back and 16 everyone was sitting out in the lobby wondering why 17 it was taking so long. So basically time and court 18 efficiency is why I don't allow them to argue at 19 temporary hearings. 20 Q. Thank you, Judge McElhannon. The Upstate Citizens 21 Committee found you to be well qualified in the 22 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional 23 and academic ability, character, reputation, 24 experience and judicial temperament and qualified in

the evaluative criteria of physical health,

constitutional qualifications and mental stability. I 1 2 do have a few housekeeping issues to discuss. Judge 3 McElhannon, since you submitted your letter of 4 intent, have you contacted any members of the commission about your candidacy? 5 6 No. Α. 7 Are you familiar with section 2-19-70, including the Q. limitations on contacting members of the general 8 9 assembly regarding your screening? 10 Yes. Α. 11 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 12 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 13 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 14 your screening? 15 No. Α. 16 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 17 of the general assembly on your behalf or are you 18 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 19 process on your behalf? 20 Α. No. 21 And have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 22 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina 23 Code section 2-19-70E? 24 Α. Yes.

I would just note for the record that

25

MS. STARNES:

1 any concerns raised during the investigation 2 regarding Judge McElhannon were incorporated 3 into the questioning today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Ms. Starnes. Mr. 6 Safran, you're recognized. 7 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. SAFRAN: 10 I think you and I share at least one thing in common. 0. 11 It looks like you started out down in the 14th Circuit. 12 13 I did. Α. 14 You were working with Sam Svalina. Q. 15 I was. Α. 16 I worked with Moss and them --0. 17 Α. Oh, okay. Yeah. -- for about two and a half years. It looks like 18 Q. 19 both of us found another path. 20 Α. I was there for about two years. That's about it. 21 Met my wife down there, so that was a good thing. 22 That was worth living in Beaufort for. 23 Have you been in Anderson pretty much since then? Q.

Okay. And I actually was in Anderson before

I have been, yeah.

24

25

Α.

Q.

- Beaufort. I clerked for Judge Anderson up there many years ago.
- 3 A. Oh, yeah.
- 4 So I'm very familiar with at least some of the 0. 5 lawyers back then. Let me just ask some questions, 6 because look, I will preface it by saying that I did 7 some family court work in Beaufort. I did a little 8 bit in Columbia when I moved back, and then I wised 9 up and I said this is not for me. So let me -- let 10 me just, based on my rudimentary knowledge, ask you a 11 few things.
- 12 A. All right.
- Q. My recollection, and at least what's been validated
  when I've asked questions of family court judges
  since, is that the temporary hearing has a
  substantial impact on where the course of that case
  is going to go. Is that a fair assessment?
- 18 A. That's fair.
- Q. And I guess, you know, lawyers -- and I'm sure when you were doing family court work, lawyers are hired -
- 21 -
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. -- basically to go and basically enhance the positions of their clients. Fair?
- 25 A. Fair.

- Q. All right. We went through this process probably about six years ago when there was an abundance of family court judges coming through. And what was a concern of mine, and remains a concern of mine, is that if you've got a hearing that is going to have such a substantial impact on the case, and lawyers are there, basically, to more or less try to highlight the high points and the strong points of their case, it seems like to me you're neutering them when you don't let them at least make some amount of argument during that first process.
- A. Well, I don't say I don't do it all the time. The majority of the time, I do not let them argue. Now, if it's a very complex case, and if the child -- the main thing is child custody, and that type thing in a temporary hearing, that, to me, is the most important part of that. And if that is a borderline where I can't decide based on the affidavits, I may let them. But the problem is that they don't ask for enough time for the temporary hearings. They get to request how much time it will take, and normally they put 30 minutes. Sometimes they put 15, which is ridiculous because we can't even read through the packets in 15 minutes. But I did have -- I actually had one in -- not Anderson County, another county, a couple of

weeks ago, where they requested an hour and a half
for a temporary hearing. And at that point, then
they have time to argue. But the main thing is, like
I said, the time constraints that we have to hear
sometimes six or eight temporary hearings in a
morning.

- 7 | Q. No, I get that.
  - A. Yeah, and I understand what you're saying, and I agree with you. I don't think that -- I don't -- I think a lot of the times, the attorneys want their clients to hear them argue at that first --
- 12 Q. I get that part too, because it's almost like I've
  13 got to make sure I'm proving that I'm worth what you
  14 paid me.
- 15 A. Right.

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q. But I guess the thing that I've got a concern about is we as lawyers, and look, I've argued at pretty much every level, --
- 19 | A. Sure.
- 20 Q. -- most every court at one time or another, albeit
  21 not a lot recently. I mean, when we go to the Court
  22 of Appeals or the Supreme Court, we write a brief
  23 that puts everything in there that's supposed to be
  24 said, but yet we get time to be able to go and argue,
  25 okay? When we're in circuit court, same thing

- happens. And so that's a product of the family court system that causes me concern.
- 3 A. Right.

- Q. The other thing is, is that I'm assuming that the reason they don't ask for an hour, an hour and a half frequently is because they get put at the back of the line.
- 8 A. That could be.
  - Q. And so give me some help here in trying to understand how can we basically modify or somehow enhance the system in order to make it where asking for the amount of time you feel like is necessary to give them that opportunity is not something that is already a deterrent, you know, for them doing it --
  - A. Right.
- 16 Q. -- because of the fact that we'll never get to court.
  - A. Right. Possibly, if you had just temporary hearing days where that's all you heard, and that way you could set them -- like you could set ten in a day, or maybe even more than that. But if -- well, I think that would -- and have one judge hear those temporary hearings. That way they wouldn't have their other time taken up with emergency hearings, bench warrants, everything else that comes in on a daily basis. So if one judge were to be assigned temporary

- 1 hearings, or maybe even two days a week, and that's
- 2 all they did, I think that would alleviate a lot of
- 3 | that.
- 4 Q. Well, and when y'all are up to full capacity, because
- 5 I know y'all had an empty seat for quite a while.
- 6 A. Right. For a long time.
- 7 | Q. But when you're up to full capacity, how many judges
- 8 are generally actively hearing cases in Anderson?
- 9 A. All the time, two, and sometimes three.
- 10 | Q. Okay. So it's not like necessarily it's impossible
- in terms of man hours.
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. Or person hours.
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. So who basically -- is it the clerk that sets the
- 16 cases?
- 17 A. The docketing clerk, scheduling clerk.
- 18 Q. So I mean, is it something that judges could
- 19 basically get with the clerks --
- 20 A. We could.
- 21 | Q. -- and say can we try to do something like this?
- 22 | A. We could, and I'm the chief administrative judge in
- 23 January, so I've got some ideas.
- 24 | Q. Well, I mean, you may think I'm completely wrong
- 25 about it.

- A. No, I don't. I absolutely don't.
  - Q. I think that what concerns me is at least in hearing some of the things out here, both in this process and outside, is that we tend to basically want to make form over substance in family court, and that we're worried about when the clock strikes 30, --
- 7 A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

- Q. -- as opposed to saying, we need to give this some time. Because again, there's so many other areas of the law that we all are acquainted with that a case evolves, and it changes. We don't know from the first day, really where we're gonna end up. In family court, it's the opposite.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 We know likely from that first day where we're Q. 16 ending. And if we're going to put that much emphasis 17 on what happens that first day, it just seems logical 18 to me, we need to give everybody their full shot to 19 make it more or less consistent with what's going on in the process. And so if there's a simple way to do 20 21 it, I mean, I just think it would make common sense 22 to try.
  - A. I appreciate that, and like I said, come January when I become the chief judge, I'm going get with our scheduling clerk, and I have some ideas for other

- 1 things as well.
- 2 I don't know that we have any power to do anything, Q.
- but I can promise you that, you know, you certainly
- 4 have probably the endorsement of all of us in trying
- to make it more workable. 5
- 6 Α. Right.

- 7 Because I can tell you this, one thing that I see Q.
- 8 when we have people coming in here and not unhappy
- 9 with the system, my sense is it stems from the fact
- 10 that they perceive it as almost like you're waiting
- 11 in line at McDonald's, there's your burger, get out
- 12 of here. And that -- we're talking about the impact
- 13 of these cases, and you know it --
- 14 Α. I do.
- 15 -- as well as anybody, have on somebody's life. Q.
- 16 know, I just don't think that you sell it short.
- 17 Α. I appreciate that.
- 18 And when we do it this way -- and I'm not blaming you Q.
- 19 for that.
- 20 No, no. But I appreciate what you're saying. Α.
- 21 It's systemic. But when we do that and try to sell Q.
- 22 it short, I think at the end of the day, not only
- 23 have you hurt the people that are there that day, but
- 24 you're undermining the whole legitimacy of the
- 25 And that's why I think it's an issue. system.

1 Α. Point well taken. 2 So I appreciate it. Q. 3 Yes, sir. Α. 4 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you. 5 JUDGE MCELHANNON: Yes, sir. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom. 7 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. STROM: 10 Judge, I've got to second strongly what Mr. Safran Q. 11 The two complaints I hear more than anything said. 12 about family court judges and the temporary hearings, 13 there's X amount of time and the other side runs out 14 the clock. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Use your mic, Pete. Mic. There's X amount of time. We know your schedule's 16 0. 17 tight, and the other side talks too long, and they 18 run out the clock. Then the case gets continued, and 19 people don't get the relief that they're asking for. 20 But, you know, you got to think about this. 21 comes into your community, and, you know, big case. 22 They've got affidavits. The client's paid them to 23 drive up there, and you don't give them the 24 opportunity to speak. It doesn't give much

confidence in the lawyer from the client's

- 1 standpoint.
- 2 A. I understand.
- 3 And we've talked to a lot of judges about this. 0. Ι 4 think most are now allowing people to speak. 5 get it. You don't want to hear everything that's in 6 all the affidavits, but I can't tell you how much I 7 strongly encourage you to start giving people an 8 opportunity to speak. I just think it's an unforced 9 error on family court judges that are going cause 10 them problems down the road on this issue, and it's 11 something that can be resolved.
- 12 | A. I appreciate that.
- 13 MR. STROM: Thank you.
- 14 JUDGE MCELHANNON: Yes, sir.
- 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Garrett.
- 16 EXAMINATION
- 17 BY SENATOR GARRETT:
- 18 Q. Very briefly. Have you been to Greenwood to the
  19 Eighth Judicial Circuit?
- 20 A. I have not held court there.
- Q. Okay. I just want to mention something that we've tried to do to try to help this.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. One, we limited the number of submissions to eight pages, double-spaced, and that was one way. And we

1 also limited the time that the attorneys could argue, 2 say three minutes a piece. Now, if you want to go 3 beyond that, you have to get special permission to do 4 That's one of the ways we've eliminated it, or 5 moved it along. 6 Α. Right. 7 So I just -- you know, you may want to talk to --Q. 8 Sure. Α. 9 -- some of the judges there --Q. 10 That's a good idea. Α. 11 -- and just talk to them about that. That satisfies 0. 12 -- because there's no way that you can read 13 everything that I submitted back in the day. 14 Α. Right. 15 Literally, I'd come in and -- because I'd try to out Q. 16 lawyer the other one, I'd come in with this. 17 had judges look at me and say Billy, you expect me to 18 read that? I said yeah. No. It's all good. 19 MR. SAFRAN: Senator, I don't know if it's out yet, 20 but I know there's a new rule that the Chief 21 Justice is contemplating, or already signed, in 22 dealing with affidavit length. Is that out yet? 23 JUDGE MCELHANNON: It is not out yet. 24 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. 25 JUDGE MCELHANNON: No. No.

1	MR. SAFRAN: But that's being addressed, right.
2	JUDGE MCELHANNON: And that would help.
3	MR. SAFRAN: Yeah.
4	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Definitely help. That's a good
5	idea, though, Senator. The three minutes, or
6	however long, to let each one argue, that's a
7	good idea.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, thank you very much.
9	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Unless there's other comments now,
11	we will close this portion of the screening
12	process. You know that our record is not closed
13	until the formal release of the record of
14	qualifications. Because of our adherence to the
15	ethics laws, any violation by you of the letter,
16	or spirit of the law, you know that we would
17	call you back for further questions, right?
18	JUDGE MCELHANNON: All right.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We don't anticipate that, but we do
20	anticipate that you'll be happy to get out of
21	here a lot earlier.
22	JUDGE MCELHANNON: I appreciate y'all's time.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you.
24	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Thank you very much. Thank y'all.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for your service to our

1	state.
2	JUDGE MCELHANNON: Thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take care.
4	(Off the Record)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, welcome.
6	JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much for being early
8	as well. Let me get you to start raising your
9	right hand.
10	HUNTLEY CROUCH, having been first duly sworn,
11	was examined and testified as follows:
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have brought someone with you?
13	JUDGE CROUCH: I have. I brought my husband, Chuck
14	Crouch, my husband of 28 years.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, sir. Glad y'all are both
16	here and here early as well. Thank you.
17	JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you the personal
19	data questionnaire and the sworn statement. Are
20	those ready to go into the record without
21	objection?
22	JUDGE CROUCH: Yes, sir.
23	(EXHIBIT NO. 22 MARKED FOR
24	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14
25	pages) PDQ)

1 (EXHIBIT NO. 23 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (9 pages) 2 3 Sworn Statement) 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. You know this process. 5 focus on your re-election involves the nine evaluative criteria, which includes the ballot 6 7 box survey, thorough study of your application 8 materials, verification of your compliance with 9 the state ethics law, search of newspaper articles in which your name appeared, previous 10 11 screenings, and a check for economic conflicts 12 of interest. Bear with me one second here. 13 JUDGE CROUCH: Yes, sir. 14 All right. No affidavits or CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 complaints have been filed in opposition to your 16 campaign, and other than your husband, we will 17 offer him the opportunity to be sworn and to 18 offer testimony, we will -- that's a joke. 19 JUDGE CROUCH: I appreciate that, sir. 2.0 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For the record, that head could not 21 have nodded any more aggressively. But we will 22 now open it up to Ms. Benson to ask questions, 23 and then members of the Commission. And again, 24 thank you for being here and your continued 25 willingness to serve our state.

1 JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you so much, sir. 2 MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 EXAMINATION 4 MS. BENSON: 5 Q. Judge Crouch, after serving six years on the family 6 court, why do you want to continue serving as a 7 family court judge? 8 I'm honored to be a family court judge. I think my Α. 9 history supports the dedication that I had to 10 becoming a judge, but more importantly to a family 11 I think it's very important to have an court judge. 12 understanding of the law, because so many different areas of the law in family court are impacted in each 13 14 I think I have the heart of a public and every case. 15 servant, and I think that if you have that kind of inclination, that family court is where I'm most well 16 served for me, but where I feel like I can continue 17 18 to be of service. So I'm very grateful to be part of 19 family court. 20 Q. Thank you, Judge. There are a few issues that we 21 need to put on the record. Judge, what do you think 22

- your reputation is among the attorneys that practiced before you, and also among the court personnel?
- Well, I think always we hope that we have a good and Α. positive reputation. That's certainly something that

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I individually would strive for. I'm fairly confident that my reputation among court personnel is strong and positive, because we have worked well I think our canons require us not only to be respectful toward members of the bar, but also support staff and members of the clerk staff, and I always try to be mindful of that. With regard to attorneys, I feel like my reputation is probably very I feel like I have had some attorneys come positive. to me, some attorneys who I respect highly, and have indicated that there may have been some concerns, and most of those, I believe, were born out of COVID. COVID was such a unique situation for us. I had, and I'll say this term loosely, the honor of being the Chief Administrative Judge going into COVID, and then again for 18 months coming out of COVID, and it was a very unique time where we had to try to come up with ways to continue to operate. I was honored that the three judges in the 11th Circuit, after meeting, came up with a very detailed memorandum, which was adopted by our Supreme Court for operation of trial courts, but then when it was a fairly smooth transition into COVID, it was a very difficult transition coming out of COVID and operating again, and I think that I may have been perceived as being a little more draconian

1 in application of rules. I'm a very formal judge, a 2 very procedural judge, and so I do believe that it 3 was very difficult, and I recognize from an 4 attorney's standpoint that it's very difficult to go 5 from perhaps a statewide practice virtually to then 6 being expected to turn right back around and come 7 back to what you knew two years prior. And I think 8 that coupled with the very minimal terms of court 9 that we had in our circuit, which was the fewest 10 numbers that we had had since 2014 when we were 11 coming back and opening court up again, I think it 12 put a lot of pressure on the lawyers, it put a lot of 13 pressures on the court, and it put a lot of pressures 14 on court staff. But I hope that now that that's 15 behind us, that the attorneys recognize that while I 16 will follow the law, that I have not forgotten what 17 it's like to be an attorney too and try to respect 18 that. 19 Q. Thank you, Judge.

22 23 24

20

21

25

Judge, the Commission received 298 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 39 having additional comments. The ballot box survey contains some very positive comments. For example, smart, calm, courteous, excellent knowledge, controls the courtroom, and ensures fairness. Five of the written comments expressed concerns. Judge Crouch, the first

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

concern involves your demeanor toward attorneys in the courtroom, that you treat them with disdain. To some extent, I think you've addressed that. Is there any anything that you would add to that?

Α. As you all know, and I'm confident every candidate comes in and says it's very difficult to respond intelligently and thoughtfully when you don't have a I would -- I would disagree with that I am not by any way indicating that I am statement. perfect. As any of you know who have an experience with family court know that we go from cases involving extreme child abuse to cases that are completely uncontested and they may be 15 minutes apart. So it's very difficult sometimes when you're dealing with something that is so complex and intense to go into something that may be not as contested or what some may consider routine. But disdain and treatment of attorneys with disdain, I cannot think of any time that I would have done that. I can give you an example of something where I may have been firm and if they believe that firmness is comparable to treating someone with disdain, you know, that may be their perception. But if I had in a situation with a DSS case and the department was asking to return custody or to grant custody to another person instead

1 of to the parent and I had undertaken a habit of 2 swearing the person who was going to have custody in 3 and asking them some safety questions just to be 4 assured that this was in the child's best interest. 5 And upon responding to the questions, the individual 6 indicated that she had a past felony conviction and a 7 pending felony conviction. So the department was 8 asking to grant custody to someone who had federal 9 I did not treat them with felony charges pending. 10 disdain but I was likely firm in my response and my 11 encouragement that they probably should have that 12 information before they come to court because we 13 always have to consider what's best especially when 14 we have a child who's already been abused and 15 neglected. That attorney later sent me an email and 16 it was very complimentary and we cover those bases 17 every time when we have DSS cases now. 18 Thank you. Judge Crouch, the second concern 0. indicated that you have imposed some policies not in 19 20 compliance with the rules. I think you've also 21 explained that to some extent in the COVID policies Is there anything that 22 that your circuit developed. 23 you would add in connection with that comment? 24 I would have suspected that the primary complaint, if Α. 25 any, against me would have been that I am so driven

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and controlled by the rules. I think that that's what our judicial canons mandate and I try very diligently to follow those. I can't think of a single policy that has been implemented that is not borne out by the rules. I've explained what some I note with the 365 dismissals that policies were. was a concern. During COVID we did not have 365 dismissals. After COVID they were re-implemented. We have to comply with the directives of our Supreme What I did when the rule was that you could Court. decide motions without a hearing, I would take every motion to extend a case and contact -- if there were two attorneys on the case instead of taking up court I would contact them and say do you want to send a consent order. Please send a consent order if this isn't contested and then if you don't send your consent order it's it's just as if you've ruled on that motion. Then your case is subject to dismissal and they were given notice of that. But the rules allowed for that. I think that any policies that were implemented again were responsive to either going into the pandemic or coming out of the pandemic and I don't believe that there is a single policy that I have followed that would be violative of the rules. I would further note that I've had an

attorney complain and say do we have to have our 1 2 client sign consent orders and I'll say yes you do 3 and he will say but nobody else makes us do that. 4 Well, Rule 28 says that they have to do it and so, 5 again, I would think that the complaint would be that 6 I'm holding them to the standards of the rules. But 7 I do not believe that I'm violating any rules. 8 Thank you, Judge. Q. 9 I would note that the Midlands Citizens MS. BENSON: 10 Committee found Judge Crouch qualified in the 11 evaluative criteria of constitutional 12 qualifications, physical health, and mental 13 stability. The committee found her well 14 qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria 15 of ethical fitness, professional and academic 16 ability, character, reputation, experience, and 17 judicial temperament. The committee stated in 18 summary, "well qualified, no comment needed." 19 Q. Judge Crouch just a few housekeeping issues. 20 submitting your letter of intent have you contacted 21 any members of the Commission about your candidacy? 22 I have not. Α. 23 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including the Q. 24 limitations on contacting members of the General

Assembly regarding your screening?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Since submitting your letter of intent have you Q. 3 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 4 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 5 your screening? 6 No. Α. 7 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 8 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 9 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 10 process on your behalf? 11 No. Α. 12 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 13 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 14 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70E? 15 Α. Yes. 16 I would note for the record that any MS. BENSON: 17 concerns raised during the investigation 18 regarding this candidate were incorporated into 19 the questioning of the candidate today. 20 Chairman, I have no further questions. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Representative Jordan. 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 24 Very quickly. Welcome, Judge Crouch. Q. 25 Thank you, sir. Α.

1 Q. Got to point out from Florence way back when. Still 2 family in the PeeDee, correct? 3 Α. Yes, sir. That's correct. 4 You come to Florence every once in a while, correct? 0. 5 I do. Α. I visit often to family members. 6 You can help me with this. Quinby is in Florence 0. 7 County, correct? 8 Yes. Α. Yes. 9 It's a running bone of contention amongst this group Q. 10 but thank you for backing me up. 11 Α. Yes, sir. Happy to do it. Many, many want Quinby to be there, 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 13 Pete, do you have a question? as do I. 14 MR. STROM: I do. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom. 16 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Judge Crouch, I wasn't going to mention this, but you brought it 17 18 up and I want to commend you on something. 19 You're exactly right. After Covid things got 20 out of whack a little bit and some lawyers who 21 think a lot of you went and sat down with you 22 and y'all had a good chat and you didn't have 23 that black robe on. You listened to what they

had to say. Some of -- some miscommunication but

y'all got that straightened out and I really

24

1 commend you for doing that. And instead of 2 waiting six years for this thing to be 3 completely out of whack and people complaining, 4 you guys got together, the bar who's been 5 weeding it out and it's good. And things are 6 working great and I just want to commend you for 7 doing that. 8 JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you very much, Mr. --9 MR. STROM: Yeah. 10 JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Strom. 11 appreciate that comment. And I hope that you 12 and the Commission understand that I'm sincere 13 when I -- when I say that this job is something 14 that I wanted desperately. I worked hard for it 15 and I want to continue to work hard every day. 16 It doesn't mean I get it perfect, but it doesn't 17 mean that I'm not trying and I appreciate the 18 lawyers who are kind enough to bring some 19 concerns and we have that relationship that we 20 can discuss it. It was extremely helpful. So 21 thank you for that. 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, anybody else? just comment and highlight the positive and not 23 24 Again, we have to be as fair as the negative. 25 The outlier comments of raising some we can.

1 We appreciate folks doing their job concern. 2 and not being afraid to do their job, whether it 3 be the circuit court, Court of Appeals, 4 And you're being recognized as whatever. 5 somebody who knows the law backwards and 6 forwards, who has a good, great temperament 7 speaks highly of you. And so your continued 8 desire to do this is something most needed. 9 so we want as a legislature, as these members of 10 this JMSC, we want to uphold folks who aren't 11 afraid to do their job, who do it in the words 12 of Senator Garrett, the three Fs friendly, 13 fairly and firmly. And so each of those are 14 highly important. You run the court, not the 15 litigants. And so bless you for doing it in an 16 evened tempered way thus far and so well. 17 JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you so much, sir. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 18 We are finished now, unless there's 19 anything else, with this portion of the 20 screening process. You know that the record 21 remains open to the formal release of the record 22 of qualifications. Because of the state ethics 23 laws, any violation by you by the letter or the 24 spirit would be deemed very serious by us. Ιf 25 that were to occur in that unlikely event, you

1	do know that we could call you back.
2	JUDGE CROUCH: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Have a great trip back to Quinby
4	kind of
5	JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: country. Quinby kind of
7	country.
8	JUDGE CROUCH: All right, thank you very much.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Y'all take care.
10	JUDGE CROUCH: Thank you.
11	(Off the record)
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Judge.
13	JUDGE CAHOON: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being here so early.
15	JUDGE CAHOON: Thank you for having me.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And as you know, we need to put you
17	under oath if you would raise your right hand.
18	BLAKELY COPELAND CAHOON, having been first duly
19	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: State your name for the record.
21	JUDGE CAHOON: Blakely Copeland Cahoon.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome back. The two documents
23	you have, the PDQ and the sworn statement, are
24	they ready to be entered into the record?
25	JUDGE CAHOON: Yes.

1	(EXHIBIT NO. 24 MARKED FOR
2	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14
3	pages) PDQ)
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 25 MARKED FOR
5	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (8 pages)
6	Sworn Statement)
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, they will go in. As you
8	know, having gone through this process before
9	our focus is on the nine evaluative criteria,
10	which includes a ballot box survey, thorough
11	study of your application materials,
12	verification of compliance with the state ethics
13	law, search of newspaper articles in which your
14	name appears, search and study of past
15	screenings, and final check for economic
16	conflicts of interest. No affidavits or
17	objections or complaints have been filed. No
18	witnesses are here to testify against you. And
19	so we will turn it over to Mr. Gentry for
20	questions and then to members of the Commission.
21	So welcome.
22	JUDGE CAHOON: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Gentry.
24	MR. GENTRY: Thank you, Mr Chairman.
25	EXAMINATION

MR. GENTRY:

- Q. Judge Cahoon, after serving six months on the family court, why do you want to continue serving?
- A. I love it. It's been a really great experience.

  It's been so much more than I ever thought it was going to be going into it. And I do love it. It's something different every day that I get to do. And I'm always learning still new stuff every time that comes up. So it's been a great experience.
- Q. What do you think your reputation is among the attorneys that practice before you?
  - A. I hope it's good. I think they're finally starting to get to know me. I have traveled throughout the state. So I have not been in Berkeley or the Ninth Circuit very much yet. But I think what they find is that I tend to ask questions about things, whether it's things in affidavits or things from the solicitor in a juvenile case. I usually have a lot of questions for people. Sometimes my hearings run over a little bit because I want to make sure that I have all the information. So I try to be very thorough with what I'm doing.
  - Q. The Commission received 278 ballot box surveys regarding you with 10 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the

1		following positive comments: Judge Cahoon has been
2		an excellent addition to the bench. Even though her
3		tenure has been short, she has an excellent demeanor
4		and runs her docket smoothly and efficiently. Judge
5		Cahoon is very smart. She is kind and has a great
6		judicial temperament. And Judge Cahoon has been an
7		outstanding family court judge in her short time on
8		the bench. She should continue to serve. Three of
9		the written comments expressed concerns. These
10		comments indicate that you might be too inexperienced
11		as a judge. How would you respond to that concern?
12	A.	Well, I was just starting. So I mean, I would expect
13		maybe for that to happen. But every day is something
14		different. And I think the hardest part has been
15		sort of controlling the courtroom has been not
16		necessarily a challenge, but it's hard to go from
17		being on the lawyer side of things to being the judge
18		and paying attention to everything that's going on.
19		So I think I have a much better handle on that now
20		after doing it for six months. So it will continue
21		to improve.
22	Q.	Thank you, Judge.
23		MR. GENTRY: I would note that the Lowcountry
24		Citizens Committee found Judge Cahoon qualified
25		in the evaluative criteria of constitutional

qualifications, physical health and mental 1 2 stability. The committee found her well 3 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 4 fitness, professional and academic ability, 5 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 6 The committee stated that Judge temperament. 7 Cahoon has considerable experience in all facets 8 of the family court. She has an excellent 9 temperament and is well versed in the family 10 She explained to the committee that she law. 11 established residency in the circuit shortly 12 after her interview last year. Residency was 13 the only drawback noted by the committee last 14 year. 15 I have a few housekeeping questions for you. Q. 16 Cahoon, since submitting your letter of intent, have 17 you contacted any members of the Commission regarding 18 your candidacy? 19 No. Α. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70 including the 20 Q. 21 limitations on contacting members of the General 22 Assembly regarding your screening? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q.

sought or received the pledge of any legislator

1 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 2 your screening? 3 Α. No. 4 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 5 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 6 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in the 7 process on your behalf? 8 No. Α. 9 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 10 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 11 Carolina Code section 2-19-70 subsection E? 12 Α. Yes. 13 I would just note for the record that MR. GENTRY: 14 any concerns raised during the investigation 15 regarding the candidate were incorporated in the 16 questioning today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 17 further questions. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. I remember you. Every 19 one of us remembers you, I know, fondly. 20 only person new to this is Senator Garrett, but 21 and so I commend you for just getting started and wanting to keep going. Michelle Sheeran, I 22 23 remember her letter about you before. 24 comments that it's the second time she's 25 recommended you. And so my only question to

1 you, what can we do to help you do your job 2 better from the General Assembly standpoint, not 3 the JMSC standpoint? 4 JUDGE CAHOON: If I can have more docket time in 5 Berkeley County, that would be helpful. 6 don't have enough time. We oftentimes just have 7 two judges in what is a very -- I'm sure Senator 8 Saab can correlate, a very large county with a 9 lot of litigants that are in it. And I do think that that's an issue across the state, 10 11 especially in the larger counties. I can tell you having traveled from -- this week I was in 12 13 The difference between Allendale and Beaufort. 14 me going and holding a docket in Allendale and 15 holding a docket in Berkeley or Charleston is 16 180 degrees how you do that. And so we just need more time. And I will say to you, 17 18 Representative Rutherford, we need more 19 resources for juveniles. I'm running into a lot of juveniles that are duly involved with DSS and 2.0 21 with DJJ and it's difficult. And it's difficult 22 for me as the judge to figure out the best way 23 to go with the juvenile and it's -- because 24 they're just not enough resources. 25 children need more help than what is available

1 to them and so they need more places to go. 2 They need more places to house the juveniles 3 because they don't necessarily need to be in 4 detention but they may not be able to go home 5 yet. And it's very concerning to me whenever --6 because I have done mostly detention hearings. 7 I haven't -- it's mostly pleas and detention 8 hearings with juveniles. But it is concerning 9 to me the number of them that have that dual 10 involvement and that just need -- they need more 11 help. And I don't know the best way to help 12 them other than to say they need more resources. 13 And I'm sure you've heard that from DJJ and from 14 everywhere else but they absolutely need mental 15 health resources and they need more help. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're in a unique position to 17 comment and so I appreciate that. I don't want 18 to cut anyone off. Senator Saab. 19 SENATOR SAAB: I just want to make a comment, Mr. 2.0 Chairman. And I'm really glad you all had that 21 exchange and it's my hope that you continue to 22 see a commitment from the General Assembly that 23 we recognize that problem, and that there is a 24 need for additional resources, and hopefully we can just make great strides in being able to 25

1 provide these children with the tools they need 2 to grow up and realize that there's hope if they 3 simply apply themselves. But I also wanted to 4 just express my appreciation for the fact that 5 you've now come to us again and it's just great 6 to be a Florida Gator. So just happy to see 7 you. Paging Coach Kelly. Paging Coach 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 9 Kelly. All right, anybody else? On that high 10 note of head scratching Gator bite, --11 JUDGE CAHOON: Well, you know, I have the Wofford 12 Terriers who aren't doing quite as well right 13 now. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We hope that continues through 15 Saturday. 16 JUDGE CAHOON: Then I have my Gamecocks and then I 17 have the Gators. Senator Saab and I have the 18 Gators. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, thank you again. And your 20 zeal, your passion, your quest, and your heart I 21 think are well on display and well affirmed by 22 the folks that you're touching and that you're 23 speaking for. And so your willingness to 24 continue doing this is a testament to you and 25 the hope of our state and our young people that,

1	unfortunately, have to find their way in a
2	family court system sometimes by bad decisions
3	and decisions by their parents that they didn't
4	get to decide themselves. So with that
5	testament or sermon on the mount, I will now
6	close this portion of the hearing. And you
7	recognize so recently the rules of this record,
8	it does remain open until the formal release of
9	the record of qualifications and so if there was
10	a violation of the ethics law, the spirit or the
11	letter, you know that we could call you back for
12	further testimony and questions. Is that right?
13	JUDGE CAHOON: Yes sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Judge.
15	JUDGE CAHOON: No, thank y'all.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Safe travels back.
17	JUDGE CAHOON: Thank y'all. I appreciate everybody.
18	Thank you so much.
19	(Off the record)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge Brousseau.
21	JUDGE BROUSSEAU: Senator Rankin, how are you?
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Great. Raise your right hand.
23	DAVID BROUSSEAU, having been first duly sworn,
24	was examined and testified as follows:
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much for being here

1 early and working with us on our schedule. 2 JUDGE BROUSSEAU: Absolutely. 3 You've got two documents, the PDQ CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 4 and the sworn statement. Are they ready to be 5 introduced into the record? 6 JUDGE BROUSSEAU: Yes, sir. 7 (EXHIBIT NO. 26 MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14 9 pages) PDQ) 10 (EXHIBIT NO. 27 MARKED FOR 11 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages) 12 Sworn Statement) 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, and it is -- if you'll 14 hand those over. We in our efforts to vet your 15 candidacy for re-election, you know that we focus on the nine evaluative criteria which 16 17 includes the ballot box survey, thorough study 18 of your application materials, verification of 19 your compliance with the state ethics law, 2.0 search for newspaper articles in which your name 21 appears, study of past screenings, and then a check for economic conflicts of interest. 22 23 affidavits or complaints have been filed against 24 Ms. Faulk is going to ask some questions. 25 As you know, she's been working with you through

1		this process. And so following those questions
2		members of the Commission may have some, and
3		then we'll hear from you, and reward you for
4		your early arrival hopefully with an early
5		dismissal. So at this time, Ms. Faulk.
6		JUDGE BROUSSEAU: Thank you.
7		MS. FAULK: Good to see you again.
8		EXAMINATION
9	MS.	FAULK:
10	Q.	Good afternoon, Judge. It's very nice to see you
11		again.
12	Α.	Good to see you again.
13	Q.	Judge, you've served only a brief time on the bench.
14		Approximately, six months if my memory serves. But
15		please tell us why you would like to continue serving
16		as a family court judge.
17	Α.	It's a great job, it's a great opportunity, and it's
18		been a real pleasure the last six/seven months
19		serving our community, serving the citizens of our
20		state, moving cases, impacting juveniles. It's a job
21		that I didn't realize how much I would love it but I
22		do love it. And it's a job that has a lot of purpose
23		and meaning to it. And so it I would love to keep
24		doing it as long as I possibly can.
25	Q.	What do you believe is the appropriate temperament

for a judge serving on the family court bench?

- A. Well, I don't think anger has any place in the courtroom. I think there's times where you have to be firm with litigants but, you know, I don't know the temperament like the way I'm talking right now is how I deal with folks in the courtroom. I think I think that's the most important thing is that is for folks to understand that you know they're not they're not there to get hollered at or anything like that that. You know, you're being respectful of everybody in that courtroom.
- Q. What do you think your reputation is among the attorneys that practice before you?
- A. I don't know what they think of me. I mean, I hope that they -- of course, you know, I haven't been doing it very long but nobody has complained to me. Of course, I don't know that people would really want to complain to a judge, right. You know, I would hope that they enjoy appearing in front of me. You know, the way I handle my courtroom is the way I would have it wanted it to be handled when I was in practice and so I would hope that they would enjoy practicing in front of me.
- Q. Your SLED report indicated that there was a lawsuit involving you entitled Arnold v. Allstate Insurance

Company. That's the one we discussed during the interview. The suit was filed in 2023 but we did not raise it during last years' screening because it was not on last year's SLED report. Could you please tell us a little bit about that suit, if you don't

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mind?

- A. I'm not a party to that lawsuit. I represented Bobby Arnold as one of the litigants in the case. He was my client. That case settled before I went on the bench. I don't know why it was on the report, to be honest.
- Q. Judge, the Commission received 265 ballot box surveys regarding you with 23 additional comments. ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: First, he has been a great addition to the bench and I'm only disappointed to lose him as a well reasoned opposing counsel. makes the rest of us better attorneys. Judge Brousseau has hit the ground running. You would never know he was a new judge because he brings a wealth of experience and knowledge along with a kind personality making him an excellent judge. South Carolina is better with Dave Brousseau on the bench. His character and competence is top-notch and the Anderson County Bar is thankful that he is a

1 valued member serving our country and state as a 2 family court judge. And, Judge Brousseau, none of 3 the 23 comments addressed any concerns at all. 4 MS. FAULK: I would note that the Upstate Citizens 5 Committee found Judge Brousseau qualified in the evaluative criteria of physical health, mental 6 7 stability, and constitutional qualifications and 8 well qualified in the evaluative criteria of 9 ethical fitness, professional and academic 10 ability, character, reputation, experience, and 11 judicial temperament. 12 Q. Finally, just a housekeeping questions and that'll be 13 Since submitting your letter of intent 14 have you contacted any members of the Commission 15 about your candidacy? 16 Α. No. 17 Are you familiar with section 2-19-70 including the Q. 18 limitations on contacting members the General Assembly regarding your screening? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Since submitting your letter of intent have you 0. 22 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 23 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 24 your screening? 25 Α. No.

1 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members 2 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 3 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 4 your behalf? 5 Α. No. 6 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 7 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 8 Carolina Code 2-19-70E? 9 Yes. Α. 10 I would just note for the record that any MS. FAULK: 11 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the candidate were incorporated into 12 13 questioning of the candidate today. 14 Chairman, I have no further questions. 15 you, Judge. 16 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Ms. Faulk. Any Senator? 17 questions? Comments? 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY SENATOR GARRETT: 20 Q. Dave, congratulations. 21 Thank you, Senator. Α. You've done a tremendous job for us. We all knew 22 23 that you would. I was interested -- we were in bad 24 shape in Anderson County with dockets because we 25 didn't have a judge. How have you found -- have you

## 1 been able to tackle that and get that under control? Well, I've only held court in Anderson, I think, 2 Α. 3 about five weeks. Yeah, they've had me in 13 4 different counties since I started. But I know our 5 numbers are coming down. I know they look a lot 6 better than what they were even a year ago. 7 actually something -- we have one very popular guardian ad litem there who that's been an issue with 8 9 some trial rosters because she has to be in so many 10 different courts and that's an issue that both myself 11 and Judge Ballinger actually just talked about two 12 days ago and we're going to hopefully address over 13 the Christmas holiday. But we're getting the numbers 14 down and we're doing everything we can. 15 Good. Thank you. It's tremendous, though, that even Q. 16 in six months there was nobody to say a thing 17 negative about you. 18 Α. That's a little scary. 19 That's frightening. As far as a lawyer, this is one Q. 20 of those that beat me in court and shook hands after 21 we got through. So he's an excellent, excellent 22 lawyer and an excellent judge, it appears. 23 JUDGE BROUSSEAU: Thank you, Senator. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 24 Any other questions, 25 comments? Senator Saab.

1 SENATOR SAAB: Can I ask him about whether or not 2 he's familiar with Kingstree and how close it is to him? 3 4 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I advise you to take the 5 fifth on that, Judge. 6 JUDGE BROUSSEAU: I will. 7 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Seeing none, Judge, I will 8 compliment you on your -- as you have already 9 been complimented on your ballot box responses as well as the letters. I see you have a letter 10 11 -- I don't think I've ever seen a letter from a 12 tax assessor, but that's a good thing. 13 If there's nothing compliment you on that. 14 else, Judge, I'm going to conclude this portion 15 of the screening process. However, I do have to 16 take the opportunity to remind you that pursuant to our evaluative criteria, the Commission 17 18 expects all candidates to follow both the spirit 19 as well as the letter of the ethics laws, and 2.0 any violations or appearance of impropriety will 21 be given serious weight in the screening 22 deliberations. On that note, as you know, the 23 record will remain open until the formal release 24 of the report of qualifications, and you may be 25 called back should a need arise. You understand

1	that, correct?
2	JUDGE BROUSSEAU: Yes, sir. I do, of course.
3	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: All right. With that, thank
4	you very much.
5	JUDGE BROUSSEAU: All right. Y'all take care. Thank
6	you.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much.
8	(Off the record)
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, thank you for getting here a
10	long time ago.
11	JUDGE RANKIN: It's okay.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you very much. I'm sorry to
13	hold you up.
14	JUDGE RANKIN: We are good.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Everybody we're trying to
16	accommodate and get them out earlier. They have
17	had to travel as have you. So we apologize for
18	holding you up. Raise your right hand, if you
19	will.
20	SCOTT RANKIN, having been first duly sworn, was
21	examined and testified as follows:
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Bear with me just a
23	second here. We were waiting on a guest. Just
24	go off the record a second.
25	(Off the record)

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Now we're going to go on the
record. And, Judge, if you will, raise your
right hand.
SCOTT RANKIN, having been first duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You have two documents.
Are those ready to go into the record, the PDQ
and the sworn statement?
JUDGE RANKIN: Yes, sir.
(EXHIBIT NO. 28 MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (15
pages) PDQ)
(EXHIBIT NO. 29 MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
Sworn Statement)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And, Judge, you have
brought some folks with you. Would you like to
introduce them?
JUDGE RANKIN: I brought my wife, Charlotte, my
administrative assistant, Nicole Todd, and just
one of my best friends, Hank Burris.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank y'all for being
here. Judge, as you know, in our effort to
screen candidates for election or re-election,
as is your case, we look at the nine evaluative

1 criteria, which includes a ballot box survey, a 2 thorough study of your application materials, a 3 check for your compliance with the state ethics 4 laws, search of newspaper articles in which your 5 name appears, past screenings, and then a check 6 for economic conflicts of interest. 7 there's one affidavit, Ms. Meisner, again, good 8 to see you again, who is with us. Thank you for 9 getting here early, and she will be the only 10 witness to testify. And so I'll turn it over to 11 Mr. Triplett at this point, or do we want to go 12 on to Ms. Meisner? Ms. Meisner. So you've been 13 sworn in. You get to have a seat, and then 14 we're going to get Ms. Meisner up. So you have 15 We're going to hear the complaint, and a seat. 16 then we'll let you respond. 17 JUDGE RANKIN: All right, thank you. 18 STATEMENT OF COMPLAINANT RHONDA MEISENER 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome back, Ms. Meisner. 20 MS. MEISNER: Hey, good morning. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good afternoon. Welcome back. 22 MS. MEISNER: Feels like morning. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, so real quick, raise 24 your right hand. State your name for the record 25 first. I'm sorry.

1	MS. MEISNER: My name is Rhonda Meisner.
2	RHONDA MEISNER, having been first duly sworn,
3	was examined and testified as follows:
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Mr. Triplett.
5	MR. TRIPLETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Meisner.
6	MS. MEISNER: Yes, sir.
7	MR. TRIPLETT: The commission has before it your
8	affidavit of complaint, which I respectfully
9	request be made as part of the record at this
10	time.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Without objection. You don't
12	object, do you, ma'am?
13	MS. MEISNER: No, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, very good.
15	(EXHIBIT NO. 30 MARKED FOR
16	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (112
17	pages) Affidavit)
18	MR. TRIPLETT: For the record, home addresses have
19	been removed. The purpose of today's hearing is
20	for the Commission to review the qualifications
21	of the candidates to determine whether Judge
22	Rankin is qualified to serve as a family court
23	judge for seat three of the Fifth Judicial
24	Circuit. As you have been told, the commission
25	is not here to re-litigate a case, and they do

1 not have the ability to change the outcome or 2 the result of any case. Ms. Meisner, you 3 submitted your affidavit in supporting 4 documents, which the commission members have 5 before them and have reviewed prior to this 6 hearing. Is there anything else that you wish 7 to testify to, specifically regarding Judge 8 Rankin's ethics, competency, or character, that 9 has not already been covered in the documents 10 before the commission? 11 MS. MEISNER: Yes, I would like to address those. 12 And I apologize I'm a little disheveled because 13 I was told that there was going to be a 14 different order, so I apologize for that, but 15 I'm ready, I think. 16 The question, though, in terms of -CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 17 - because we've got your complaint. We don't 18 need to rehash it, but is there something beyond 19 the complaint that you've alleged that you would 20 call to the record --21 MS. MEISNER: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: -- that is not already in your 23 complaint? 24 Yes, because I didn't -- if I would MS. MEISNER: 25 have put everything in the complaint, it would

probably have been a thousand pages long. But just briefly, you know, the family court is supposed to be a court of equity, right? So my issues are based on equity and also based on some of the things that happened with regard to the guardian ad litems that were assigned to my case. I think that goes to competency. I don't know that I addressed that initially.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, let's not give us a political speech. And no disrespect, but we've seen you this week already. We've seen you before. You are an advocate for changing the law. That's not what this forum is, though, and so to the degree there are further comments beyond -- or that pertain to your complaint, that's what we're looking for today.

MS. MEISNER: Yes, Your Honor, and I know you said don't call you Your Honor, but you are an elected official. Okay, in my opinion, it is important for a single member court of a court of equity to apply the law equitably and with reference to precedent, with reference to what is important to the litigants. In my opinion, I don't believe that that Judge Rankin did that. Starting with the initial order, there was an

1 order by Judge Hurley in this case that required 2 a 30 day investigation by the guardian ad litem. 3 That investigation was not done. However, the 4 quardian ad litem is paid by statute, so if 5 there's an order to complete an investigation 6 within 30 days, I think that it's a competency 7 issue to go ahead and pay a quardian ad litem 8 who, number one, did not do the investigation, 9 did not submit a report during that 30 day time 10 period, and also, you know, I mean, how do you 11 get paid by statute if you're not if you're not 12 -- if you're not complying with the statute and 13 you're not complying with the court order? 14 just for the record, I went to jail for not 15 complying with paying my attorney's fees --16 their attorney's fees. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Was that order issued by Judge Rankin? 18 19 MS. MEISNER: The order was issued by Judge Hurley. 2.0 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. 21 And Judge Rankin did subsequently issue MS. MEISNER: 22 the order that excused -- I mean, that required 23 payment of the fees without complying with the 24 order that the guardian was operating under. 25 So, yes, the order was under Judge Rankin's

purview when he denied when he allowed the
guardian to be paid. Now, of course, that's on
appeal, but when he when he allowed that to be
paid, it was within his purview.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So, and Ms. Meisner, you are an
expert in the law and, again, I don't say that
begrudgingly.
MS. MEISNER: Okay. Okay, Senator Rankin, come on
now.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: To the degree
MS. MEISNER: You know I'm not an attorney.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: But you appear in lots of courts,
you've appeared pro se, you've had multiple
attorneys. I'm not begrudging or demeaning the
complaint, but in terms of what's on appeal,
we're not the Court of Appeals or the Supreme
Court.
MS. MEISNER: I understand that,
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So my point
MS. MEISNER: but we have to look at what these
judges are doing day to day and for every single
person that comes before them, and I was before
them. And when there is an order by another
judge for an attorney or for me to do that
order, I believe that it is important to follow

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the law and make sure that order is enforced. And in that 30 day period, it was not done. It was excused, and I do think that that goes to competency, fairness, equity, all of those That is my objection to that particular situation. The other objection I have is that by the time the hearing was held, there had been three months. My children were suspended from school and failing, almost failing classes. I mean, who's watching this? Then we get to the appointment of the quardian -- of the next quardian. The quardian ad litem statute requires there are certain prerequisites to be submitted or to be entered into evidence as a quardian ad litem. First of all, you have to complete the required legal education as it relates to family law, or you have to get a Those are two things that are required waiver. by the quardian ad litem statute. So the appointed guardian ad litem, Dick Whiting, and of course I made multiple motions to have him removed, when he was initially appointed, his affidavit that said that it's supposed to -they're supposed to submit an affidavit saying that they complied. However, his affidavit

1 admitted he did not comply. There was no 2 waiver. He requested no waiver. He referenced 3 no waiver. That is a statutory requirement for 4 him to function as a quardian ad litem. 5 believe that goes to competency. I believe that 6 goes to equity. I believe that goes to what 7 happens when you ask for a quardian ad litem. 8 The initial fee was set at \$5,000. 9 extinguished that \$5,000 within 30 days. 10 However, the order that appointed him required 11 that he come before the Court or get approval by 12 the parties, or agreement by the parties, to 13 exceed that fee. He got neither. Yet, he was 14 still awarded those fees again and again and 15 again until he billed over \$100,000, and in the 16 final hearing testified that he was under no 17 obligation despite -- I'm sorry, I got out of 18 order -- South Carolina 63-38-10 that requires 19 quardians ad litem not to contribute to the 20 delinquency of a minor, 16-17-490 or to the 21 delinquency. And it outlines all those things. 22 Now that is that is actually a bill -- a '23-'24 23 bill so I don't know if it's been ratified. 24 have no idea. 25 You're appealing the decision for CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

1 alimony. You're appealing the decision for 2 And so to the degree that the complaint fees. 3 has to deal with his fitness, his character, his 4 temperament, not his decisions that you are 5 seeking reversal on appeal. That's what we're 6 limited to here. 7 MS. MEISNER: I understand the limitations. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And respectfully, you have got 9 another complaint against Judge Hurley, which 10 will follow this one, which follows another 11 family court judge that you filed a complaint 12 against earlier this week. All of who have 13 touched your case in some degree or another. 14 I'm not apologizing for or defending what 15 decisions were made. We can't hear things that 16 are a question of law, an error of law. But all 17 we can hear, as you know, is the areas of our 18 purview to deem one qualified or unqualified.

7:30, but we will if we have to. But to tailor
your remarks because your complaint will be made
public. Judge Rankin's response will be made

So my only request is that we not stay till

19

24

25

public. So we all don't need to hear it, and

you say it again, and I'm not trying to deny you

your -- your day in court but this ain't court.

That's my only urging of you to help us with what we can hear. That's my only prodding and request, please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm working hard on it, but it is very MS. MEISNER: difficult when it is part of the job of the judge to be equitably and fair because -because the law requires a Court of equity to come in and say this is what you're supposed to That goes to competency. This is not -do. this is not -- I have nothing against Judge Rankin personally, and I forgive him for everything that has happened in this case that he has made that -- where he has harmed me and However, how can y'all possibly my children. not know what is going on if no one tells you? And I'm here to tell you what is going on in the Richland County Family Court based on what has happened in my situation. It has nothing to do with appeals. That's that's a different day. But it does have to do with what is the next Maybe -- maybe it's -- well, you know, person? you're not in Richland County, but maybe it's somebody that is your niece. Maybe it's your nephew. Maybe it's your cousin. This is about looking at judges from the standpoint of how do

1 we possibly figure out a way to make sure that 2 they're acting competently when they're not 3 following the statute. In other words, you guys 4 mean nothing if they don't follow what you say. 5 It means nothing. So I will try to keep it 6 brief, but it is important, and it is important 7 that you guys know. As I said yesterday, it's 8 beautiful outside. There's a lot of sunshine. 9 Sunshine is the best disinfectant. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. 11 In any event, the guardian I had MS. MEISNER: 12 issue with because there is a statute that you 13 guys -- and not personally, but the Legislature 14 The reason the Legislature enacted enacted. 15 that because back in the '70s or whatever '80s -16 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Meisner, --18 MS. MEISNER: -- Patel versus Patel. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: -- respectfully, I don't want to 20 offend you, but we're not here to get a tutorial 21 in the family law. What we're looking from you, 22 and you can lobby us about the law later. 23 MS. MEISNER: T will. 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: What we're looking for strictly 25 deals with the complaint, not whether the law

1 was correctly applied, but as it relates to his 2 fitness to serve. And so you're familiar with 3 What can we look at, what we how this works. 4 Please limit -cannot. 5 MS. MEISNER: Okay, I will -- I will go over the 6 things that that -- for example, a violation of 7 the order that was not enforced. So in his 8 order, he said that the quardian ad litem should 9 not retain an attorney without permission from 10 the Court. However, he subsequently -- the 11 quardian ad litem subsequently hired, although 12 he said he wasn't hired, an attorney to discuss the reasonableness of his fees. 13 Basically an 14 expert witness for his fees to say everything's 15 copacetic. You know, this is appropriate. 16 problem with that is that not only was the affidavit that was submitted inaccurate and 17 18 incomplete, but his own order said he couldn't 19 do that. So I mean, these things --20 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That is under appeal, correct? 21 That particular one -- I'm sure it is. MS. MEISNER: 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So, Ms. Meisner, respectfully, 23 tenderly, lovingly, I ask. We're not going to 24 hear your appeal. We're not going to hear 25 disputes on fees, alimony, et cetera. That is

1	not within our purview to determine whether it
2	was right or wrong. A court of appeals, an
3	appellate tribunal will do that.
4	MS. MEISNER: Yes, I understand that.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so I don't want to keep
6	MS. MEISNER: But his fitness
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm not going to allow you to
8	continue to speak to the law. What I'm asking
9	you to do is speak to the the requirements of
10	him to serve, not making a decision that was
11	correct or incorrect, but to his fitness to
12	serve in office. That is the focus here again.
13	MS. MEISNER: I will try to limit it to that.
14	However, I think that the facts are required for
15	that.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We don't we don't need more
17	facts.
18	MS. MEISNER: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We got the facts. My only question
20	you is help distill for us what it is that
21	what canons of ethics that you're saying that
22	he's violated that are not agreeing with his
23	decision or disagreeing. That's all all we
24	can do.
25	MS. MEISNER: For example, in that first hearing

1	before him, I had every hope that it would be
2	fair and equitable. But he brought all of the
3	attorneys in the back to have a conference.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I've read that about going to get a
5	piece of change. Is that what you're talking
6	about?
7	MS. MEISNER: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.
9	MS. MEISNER: He said I was going to get a chunk of
10	change at the end of all this.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And that too is on appeal.
12	Because, again, everything that you're talking
13	to us about is not for us to hear. It is for a
14	court of appeals to hear.
15	MS. MEISNER: Well, Senator Rankin, I mean, you, of
16	course, make the rules as a chairman of this.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I do not.
18	MS. MEISNER: However,
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I do not.
20	MS. MEISNER: the fact of the matter is when a
21	judge goes behind closed doors, so it's not on
22	the record, that to me goes to temperament and
23	also ethics, because lots of things can happen
24	behind closed doors that's not on the record,
25	and then that is prejudicial to any side on the

1	appeal. Because if you're going back and making
2	comments
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Are you raising that in your
4	appeal?
5	MS. MEISNER: Probably.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right.
7	MS. MEISNER: I haven't. I haven't submitted my
8	initial briefs yet.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.
10	MS. MEISNER: But I mean, this is this is
11	absolutely a constitutional concern. And I have
12	lots of concerns about the ability of people to
13	keep keep you away from your children, all of
14	those things based on allegations during the
15	pendency. This divorce took five years. Let me
16	let me go on to my next point. A judge is
17	required to monitor the guardian ad litem that
18	they elect or that they appoint. There was no
19	monitoring of the guardian ad litem in this
20	case, because
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford
22	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr Chairman, please.
23	Witnesses, complainants that come in have to
24	follow the very same law that they're asking
25	judges to follow. And by continuing to state

1 grounds that you are appealing in front of 2 people like myself that don't know a thing about 3 family law, don't want to know anything about 4 family law, You have gone askew of what is 5 allowed in this process. And the chairman, 6 being a very nice guy, has allowed it. 7 doing so is allowing you to violate the same 8 rules that you're asking this judge to confine 9 The rules are clear. himself to. The law is 10 If you are complaining about the clear. 11 evaluative criteria that we have talked about, it needs to be limited to state very succinctly 12 13 what this judge has done to violate one of those 14 criteria, not using facts that are on appeal 15 because you are continuously asking the chairman 16 to violate the law to allow you to violate the 17 The law is clear. We're not an appellate 18 I don't know anything about family court court. 19 law to be able to assist you and agree with you 2.0 or disagree with you. The only thing I know of 21 the nine criterion that we are given that you are not speaking to. And for him to continue to 22 23 allow you to do so, you are asking him to do 24 exactly what you accuse this judge. 25 Well, so this becomes a difficult issue MS. MEISNER:

1 because the family courts are created to be 2 courts of equity --3 Mr. Chairman --MR. STROM: 4 MS. MEISNER: -- and as --5 MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman, you have asked the witness 6 at least five times to comply with the rules, 7 and she just starts back over. I would ask the chair to consider setting a time limit on her 8 9 and if she needs five more minutes, give her 10 five minutes. But this is not -- we're not 11 making any progress. She's outside of the 12 rules, and it's just a waste of everybody's 13 time. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You do understand, Ms. Meisner, 15 that the judge is precluded by the rule from 16 commenting on anything that you're saying 17 because it is a matter under appeal. 18 understand that, don't you? 19 MS. MEISNER: I will try to keep my facts --2.0 No, no. That's not -- that's not CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 21 my question. You understand that the rules 22 preclude him from coming up in rebuttal and 23 offering anything to this Commission because it 24 is a matter that you have filed an appeal? understand that, right? 25

1 MS. MEISNER: Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So my final request is, is there 3 anything beyond the pages that you've written, 4 though not 1,000 but voluminous, else that we 5 need to hear from you today on Judge Rankin? 6 I will -- I will point to some things MS. MEISNER: 7 that are that are not subject of the appeal that 8 I think are important. For example, the 9 quardian ad litem in this case. He sent a 10 letter to the judge via email and made comments 11 about certain things to the judge and discussed 12 an expert witness. I did the same thing and the 13 judge admonished me for doing the very same 14 thing that the guardian ad litem two months 15 before had done. Now, that to me is is unequal 16 treatment because he was made a party of the 17 case, but a party to the case by the State. 18 So if it was wrong for was a party to the case. 19 him to do it -- I mean, if it was wrong for me 2.0 to do it, then it was certainly wrong for him to 21 do it, too. But yet I was the only one that got 22 an admonition in the file. So I think that does 23 go to temperament. I think that does go to 24 equity. I think that does go to judicial 25 fairness.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Thank you. Anything 2 else? 3 If I can just review. As I said, it MS. MEISNER: 4 was out of order so I apologize for -- and I'm 5 certainly not trying to waste your time. We don't consider it a waste of 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 7 time, but we do -- are constrained by what we 8 can hear what we can't. And we do have your 9 We've got everything you've submitted. records. 10 Another comment that I -- that I found MS. MEISNER: 11 to be related to his temperament is he was -- he 12 was informing me about access to properties and 13 And, you know, I said that I needed 14 to give the tenants more time, and he recited a 15 residential lease but this was a commercial 16 lease, and it involved animals. And so you 17 can't just call somebody within 24 hours and 18 tell them to have all their horses up and, you 19 know, chickens and whatever else they have to 2.0 get access. And I was, you know, I said that I 21 needed to give them adequate notice for, you 22 know, surveyors and appraisers to come onto the 23 And he said, you know, basically, no, property. 24 you don't. You only have to give 24 hours 25 notice. I did not get into an argument with him

1	about, you know, that it was a commercial lease,
2	and there were animals involved because it would
3	make no difference. The fact of the matter is,
4	I have been very frustrated, and I'm not the
5	only one. People have gone to jail.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're not talking about anybody
7	else.
8	MS. MEISNER: I understand.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: To the degree that that relates to
10	his fitness to serve
11	MS. MEISNER: I do.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: what what is the area that
13	you say? Temperament?
14	MS. MEISNER: Well, I mean, I'm trying to explain to
15	him the reason that that
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: No, no, no.
17	MS. MEISNER: I have to give them more time. But
18	yes, that is to his temperament.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. What else, Ms. Meisner?
20	MS. MEISNER: I think one of the things that was in
21	there was competency. And I think it's it's
22	clear that, you know, overseeing the case that
23	he, you know, he has to make decisions based on
24	what the applicable law is. And I don't believe
25	that he clearly supervised the guardian. I

1	think that's the biggest
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. We've heard that. Thank
3	you.
4	MS. MEISNER: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anything else?
6	MS. MEISNER: I did say about the billing.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We read that.
8	MS. MEISNER: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Increment of billing.
10	MS. MEISNER: And there was another issue related to
11	a hearing that he actually granted some of my
12	discovery requests. The and this goes to
13	competency or however you want to characterize
14	it. But in any event, the order was issued and
15	then it was not submitted to the parties. So
16	the other side requested that he actually rule
17	on the order. So it was like two months after
18	that. Of course, all of those orders for
19	discovery were they came in actually after
20	our final hearing because of the family court
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: But he ruled in your favor in that
22	instance, you're saying?
23	MS. MEISNER: No, he ruled he ruled he split
24	the baby in that instance.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.

1	MS. MEISNER: But the ruling didn't come until after
2	the final hearing. So it was I mean, it
3	provided no relief. So that's that's I
4	think that does go to competency because, you
5	know, when you make the ruling, you should make
6	sure that the parties get notified. But that
7	may be a court administration you know,
8	somebody else may need to do that.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, thank you so much.
10	MS. MEISNER: All right.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We'll hear from Judge Rankin. And
12	for the record Judge, come on up we are
13	not related. And before a few years ago, I
14	don't think I'd ever met you. And to your
15	credit, you gladly had never met me. Is that
16	fair?
17	JUDGE RANKIN: I plead the fifth on that one,
18	Senator.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, Judge. Mr. Triplett.
20	MR. TRIPLETT: Yes, sir.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. TRIPLETT:
23	Q. Afternoon, Judge Rankin.
24	A. Afternoon.
25	Q. Are you aware of South Carolina Judicial Canon 3B(9)

1 that prohibits any judge from discussing any 2 proceeding that is pending or impending in any court 3 or make any public comments might reasonably expect 4 to affect its outcome or impair its fitness? 5 Α. Yes. Okay. Judge Rankin, is there anything you would like 6 0. 7 to address at this time regarding the complaint? 8 Well, I'm limited in the canons since its on appeal. Α. 9 There's nothing I really need to add. I think, 10 frankly, I'm prevented from adding anything. 11 Thank you, Judge. MR. TRIPLETT: Mr. Chairman, I 12 have no further questions. 13 All right. Regarding the complaint CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 -- excuse me, Senator Garrett. 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. GARRETT: 17 Listening to that, there were two areas that I wanted Q. 18 to ask you about. One was the allegation of ex parte 19 communication. Was she represented by counsel at the 20 time you were hearing her? 21 The first hearing she was represented by Brett Α. Yeah. 22 Stevens. 23 Okay. And I'm assuming if the Court called them into Q. 24 chambers, which happened sometime, I'm assuming you 25 allow her attorney into the conference.

- 1 Α. Yes. Her attorney and the plaintiff's attorney as 2 well as the quardian ad litem we met in chambers. 3 Effectively, she was represented by her lawyer in Q. 4 that off the record disclosure. 5 Α. That is correct. 6 Okay. And the other issue that I gleaned from what 0. 7 she was trying to say, I think, was that apparently 8 there was some discovery order that came down that 9 had no helpful effect for her because it came late. 10 Do you recall having any late orders or anything like 11 that in her -- in her case? 12 Α. I do not, Senator. 13 Thank you. That's all I have with SENATOR GARRETT: 14 regards to the complaint. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If nothing else on that 16 front, Mr. Triplett, let's proceed through the 17 general qualifications. 18 MR. TRIPLETT: Yes, sir. 19 EXAMINATION 20 MR. TRIPLETT: 21 Judge Rankin, after serving for five years on the 0. family court, why do you want to continue serving as 22 23 a family court judge?
  - practicing law. I did it for a number of years. But

I enjoyed

It's the best job I've ever had.

24

25

Α.

1 this is been an opportunity to really have some real 2 impact, especially as it relates to our youth 3 The fact that every day is involving DMH, DJJ, DSS. 4 different. Whether I'm dealing with abuse and 5 neglect case, child support, adoption. I just love 6 what I do. I really love practicing law but I'm --7 this is, I think, where I'm meant to be a family 8 court judge.

Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge Rankin, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you as well as court personnel?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I think I've got a good reputation. I treat everyone with respect. I treat, you know, litigants with respect because I -- and especially as well as lawyers, courthouse staff, whether they're coming to clean my office, whether somebody working in the canteen. I try to treat everyone I come in contact with the respect that I would hope they would treat me. So I think my reputation is pretty good and that I'm timely in getting orders out. And I think my temperament is good when it comes to holding court.
- Q. Thank you. Judge Rankin, the Commission received 336 ballot box surveys regarding you with 33 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Judge

1 Rankin is an asset to the bench. He's known for 2 having a great courtroom demeanor. Judge Rankin is 3 friendly and approachable. He's enthusiastic about 4 his job and enjoys his work. He is fair and seeks to 5 do justice. Judge Rankin is fair, thoughtful, 6 deliberate and competent. He's proven himself to be 7 an excellent family court judge and looks for ways to 8 improve outcomes for Children and families outside 9 Three of the written comments the courtroom. 10 expressed concerns and these comments indicated that 11 you showed partiality in your courtroom. How would 12 you respond to that concern? 13 Well, when I heard that that was a comment, I was a Α. 14 little taken back because -- I think the context was 15 maybe partiality towards male lawyers versus female 16 lawyers. And if I have made someone feel that way, 17 then clearly I've got to do a better job. So, you 18 know, every day for me is a learning experience. And 19 if I've made one person out of 330 feel that I was 20 not impartial, then that's something I have to work 21 on and I have to own that. 22 Thank you, Judge Rankin. Q. 23 MR. TRIPLETT: I would note that the Midland Citizens 24 Committee found Judge Rankin qualified in the

evaluative criteria of constitutional

25

1 qualifications, physical health, and mental 2 stability. The committee found him well 3 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 4 fitness, professional and academic ability, 5 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 6 temperament. The committee stated that Judge 7 Rankin is, quote, an asset to the family court 8 bench, unquote. 9 A few housekeeping issues. Judge Rankin, since Q. 10 submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted 11 any members of the commission about your candidacy? 12 Α. I have not. 13 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the **Q.** 14 limitations on contacting members of the General 15 Assembly regarding your screening? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 18 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 19 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 20 your screening? 21 I have not. Α. 22 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 23 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 24 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this

process on your behalf?

25

	1	
1	Α.	I have not.
2	Q.	Have you reviewed and do you understand the
3		Commission's guidelines on pledging and South
4		Carolina Code Section 2-19-70E?
5	Α.	Yes.
6		MR. TRIPLETT: I would just note for the record that
7		any concerns raised during the investigation
8		regarding the candidate were incorporated into
9		the questioning of the candidate today. Mr.
10		Chairman, I have no further questions.
11		CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Questions by members of
12		the commission? Mr. Strom.
13		MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge Rankin,
14		good afternoon.
15		JUDGE RANKIN: Good afternoon.
16		MR. STROM: I've never appeared before you. But I
17		just want to say, for the record, you enjoy an
18		excellent reputation at the courthouse. What I
19		hear more about you than anything recently is
20		there are a number of lawyers who want to see
21		you run for the circuit bench. The word on you
22		is that you're a very good lawyer,
23		conscientious, smart. And I heard you say the
24		family court is your passion, but I hope one day
25		you may reconsider that because I think a lot of

1	members of this circuit bar would like to see
2	you move up.
3	JUDGE RANKIN: Thank you very much.
4	MR. STROM: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, if there's nothing
6	further, Judge, you know, this concludes this
7	portion of the screening process. The record of
8	the final report of qualifications is not issued
9	until later. In the event of a violation of the
10	state ethics law, appearance of by letter or
11	spirit, you understand we could call you back
12	for further testimony. Is that correct?
13	JUDGE RANKIN: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, again, thank you. Thank
15	your family. Thank you your wife, and your
16	assistant, and your friend. What a friend you
17	have that would want to come to court or other
18	related to that. Thank you so much.
19	JUDGE RANKIN: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Y'all take care.
21	(Off the record)
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, good afternoon.
23	JUDGE HURLEY: Good afternoon.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for making great efforts
25	to get here early. And first, let me have you

raise your right hand.
MICHELLE HURLEY, having been first duly sworn,
was examined and testified as follows:
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have some folks that you
brought with you. Would you like to introduce
them to us?
JUDGE HURLEY: Sure. First, I'd like to introduce my
husband, George Johnson, and my assistant,
Tiffany Tarver.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome both. Judge, you know, our
focus here involves multiple things, including
the affidavit and PDQ that you've got. Are
those ready to be entered into the record?
JUDGE HURLEY: They are.
(EXHIBIT NO. 31 MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (22
pages) PDQ)
(EXHIBIT NO. 32 MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
Sworn Statement)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand that to Lindi,
they'll be part of the record. As you know, we
focus on the nine evaluative criteria which
involves a ballot box survey includes that
rather, a thorough study of your application

1 materials, verification of your compliance for 2 state ethics laws, search of newspaper articles 3 in which your name appears, a study of previous 4 screenings, and then the confirmation that no economic conflicts of interest have occurred. 5 6 Today we have a complainant, Ms. Meisner, who 7 will come up in just a moment and we will hear 8 from her regarding the nine evaluative criteria 9 and then you will get the opportunity to come 10 back up to speak to the degree that you can. So 11 at this point, if you will have a seat. 12 JUDGE HURLEY: Okay. 13 STATEMENT OF COMPLAINANT RHONDA MEISNER 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Meisner, come back up. Welcome 15 This is Ms. Hurley's complainant. 16 so, Ms. Benson, if you'll take it away. Let me 17 again have you -- I'm going to put you back under oath. 18 This is effectively a new record. 19 State your name for the record. 2.0 MS. MEISNER: Rhonda Meisner. 21 Hand up, please. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 22 RHONDA MEISNER, having been first duly sworn, 23 was examined and testified as follows: 24 Very well. All right, and so very CHAIRMAN RANKIN: quickly, I'm going to remind you that what we're 25

1 looking at is the complaint which we have. 2 Benson's going to speak to that. But what we're 3 looking at is any violation that speaks to the evaluative criteria that we have. 4 Competency is 5 not one of those, okay. So my plea at the 6 outset once Ms. Benson starts is to respond to 7 the question and focus not only relitigation of 8 something that has already occurred, but that 9 speaks to Judge Hurley's fitness to serve as it 10 relates to the nine evaluative criteria. 11 you for that. Do you acknowledge and understand 12 what I'm asking? 13 I do acknowledgment -- I do acknowledge MS. MEISNER: 14 it, and I do believe I understand it. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much. Ms. Benson, 16 please. Thank you, Mr Chairman. 17 Ms. Meisner, MS. BENSON: 18 the commission has received your affidavit, 19 which is before you now and I would respectfully 2.0 request that it be made part of the record at 21 this time. For the record, the home addresses 22 have been removed. Ms. Meisner, as you've been 23 told, the commission has reviewed these materials, and this is not a time to relitigate 24 25 a case because they do not have any ability to

1 change the result in the case. So Ms. Meisner, 2 is there anything that you wish to testify to in 3 addition to your affidavit that you have filed 4 concerning Judge Hurley's ethics, competency, or 5 character that's not already been covered by 6 your documents? 7 (EXHIBIT NO. 33 MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (30 9 Affidavit) pages) 10 MS. MEISNER: Okay. And I just wanted to say for the 11 record that Judge Rankin said it's not about 12 competency, but you -- but that is part of the 13 competency as it -- as it relates to the judges. 14 It relates to the judge's actions, yes. MS. BENSON: 15 Her fitness to serve. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That's what 16 we're talking about. 17 MS. MEISNER: Well, but competency in my mind is 18 applying the law in an equitable setting. 19 mean, we can -- we can disagree on how competency is submitted, but I submit to you as 2.0 21 a complainant that competency is part of the 22 evaluative criteria. And so I addressed that in 23 my affidavit, and I wanted to address that as 24 far as other issues that -- that I believe are relevant to your evaluation of this judicial 25

1 candidate. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 2 As it relates to Judge Hurley? 3 That's correct. MS. MEISNER: 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is there any matter that is 5 currently under review or on appeal from Judge 6 Hurley? 7 MS. MEISNER: All of the orders in the family court 8 in my case, virtually all of them are on appeal. 9 But that -- but I want to make a comment about 10 Not that that I'm appealing certain 11 portions of that here. That doesn't -- it does 12 not change the fact that certain things are 13 required that you should know about as far as 14 the competency of a judge. For example, I will 15 give you the -- I will give you some facts that 16 aren't really on appeal because they're moot. 17 They matter no more with regard to the final 18 outcome of this, but they do matter as far as 19 competency and ethics and all of those things. 2.0 So I will attempt to confine my testimony to 21 what you're looking for. But as I see it, competency is part of those ethical canons 22 23 because it's actually written on your documents 24 that I filled out. In any event, when I entered 25 family court in 2019, I was given 24 hours to

1 get out of my marital home of 28 years. That's 2 less than an hour for each year of marriage. 3 don't believe that that is reasonable. 4 think as far as the, you know, just -- just 5 looking at fairness, I don't believe that that's 6 reasonable. Judge Hurley prevented my children 7 from attending a camp at Harvard and MIT based 8 on the request of my now ex-husband. However, -9 10 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman, again, we 11 are not an appellate panel. I don't do family 12 court. Don't know anything about it. And to be 13 clear, don't know -- don't want to know anything 14 I can't address your gripes with the about it. 15 Court, with the statute, with the way the laws 16 work. That is for a court of appeals. Period. This is about the evaluative criteria. 17 And you 18 if you're not going to restrict yourself, then 19 you're asking the chairman to continuously violate while we are here. I can't help you 2.0 21 with that. Even if I agree --22 MS. MEISNER: Well, Representative, --23 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm still talking. 24 MS. MEISNER: I apologize. 25 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I don't want to tell you

1 that the family courts of South Carolina are 2 fair. I can't. Been through it. Don't want to 3 What I can tell you is that you do it again. 4 have to tell us why a judge should not be a 5 judge again. Not rulings that they made that 6 are going to the court of appeals that they can 7 say whether that judge did the right thing or 8 I just tried a case. I disagree with the 9 judge, so I'm going to appeal. Does not mean 10 the judge is incompetent. The court of appeals 11 may agree with everything he did. May do the 12 same thing in your case. We can't tell you 13 about the facts. Tell us why this judge, just 14 like the last judge, should not be a judge 15 again. That's it. 16 MEISNER: And you can't -- respectfully, 17 Representative Rutherford, you can't evaluate 18 that without facts -- without facts that 19 happened in the case, whether they're relevant 20

Representative Rutherford, you can't evaluate that without facts -- without facts that happened in the case, whether they're relevant to the appeal. I'm not talking about the appeal. This is not about the appeal. This is about a party going before a judge and that judge making rulings that affect children because everybody says the family court, oh, the importance is on the children. It goes to the

21

22

23

24

2.0

competency. It says it on the criteria. So I respectfully disagree with your assertion. I'm not -- this fact is not in my is not going to be in my appeal except as an anecdotal thing. A judge should be looking at when these children are -- have a trip planned to Harvard and MIT and they've paid for a plane ticket, that goes to temperament to say you cannot -- you child, you can't go on your trip that you spent 15 hours preparing for. That does go to temperament. I'm sorry, Representative Rutherford, but I respectfully disagree with you.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Did you have an attorney representing you at that point regarding that particular order?

MS. MEISNER: I did not. But I will tell you, I had every hope that going in that courtroom things were gonna be fair. If I would have had any idea that my children were going to be denied to go to that trip, I would have requested a continuance and not gone on because that just makes no sense to me for -- to deny a child a trip that one party paid for. And then he changed his mind said no, they don't get to go.

1	Even when I said I won't go. If there's a if
2	there's an issue with me going, I'll stay at
3	home. Those are facts that are important to
4	judge whether a judge should be allowed to stop
5	another trip for the child.
6	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman, they are
7	also in her affidavit. They have been
8	considered because they were already submitted.
9	We reviewed this. We reviewed these facts in
10	the hearing for Judge Rankin. Not Chairman
11	Rankin, but Judge Rankin. We reviewed all this.
12	The request was made of her is there anything
13	that she would like to add that was not in her
14	affidavit? She has failed to do that.
15	MS. MEISNER: Respectfully, Representative
16	Rutherford, I'm not finished talking.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, Ms. Meisner,
18	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: That's up to the chair.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Meisner, this
20	MS. MEISNER: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're trying to be fair and I've
22	been
23	MS. MEISNER: How can you be fair when you're not
24	accepting facts?
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Your facts have already been put

1	into the record. What we're asking you is to
2	not regurgitate what we have, what the God and
3	country will see with this decision. So the
4	facts are in the record. We're respectfully
5	asking you not to
6	MS. MEISNER: Okay, so God and country is going to
7	see all of the all of the these.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Every word that you have written,
9	which you've admitted and agreed would be
10	included in the record.
11	MS. MEISNER: That's fine with me.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We don't need you to read it. We
13	don't need it highlighted.
14	MS. MEISNER: This I don't believe this that
15	incident was in the record. If it is, I
16	apologize.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It's not timely then. If you've
18	not put it in your complaint, we can't take it.
19	We've taken your complaint. Every word, every
20	thing you wanted us to consider. We're not here
21	to add on or expound. And so I would ask you to
22	respectfully, tenderly, lovingly distill it to
23	the evaluative criteria that we have and just
24	list those as to not the facts. But what is
25	it that you are saying that we have the ability

1 to say yes or no, that is a temperament problem. 2 It is an ethical fitness problem. Not the facts 3 but what are those criteria that you want us to 4 say -- list the ones that you say Judge Hurley 5 has violated. In addition to what you've 6 already got on the record, that's all we need 7 from you today. In addition to the violation of 8 MS. MEISNER: 9 basically what I considered a threat by when she 10 hugged me behind --11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah, not -- not facts. I'm just 12 looking for -- everything that you've given us, 13 list the criteria that we have that you say 14 she's violated. Not why, but what. Not how, 15 Is it ethics? Is it constitutional but what? 16 fitness? Is it temperament? That's all we 17 really need from you today, Ms. Meisner. 18 I understand what you're saying, and I MS. MEISNER: 19 presume that we just -- that maybe I don't 20 understand what you're looking for, because I 21 think from a constitutional fitness standpoint, if you're not applying the law, then you're not 22 23 constitutionally competent to be a family court 24 That's what I'm saying. 25 So that's -- that's a matter for CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

1	another tribunal. It's not us.
2	MS. MEISNER: Okay, that's fine. But for you, I
3	think that it is an ethical violation to take a
4	person that is before you behind the door
5	behind the
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, so we don't need the
7	facts. We've got it in the record. So she
8	lacks ethics.
9	MS. MEISNER: So the other thing that ethical
10	dalliance aside, I don't think it's appropriate
11	for a judge to threaten somebody before them.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So that would be temperament.
13	MS. MEISNER: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Again
15	MS. MEISNER: And I think that that was a violation
16	of her temperament and and her ethics
17	combined.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, what else? Not facts,
19	but criteria.
20	MS. MEISNER: I'm trying. I really am. I do think
21	that it is, again to competency if there is a
22	set up by which you are supposed to look at
23	child support. Something that can throw
24	somebody constitutional issue. Put somebody
25	in jail then you should abide by what is has

1 been created for this --2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And if that violation of the law 3 was an error, we don't fix that. A court of 4 appeals does. 5 MS. MEISNER: You don't -- you don't fix the error of 6 law. That is correct. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 7 What else? 8 MS. MEISNER: But if it's -- but if it is a 9 continuing pattern, that is something that this 10 -- that goes to constitutional fitness. If it's 11 consistently and continually violating the law 12 and the rights of the litigant, that goes to her 13 And I believe that is the case. competency. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we won't decide that. That's 15 somebody else that will. 16 MS. MEISNER: So another thing -- another thing that I believe is a constitutional issue is ordering 17 18 drug and alcohol tests for one person, not 19 ordering it for the other person. That is --2.0 that to me is a constitutional issue. 21 move on about that. Judge Hurley misrepresented 22 facts in a -- in a motion hearing where she said 23 that my ex-husband could take \$10,000 out of the 24 account of his choosing and pay me that was --25 was to be redirected to the quardian ad litem.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Is that fact -- real quick. Is 2 that a fact that is in dispute that will be on 3 appeal? 4 MS. MEISNER: That fact will not be in dispute on 5 appeal because it's -- but the ethics of what 6 she said in a later hearing is relevant to your 7 inquiry. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okav. 9 MS. MEISNER: In that --10 I don't need to know the facts of CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 11 what she said. 12 MS. MEISNER: Okay. 13 Ethics, temperament, equity, CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 constitution, competency. 15 MS. MEISNER: In equity she ordered \$35,000 --16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Don't need -- and I'm sort of --17 MS. MEISNER: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: -- trying not to get into --19 MS. MEISNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: -- re-litigating of a fact here. 2.0 21 MS. MEISNER: I understand that but it is -- it is 22 extremely hard to give you facts when, you know, 23 -- so therein is the chicken and the egg 24 argument. So yes, there is one path that is on 25 appeal. But how do you possibly evaluate judges

1	when you don't want the facts that are coming
2	before you when they're not applying the law?
3	That is a constitutional issue. And it is it
4	is extremely important for this body because
5	you're going to decide who you appoint to say,
6	okay, we're this judge is not applying the
7	South Carolina law. She's not doing what you,
8	legislative body, have told her to do. Okay.
9	So the other issue is in the DSS issue. And
10	this is not on appeal. In the DSS issue, I was
11	told that a hearing that I requested it was a
12	motion in limine that I requested was canceled.
13	That they would just hear it the day of the
14	merits hearing. However, my former spouse
15	called and told me about a dental appointment.
16	I was like, ding, ding, ding, ding.
17	There's no dental appointment. They're moving
18	forward with that hearing. And that was the
19	case. But they took the effort to call me from
20	the family court and say that that hearing was
21	canceled. Judge Hurley was on that hearing.
22	Final comment about ethics and things like that.
23	During the hearing, all of the all of the
24	courtrooms are open to, you know, the public
25	with the exception of DSS abuse and neglect

1 cases, correct? So there was in my final 2 hearing where I successfully defended myself 3 against the court appointed or whoever the 4 DSS people, the court appointed DSS attorneys. 5 It turns out that there was no announcement that 6 my husband's attorney was watching the complete 7 testimony in trial of this hearing. There was 8 no announcement at the beginning that they had 9 let her in to watch the proceedings remotely. 10 And that, I believe, is -- is something that 11 should be looked at from the ethics. Why? 12 maybe it's just a sneaky trick. But here's the 13 difference in those remote hearings for DSS, 14 because they're secret, they have to let the 15 parties in. They have to say okay, you can come 16 in. you can come in. And it would -- and I believe that it makes a difference from a 17 18 constitutional standpoint for us to know who's 19 there and who's looking and who's, you know, recording this. And it turns out that there 20 21 is, -- you know, they were able to reframe some 22 things because she failed to say hey, by the 23 way, I let them in remotely. They're not here 24 in the courtroom where I could physically look 25 around and see they're --

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're alleging prejudice to you in
2	the strategy that was derived at a later hearing
3	that Judge Hurley was in cahoots with,
4	effectively, to allow access to someone who
5	should not have been there.
6	MS. MEISNER: Well, she can allow anybody in her
7	courtroom.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Your husband was a part of that
9	party? He is a party, obviously?
10	MS. MEISNER: No, I was he accused me of abusing
11	my children.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: He was present?
13	MS. MEISNER: No, he wasn't present in the courtroom.
14	I don't know who else was present because no
15	no notice was made to me that they were allowing
16	people in remotely.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: As to Judge Hurley and you're
18	specifically alleging what violation of the
19	criteria that that constitutes. And that's all
20	I need.
21	MS. MEISNER: Okay, from a constitutional standpoint,
22	
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.
24	MS. MEISNER: I think that people that are part of
25	a courtroom now this is this is kind of an

1 interesting area because typically speaking, 2 everybody can look around the courtroom and say 3 oh, there's everybody here. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. Ms. Meisner, we're --5 But we don't know when there's remote MS. MEISNER: 6 people watching. And, you know, that I think 7 that that is hiding that fact by not announcing 8 that they have let people in, I think is a 9 breach of ethics. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Your husband's attorney, correct? 11 MS. MEISNER: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. 13 But he he wasn't part of the -- the MS. MEISNER: 14 allegation against me. It was just me that was 15 being investigated. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. We're going to wrap it up. 17 Anything else? We don't need any more facts. 18 And I will assure you and the folks watching and 19 family and whomever, we are being greatly 2.0 deferential to running on with things that that 21 are not relevant. And we're trying to be kind and cooperative and fair. But we have 22 23 everything -- if there's not anything new, but 24 any final remarks as to Judge Hurley's 25 qualifications to serve, that's what I'd ask you

1	to make now. And then we're going to dismiss
2	with you and let Ms. Hurley come up. So please
3	Judge Hurley rather.
4	MS. MEISNER: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anything further, Ms. Meisner?
6	MS. MEISNER: I believe that from a constitutional
7	standpoint,
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We've heard that.
9	MS. MEISNER: that granting a court-appointed
10	guardian ad litem money without giving me access
11	to money is a is a violation of the
12	constitution. And specifically, I think, that
13	goes to ethics. I think it goes to competency -
14	-
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we heard you
16	MS. MEISNER: and all of that.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: earlier on those points.
18	MS. MEISNER: Okay.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anything else?
20	MS. MEISNER: The final thing is, I do forgive Judge
21	Hurley for everything that has happened in this
22	case.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you so much.
24	MS. MEISNER: And thank you for your time.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. And thank

1 you for making your schedule available to be 2 here earlier. Truly. All right, Judge Hurley. 3 Now, Ms. Benson. 4 MS. BENSON: Judge Hurley, you provided the 5 Commission with a written response to the 6 complaint, which the Commission members have 7 reviewed, and which I would ask at this time, if 8 it is your intent, Judge Hurley, would you like 9 this to be part of the record? 10 JUDGE HURLEY: Yes, ma'am. 11 MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom. 13 MR. STROM: I'm not sure what's in that but, 14 obviously, the canons don't allow her to comment 15 on any pending matter. And as I understand it, 16 most of this is on appeal. So I'm not sure it's 17 appropriate for this to go on the record. 18 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: That was next. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That's next. 2.0 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: That was next. 21 MR. STROM: Okay. 22 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: But he's talking about for 23 the record. 24 MR. STROM: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah. 25 Your response effectively CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

1	acknowledges that you cannot talk about matters
2	that are on appeal.
3	JUDGE HURLEY: Correct.
4	MR. STROM: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Correct?
6	JUDGE HURLEY: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And there's a canon of ethics
8	judicial conduct that specifically provides that
9	you cannot comment publicly about a matter on
10	appeal,
11	JUDGE HURLEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: correct?
13	JUDGE HURLEY: Correct.
14	MS. BENSON: Judge Hurley, at this point, would you
15	does your response comply with that and would
16	you want your response to be made part of the
17	record?
18	JUDGE HURLEY: So my response addressed many of the
19	issues that she put in her complaint. So there
20	may be some information in my response that may
21	be on appeal.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. So to that degree, and no
23	one's telling you what to do but before this
24	record is still going to be open. We don't want
25	you to violate any rule any more than we want

1	Ms. Meisner or anyone else to violate.
2	JUDGE HURLEY: So I would prefer that not be part of
3	the record because it does directly address some
4	of her allegations against me regarding some of
5	my rulings in the case. And then so yes,
6	indeed.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're not telling you what to do
8	but you have the ability to redact what you
9	don't want in that would violate any rule. We
10	just want to make sure that you understand that.
11	JUDGE HURLEY: I understand.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So there's no you don't need to
13	it does not need to come in today.
14	JUDGE HURLEY: No, it does not need to come in.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So you're asking us not to admit
16	your reply as is
17	JUDGE HURLEY: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: presently?
19	JUDGE HURLEY: I am asking you not to admit my reply
20	as is.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay.
22	MS. BENSON: Is there any response that you would
23	like to give at this time?
24	JUDGE HURLEY: Well, I respect Ms. Meisner, but I
25	respectfully disagree with her recitation. I

1	have never held a hearing in her absence where
2	she was a party in the case. And I've
3	absolutely never threatened Ms. Meisner. All of
4	my rulings were based on the information, the
5	facts, and the circumstances that were presented
6	to me at that time. And that's all I have to
7	really say about this.
8	MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would have
9	no further questions concerning.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any questions of any member of the
11	Commission? All right. Proceed now to
12	qualifications. Thank y'all.
13	MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	EXAMINATION
15	MS. BENSON:
16	Q. Judge Hurley, after serving 29 years on the family
17	court, why do you want to continue serving as a
18	family court judge?
19	A. All right, so I haven't been on the bench for 29
20	years.
21	Q. I'm sorry. I beg your pardon, Judge.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Happily married.
23	JUDGE HURLEY: Happily married 21 years tomorrow.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Adding today's duration, add eight
25	years to that.

A. But ask the question again.

- Q. After your service on the court, why do you wish to continue serving as a family court judge?
- A. Because I absolutely love what I do. I enjoy family court. I enjoy the types of cases that come before me. Family court, and I believe I said this at my last hearing, is my passion. I enjoy the children involved with the Department of Social Services and seeing that their cases are resolved. I love happy days, adoptions. I love watching the juveniles who come before me. Those who actually graduate from high school and will send me a notice saying I graduated from high school. It just gives me a -- what I do is my passion, and I love it.
- Q. Thank you, Judge. What do you think your reputation is among attorneys and the court personnel that practice before you?
- A. I think I have a very good reputation amongst attorneys as well as court personnel. I treat the court personnel as my family. I believe that everyone is equal. I don't -- you know, we're all colleagues. And so I believe they would say that I'm personable, that I'm kind, that I'm thoughtful, and that I'm fair.
- Q. Thank you, Judge. The Commission received 371 ballot

1 box surveys regarding you with 43 having additional 2 Many of these comments were very positive. comments. 3 A great judge, superior temperament. Everybody loves 4 Decisive, fair, respectful, understanding, 5 intelligent, patient, and impartial. Two of the 6 comments expressed concerns. One about your demeanor 7 in the courtroom and the other about basing your 8 decisions on your mood. Judge Hurley, what response 9 would you make to those comments? 10 Well, say the last two again, please. Α. 11 About you're making decisions dependent upon your 0. 12 mood. 13 So I don't make my decisions depending on my mood. 14 Sometimes I may have read the documents submitted 15 prior to the hearing. And so I already have an idea 16 So it. on how I may rule once I enter the courtroom. 17 may not be -- if that makes sense. And I always --18 And the first was about your -- just basically about Q. 19 your demeanor in the courtroom. 20 Α. Well, every day can't be a great day. And so I have 21 always worked to make sure that I don't show my 22 emotions on my face. And so like I said earlier, or 23 in my responses, I always try to be fair, I always 24 try to be reasonable. I think most people tell you

that I'm very patient. I allow people to have their

moment in court. And, you know, everyone doesn't 1 have a great day every day. So I'm sure there are 2 3 days that I've come in with not a happy face. But it 4 doesn't mean that it affects my rulings. 5 Q. Thank you, Judge. I would note that the Midlands Citizens 6 MS. BENSON: 7 Committee found Judge Hurley qualified in the 8 evaluative criteria of constitutional 9 qualifications, physical health, and mental 10 stability. And well qualified in the remaining 11 evaluative criteria, ethical fitness, 12 professional and academic ability, character, 13 reputation, experience, and judicial 14 temperament. The committee stated in summary, 15 "Well qualified. Committee impressed with her 16 compassion for juveniles. Can address tough 17 issues now before the family court." 18 Just some remaining housekeeping issues, Judge. 0. 19 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 20 contacted any members of the Commission about your 21 candidacy? 22 No, ma'am. Α. 23 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the Q. 24 limitations on contacting members of the General 25 Assembly regarding your screening?

1 Α. I am. 2 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 3 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 4 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 5 your screening? 6 I have not. Α. 7 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 8 of the General Assembly on your behalf? Or are you 9 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 10 process on your behalf? 11 Α. No, ma'am. 12 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 13 commission's quidelines on pledging and the South 14 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70E? 15 I have. Α. 16 MS. BENSON: I would just note for the record that 17 any concerns raised during the investigation 18 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 19 the questioning of the candidate today. 20 Chairman, I have no further questions. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY SENATOR RANKIN: 24 Judge Hurley, 2013 you were first elected and again, Q.

some days it seems longer, some days not. I want to

1 just commend you with what we have before us and 2 recognize the struggle that you have. The comments 3 we don't want to leave as if that's it because there 4 were only 2 out of 40 that were negative. 5 overwhelming kudos from those who've taken the time. 6 In our effort to be balanced, we hit the highlights, 7 hit the negative, but we don't want folks to believe 8 that the negative are the predominant because that is 9 not the case with you. I do want to go to as a 10 seasoned judge that you are, perhaps frail, perhaps 11 human, perhaps error prone, as all humans are, 12 whether they wear a black robe or pants, dress, 13 whatever, but to the struggle that you have daily, 14 the inherent tension between litigants like Ms. 15 Meisner or whoever come before your court, whoever 16 they may be. The law is preeminent, clearly, but how 17 do you approach tough choices with children's lives 18 hanging in the balance or financial security or 19 dependents or independents? How do you day in, day 20 out, kind of your North Star, how do you approach 21 this and maintain sanity and the ability to go back 22 the next day for the next hard decision that you have 23 to? 24 So every decision is a difficult decision. Α.

family court, the children's interest is at heart.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so I'm always -- when there are children involved in the case, I'm always focused on what decision it will be in the children's best interest. It does not mean that that is going to be what's in the children's best interest down the road. It means that's what's in the children's best interest today at this moment. And so I absolutely review, and any attorney who comes before me will tell you, I review every single document that is submitted. I believe everyone has a story, their story should be heard and I should listen to it and I should consider it. so once I make a decision, for my own sanity, I have to put that aside because the next case is coming in and that next case deserves my attention. And so at the end of the day, when I go home, everything is bungled up. And so I can't focus on one particular Now I do say that cases keep me up at night, particularly cases that involve children, whether they're caught up in divorce, whether it's abuse and neglect, whether they're juveniles, they keep me up And I do wonder sometimes whether the at night. decision I made that day will be the right decision because families are coming into court because they can't -- they're in situations where it's now out of their control, that their home life is now chaotic,

1 that something has happened to break this family up 2 and they're coming to us for guidance. And so we 3 just get a snapshot of that family based on the 4 affidavits -- particularly at temporary hearings --5 based on the affidavits and the information given to 6 That information, because there are two sides of us. 7 every story, and I always tell litigants when they 8 come to court, particularly in temporary hearings, 9 that there's the plaintiff's truth, there's the 10 defendant's truth, and then there's the real truth 11 that's somewhere in the middle. And we're not there 12 yet. We don't know what that real truth is. 13 only go based on what you've provided me today. 14 it's difficult. My husband would tell you that at 15 3:00 in the morning, I'm wide awake, you know, 16 staring at the ceiling and he'll ask me what's going 17 I'm thinking about a case. Because we do take 18 those home with us. It's difficult. 19

Q. We, again, we're not talking about the complaint, but in this context of court officials, judges that this commission screens, their ability, there's the ability to file a complaint. And today we've heard that only through the complaint do we get to hear the facts of a case or a facts of someone's view of how a court was -- or a case was conducted. I just want to

20

21

22

23

24

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 Α.

0.

Yes.

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21

22

0.

- Absolutely. Α.
- 23 Absolutely. Α.
- 24 And I'm not asking for a -- and forgive that diatribe Q.
- 25 on the touch points. But for the folks paying

-- with a particular candidate?

And that that one in your instances was alluded to

earlier, a citizen's committee took the time not only to just check the boxes, but to write well qualified, committee impressed with her compassion for juveniles, can address the tough issues now before the court. And so that's just one level. And then the interview with the bar and folks then reaching out. Anonymous folks who can take potshots at you. Again, another touch point. And then today. I don't want anybody to think that someone's facts are the facts, whether a complainant or someone listening who might think something else. Like you judge, don't we to have too deal with what we're given --

attention to how you got here, and how you've been serving for the last 11 years It's not an easy task, is it?

A. It is not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So why do you want to keep doing it? Why do you want to wake up at 3:00 in the morning? Maybe I should put your -- don't put your husband under oath. But truly, what is the -- he's pleading the Fifth. I'm invoking it for him. But what truly in your heart of hearts, in your gut, in your -- your passion for this process, why continue to do it?
- Α. Because I truly love it. I mean, I love what I do. Family court has jurisdiction over so many different subjects. And so in any given day, I may hear, you know, a case for a simple name change to a case of terrible abuse to a juvenile committing murder to -to an adoption. Every day is something different. And I feel like I make a difference in people's I'm hoping that what I do is making their lives better. And it's just -- all I keep saying is just my passion. For the juveniles I always say, you know, there are no bad children. There are children who make bad decisions and children who have had bad circumstances. And how can we -- what can we do to make this child's life better, to rehabilitate this

1	child so that this child, when we're done, will be a
2	contributing citizen to, you know, to the state. For
3	parents who involved in abuse and neglect. I don't
4	fault them even though I'm a judge, I don't
5	necessarily judge those parents because I don't know
6	what their life was like growing up. But our job now
7	is to show them that what you're doing is not right.
8	And how can we fix it? How can we make it so that
9	your children can return home safely? What can we
10	do? What resources do we have? So I like I enjoy
11	trying to put the puzzle pieces back together so that
12	families can reunite so that children can go home
13	safely. That children don't come back into the
14	system. That our juveniles don't re-offend. You
15	know, with families divorcing is how can we meet a
16	medium? How can we come to not everyone's not
17	going to be happy. But how can we make it so that
18	y'all can go forward and no longer be at odds with
19	each other and do what's right by your children? And
20	so I enjoy that part of my job.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other comments, questions by
22	MR. STROM: Just real quick.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom.
24	MR. STROM: Judge Hurley, I'd like to commend you on
25	your ballot box results. You have some of the

1	highest in this whole cycle under the well
2	qualified area for professional and academic
3	ability, reputation, experience and judicial
4	temperament.
5	JUDGE HURLEY: Thank you.
6	MR. STROM: And thank you for your service.
7	JUDGE HURLEY: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley.
9	MS. BLACKLEY: Good afternoon.
10	JUDGE HURLEY: Good afternoon.
11	MS. BLACKLEY: I have never met you. I read about
12	you and in hearing your presentation, all I can
13	say is well done. Keep doing what you're doing.
14	JUDGE HURLEY: Thank you.
15	MS. BLACKLEY: To have a passion that I am that's
16	being demonstrated through your work and what I
17	can see for children and family, that is the
18	epitome of what a family court judge should be.
19	JUDGE HURLEY: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If no other comments or
21	questions, Judge, thank you. As you know, the
22	record is not closed until the final release of
23	the record of qualifications. In the event of a
24	violation of the letter or spirit of the ethics
25	law, you understand that we could call you back

1	for further comments.
2	JUDGE HURLEY: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so appreciate your passion and
4	your struggle and your willingness to continue
5	serving our state.
6	JUDGE HURLEY: Thank you. It's been an honor.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank y'all so much.
8	JUDGE HURLEY: Take care.
9	(Off the record)
10	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Judge, good afternoon.
11	JUDGE SMITHDEAL: Good afternoon, sir.
12	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: You have your well, let me
13	swear you in first. Will you raise your right
14	hand?
15	JOSEPH SMITHDEAL, having been first duly sworn,
16	was examined and testified as follows:
17	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: You're sworn.
18	JUDGE SMITHDEAL: Thank you.
19	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I believe you have a copy of
20	your PDQ as well as your sworn statement before
21	you. Is it?
22	JUDGE SMITHDEALE: Yes, sir.
23	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Upon review, does it appear
24	to be complete and accurate and correct?
25	JUDGE SMITHDEAL: Yes, sir.

1 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Any objection to making it 2 part of the record? 3 JUDGE SMITHDEAL: No. sir. 4 (EXHIBIT NO. 34 MARKED FOR 5 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (13 6 PDO) pages) 7 (EXHIBIT NO. 35 MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages) 9 Sworn Statement) 10 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: That being done, let me go 11 over with you our evaluative criteria for the 12 record. We use nine of those. Number one 13 ballot box survey, number two thorough study of 14 your application materials, a verification of 15 your compliance with state ethics laws, search 16 of newspaper articles in which your name 17 appears, study of previous screenings, check for economic conflicts of interest. 18 We have 19 received two affidavits filed in opposition to 2.0 your election. However, they were dismissed. 21 No witnesses are present to testify. 22 welcome to make a brief opening statement, but 23 you certainly can waive that and you'll have an 24 opportunity to give brief remarks at the end, if 25 you so choose, but it's your call.

Well, I would like to introduce my 1 JUDGE SMITHDEAL: 2 wife Libby. Libby is my wife of 32 years. Wе 3 have 5 children, 2 grandchildren, and I asked 4 her to come down with me. I also would like to thank Ms. Crawford and Ms. Crater for all their 5 6 help during this process. 7 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Excellent. Welcome, Ms. 8 Smithdeal. You were next on my list to say did 9 you have anybody here to introduce so great job. 10 With that, Counsel, Ms. Crater. Please answer 11 any questions counsel has. 12 EXAMINATION 13 MS. CRATER: 14 Hello, Judge Smithdeal. Q. 15 Good after, Ms. Crater. Α. 16 0. After serving over 11 years on the family court, why 17 do you want to continue serving as a family court 18 judge? 19 I want to continue serving because it's good work. Α. 20 The families of South Carolina are -- they're 21 critical. They're the bedrock of our society. 22 think I -- I think I do a good job and I love it. 23 Judge Smithdeal, what do you think your reputation is Q. 24 among attorneys that practice before you? 25 I think I have a reputation for being fairly laid Α.

back, willing to talk in chambers, willing to help 1 2 lawyers work things out. You know, I was a trial 3 lawyer for a long time before becoming a judge and so 4 I know what it's like to be a lawyer on a case. 5 fairly laid back, but I guess -- I guess I might 6 occasionally have a reputation for being jealous of 7 the law. I want lawyers to be prepared when they 8 come into court because I'm prepared. And so I --9 and so I think I think my reputation is fairly good. 10 Judge Smithdeal, the commission received 394 ballot Q. 11 box surveys regarding you with 51 additional 12 comments. The ballot box surveys, for example, 13 contain the following positive comments: Prepared, 14 fair, and impartial. Respectful of the parties and 15 And very prepared, kind demeanor, and attorneys. 16 thorough knowledge of the law. Only 10 of the 17 written comments expressed concerns. 18 comments indicated your rulings were based on 19 religious and moral bias. What response would you 20 offer to these concerns? 21 I would respond that on more than one occasion, I've Α. 22 -- I've gotten on people that were behaving badly 23 when children were involved. I don't think that's a

the -- in the trial, the judge has a certain

religious position to take. I think as the judge in

24

- 1 obligation to let people know that that their 2 children are being hurt by their behavior. And so I 3 -- so if there's -- if there's comments or have a --4 have some basis in truth, I would say that that could 5 be what they're talking about. 6 Judge Smithdeal, you were named as a defendant in a 0. 7 United States bankruptcy court case captioned Parker 8 v. South Carolina that was dismissed back in 2019. 9 Can you please explain the nature and disposition of
  - A. I was sued in my capacity as a state court judge in bankruptcy court for an alleged violation of the automatic stay which is found in the bankruptcy code. The case was filed and dismissed within a period of 60 days. It was dismissed under Rule 12 under The Rules of Civil Procedure. And as all the lawyers in here know Rule 12 is decided where the judge has to take the pleadings as factually true and the inferences are weighted in the plaintiff's favor when the defendant files a Rule 12(b)(6) motion. And considering that the judge considered everything in the complaint true, the case was dismissed as without merit.
  - Q. Thank you, Judge.

that case?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CRATER: I would note that the Piedmont Citizens

1 Committee reported Judge Smithdeal to be 2 qualified in the evaluative criteria of 3 constitutional qualifications, physical health, 4 and mental stability. And well qualified in the 5 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 6 professional and academic ability, character, 7 reputation, experience, and judicial 8 temperament. The committee noted Judge 9 Smithdeal is a compassionate, experienced family 10 law practitioner who brings an unparalleled work 11 ethic and deep sense of service to the family 12 court bench. The committee warmly recommends 13 him to the Commission for consideration for 14 another term. 15 I just have a few housekeeping issues. Q. 16 Smithdeal, since submitting your letter of intent, 17 have you contacted any members of the Commission 18 about your candidacy? 19 No, ma'am. Α. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including the 20 Q. 21 limitations on contacting members of the General 22 Assembly regarding your screening? 23 Α. Yes, ma'am. 24 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 25 sought or received the pledge of any legislator

1 either prior to this day or pending the outcome of 2 your screening? 3 No, ma'am. Α. 4 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 5 of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you 6 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 7 process on your behalf? 8 Α. No, ma'am. 9 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 10 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 11 Carolina code section 2-19-70E? 12 Α. Yes, ma'am. 13 I would note for the record that any MS. CRATER: 14 concerns raised during the investigation 15 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 16 the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. 17 Chairman, I have no further questions. 18 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Ms. Crater. 19 Questions, comments from the Commission? 20 Senator Garrett, you're recognized. 21 SENATOR GARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 22 thank you for coming. Thank you for agreeing 23 to, you know, what's the word? Re-up. 24 JUDGE SMITHDEAL: Run for re-election. 25 Yep. And that beautiful wife of SENATOR GARRETT:

1 yours. It's been a pleasure to work with you on 2 the family court bench as a practitioner in 3 front of your court. Always felt that I got a 4 fair shake when you ruled against me, even 5 recently. But you did it -- you did it in a 6 nice way. And actually did it in chambers. 7 These judges that we have developed in the 8 Eighth Judicial Circuit are a model. 9 judges are friendly to lawyers, but they're 10 friendly, they're firm. You know, they make 11 lawyers work. And when they don't, they call us 12 out, and they should. And it's just a pleasure. 13 And he brings, for the community, he brings a 14 sense of justice to families. And that means so 15 much to this -- to this body. He's the epitome 16 of what a judge should be. He takes care, he takes time, he listens to litigants, and he 17 18 really cares about the children. That's one of 19 the most important things is that he really 20 cares about the juveniles, the problems that 21 they're having, and he will get on a parent in a 22 heartbeat, in a courtroom, because they need it. 23 And some -- some -- I'm reading some of these 24 things, but he said things to people that I've 25 had in courtroom that I actually agreed with,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

that I couldn't say, that he needed to say. It needed to be said. So there are a lot more positives than there will ever be negatives on this judge. We know him. I'm proud to work under him. I'm proud to do what we can to try to help him because he is the kind of judge that we need in this community. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JUDGE SMITHDEAL: I appreciate that, Senator. REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Judge, as I look across the commission, it's Legislative Friday, and you're the last candidate. Thank you for appearing Let me go over a few more details here today. This concludes this portion of the with you. screening, but you've been through this process before. You know that I'm required to remind you that, pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow both the spirit, as well as the letter of the ethics laws that are applicable. We will view violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in our screening deliberations. On that note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the

1	formal release of the report of qualifications,
2	and you may be called back at such a time if the
3	need arises. You understand that?
4	JUDGE SMITHDEAL: Yes, sir.
5	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: All right. To that end,
6	thank you for your service. Be careful going
7	back to Greenwood. I've never found an easy way
8	to get to Greenwood.
9	JUDGE SMITHDEAL: There's no easy way.
10	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: I wish you safe travels as you
11	return home. Thank you, Judge. Having
12	completed our agenda and fully gone through the
13	process today, we are hereby adjourned until
14	Monday at 9:00, we will return. Wish y'all safe
15	travels and safe return back. Thank you,
16	everybody.
17	(There being no further questions, the hearings
18	concluded at 3:53 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 I, KATHRYN BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC 4 IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY 5 CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THE HEARINGS ON THURSDAY, THE 21st 6 DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024, THAT THE WITNESS WAS FIRST DULY 7 SWORN BY ME AND THAT THE FOREGOING 289 PAGES CONSTITUTE A 8 TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOMASK REPORT OF 9 SAID DEPOSITION. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR 11 COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE 12 PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY 13 INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE. 14 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL OF SAID 15 TRANSCRIPT WAS THEREAFTER SEALED BY ME AND DELIVERED TO 16 ERIN CRAWFORD, GRESSETTE BUILDING, 1101 PENDLETON STREET, 17 COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA, WHO WILL RETAIN THIS SEALED 18 ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FILING 19 SAME WITH THE COURT PRIOR TO TRIAL OR ANY HEARING WHICH 20 MIGHT RESULT IN A FINAL ORDER ON ANY ISSUE. 21 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 27TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2024. 22 23 24 KATHRYN B. BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER 25 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUGUST 23, 2032

	13	19	2014	265
<b>\$</b>	95:10 144:16	27:12 99:10	188:10	210:12
Ψ	213:3 281:5	128:5 155:13	2015	27
\$10,000	13th	1998	156:12	207:10
258:23	145:3	117:14	2019	272
\$100,000	14		250:25 284:8	63:18
224:15	61:7 108:23	2	2020	273
\$35,000	117:12 167:10		29:22	169:7
259:15	184:24 198:2	2	2021	278
\$5,000	207:8	9:5 27:15 273:4	88:10	199:23
224:8,9	146	282:3	2022	28
	133:23	2-19-70	162:20	184:14 192:4
1	14th	13:17 35:5 56:8	2023	216:10 251:1
	172:11	65:8,24 78:1	53:19 118:6	29
1	15	103:10 120:2	157:9 162:21	216:13 268:16,
9:2 88:10	45:22 51:12	136:19 159:23	210:2	19
1,000	109:1 155:11	171:7 192:23	2024	297
234:4	170:11 174:22,	201:20 202:11	54:6 118:14	75:16
10	23 189:13	211:17 243:13	2025	298
73:19 147:12	216:11 253:9	271:23 285:20	118:13	188:19
199:24 283:16	153	2-19-70(E)	21	100.17
100	34:4	14:8 56:24	167:12 170:3	
85:12 134:21	16	2-19-70E	268:23	3
11	73:20 128:4	35:21 78:16	22	3
41:21 73:22	16-17-490	104:1 120:19	34:5 75:3 76:20	27:11
142:10 146:25	224:20	137:10 160:14	98:10 184:23	30
277:2 282:16	160	171:23 193:14	246:16	121:14 170:10
110	12:9	212:8 244:4	23	174:21 178:6
148:12	17	272:14 286:11	116:19 185:1	218:15 221:2,6,
112	9:3 95:8 128:7	20	210:13 211:3	9 223:2 224:9
218:16	170	47:14 94:18	23-'24	249:8
11th	87:11	108:24 167:9	224:22	31
187:19	17th	170:12	24	246:15
12	88:7	20-20-20	198:1 235:17,24	32
95:7 98:8,11	18	21:12	250:25	29:9 246:18
113:21 284:15,	16:3 111:2	2002	25	282:2
17	155:10 162:21	78:15	88:13 198:4	320
<b>12(b)(6)</b>	187:16	2012	26	55:3
284:20	180	113:15 117:15	12:10 110:17	33
124	203:16	125:22	112:20 169:8	63:19 241:23
116:18	186	2013	207:7	249:7
	99:9	272:24		

	 I			1
330	133:24			241:4 260:25
242:19	42	7	A	274:19 277:16
336	55:4			278:3
241:22	43	7	Abbeville	abused
34	270:1	9:6 27:18 51:14	98:14	112:17 190:14
75:17 281:4	45	73:23 95:11	abide	abusing
35	45:13,15	70s	157:16 257:25	262:10
281:7		227:15	abilities	academic
360	5	75	104:22	13:5 18:24
21:20		88:21	ability	34:18 55:18
365	5	7:30	12:21 13:5	64:24 77:19
88:18,25 163:13	27:17 29:11	57:13 166:21,23	34:18 44:9	102:15 116:21
191:6,7	155:14 167:13	225:20	55:18 64:25	119:7 135:20
37	282:3		75:25 76:3,23	159:12 170:23
97:6,8,23 98:5,	50	8	77:19 102:15	192:15 201:4
11	36:12 39:20		116:22 119:7	211:9 243:4
371	501	8	135:20 143:9	271:12 279:2
269:25	13:10 34:23	29:10 61:6	159:13 170:23	285:6
38	103:4 119:21	198:5	192:16 201:4	acceptance
42:13 147:1	136:7	80	211:10 219:1	60:8
39	51	88:18	231:12 243:4	accepting
188:20	283:11	80s	248:25 255:25	254:24
390	57	227:15	267:8 271:12	access
157:19	157:20	8th	273:21 275:21,	235:12,20 262:4
394	5:00	96:15 97:8	22 279:3 285:6	264:10
283:10	46:21		absence	accessible
		9	268:1	69:8
3:00	6		absolutely	accident
275:15 277:6		9	83:19 126:20	131:16
3:53	6	61:9 128:8	140:14 141:13	accommodate
289:18	51:11,15 61:10	185:2	146:14 150:9	166:18 215:16
3B(9)	109:2 207:11	90	165:9 178:1	accommodation
238:25	216:14 246:19	38:18 150:19	204:14 207:2	76:16
	281:8	90s	231:11 268:3	accommodations
4	60	97:15	269:4 274:7	76:4
	284:15	95	276:21,23	accomplished
4	63-3-30	43:24	abundance	147:7
27:14	29:4	9:00	174:2	
40	63-38-10	289:14	abuse	account 258:24
41:21 147:18	224:18	9:30	11:7 20:17	
273:4		46:20	31:16 111:14,	accountants
41			16,17 189:12	12:2 115:5
				130:25 133:10
	1	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

accounts	234:9,14 240:2	addresses	80:22	afoul
99:2	258:25 264:10	218:18 248:21	adversarial	91:9
accumulated	add	adequate	105:6	afraid
110:18 115:14	111:9 189:4	235:21	advise	118:24 196:2,11
accurate	190:23 239:9	adhere	214:4	afternoon
280:24	254:13 255:21	82:15	advocate	108:5 109:24
accuse	268:24	adherence	14:23 220:12	129:14 154:15
232:24	added	59:18 72:20	affect	156:10,11
accused	135:22	154:4 165:23	17:15 239:4	168:10,11
262:10	adding	183:14	252:23	208:10 217:21
acknowledge	130:11 239:10	adjourned	affected	238:23,24
25:24 248:11,13	268:24	289:13	20:18,20	244:14,15
acknowledges	addition	administration	affects	245:22,23
90:23 266:1	19:17 200:2	163:21 238:7	76:23 271:4	279:9,10
acknowledgmen	210:16 249:3	administrative	affidavit	280:10,11
t	256:5,8	88:9,23 149:11,	17:9 19:2 28:10	afternoons
248:13	additional	18 162:22	44:10 182:22	45:4
acquainted	11:2,4 12:10	163:15 177:22	217:7 218:8,17	age
178:10	32:11,13 34:5	187:15 216:20	219:3 223:23,	10:10 29:9
acting	55:4 63:19	admit	24,25 228:17	30:16 96:23
87:4,5 150:22	75:17 98:1,3,16	267:15,19	246:12 248:18	110:9 129:24
227:2	99:10 113:9,11	admitted	249:3,9,23	134:16,18,22
action	116:19 132:3,5	224:1 255:9	254:7,14	140:6 148:13
54:13 151:15	133:11,24	admonished	affidavits	agency
157:10	157:20 188:21	234:13	9:16 61:22	110:23 118:17
actions	199:24 204:24	admonition	74:14 95:25	agenda
23:14 70:9	210:13 241:23	234:22	109:14 129:5	289:12
130:18 249:14	270:1 283:11	adopted	155:25 167:23	aggressive
actively	Additionally	187:20	170:4,11,14	92:18,23,25
177:8	159:14	adoption	174:18 180:22	aggressively
actual	address	38:2 39:12 40:4	181:6 185:14	185:21
141:20	19:20 68:8	151:16 241:5	198:16 199:17	aging
ad	134:12 213:12	277:17	207:23 275:4,5	19:12
10:20 31:11	219:11 239:7 249:23 251:14	adoptions	281:19	agree
33:8 40:14	267:3 271:16	112:14 130:23	affirmation	36:16 43:5
45:12 125:7	276:12	135:8 269:10	154:7	44:21 45:20
133:17 213:8	addressed	adult	affirmatively	80:1 84:3,6,13,
220:6 221:2,4,7	183:1 189:3	20:24	50:2	16,22 86:2
223:12,15,19,20	211:3 220:8	adultery	affirmed	115:8 124:14
224:4,7,19	249:22 266:18	153:1	64:11 68:6	135:12 141:19
228:8,11	277.22 200.10	advantage	205:21	175:9 232:19
231:17,19		8		251:21 252:11

agreed	209:25	263:7	175:22 196:3	110:16 129:1
255:9 287:25	alluded	anonymous	222:16 226:19	155:20 167:19
agreeing	276:8	158:25 276:15	229:2 230:14	185:7 188:1
66:9 81:21	alluding	anonymously	251:16 252:6,10	198:11 207:18
229:22 286:22	80:5 163:11	18:12 67:22	258:4	217:2 246:25
agreement	Amen	anticipate	appearance	281:14
36:17 37:17	106:24	25:23 183:19,20	49:21 59:20	applied
83:14 224:12	Amendment	antics	72:22 93:8	228:1
ahead	27:16	18:9	107:17 126:15	apply
221:7	amount	anybody's	166:2 214:20	47:21 77:6 86:3
albeit	84:25 110:18	124:7	245:10 288:22	205:3 220:21
175:20	114:22 147:19	anymore	appeared	applying
alcohol	163:17 174:10	53:16 90:3	79:13 100:4	29:16 93:7
258:18	176:12 180:13,	anyone's	157:3 161:16	249:18 256:22
Alice	16	163:6	185:10 222:13	260:2,6
154:20,24	ancillary	apologize	244:16	appoint
alimony	11:7	215:17 219:12,	appearing	45:12 231:18
85:5 113:25	Anderson	14 235:4 251:24	32:24 80:18	260:5
152:25 225:1	172:23,25 173:1	255:16	209:19 288:12	appointed
228:25	174:25 177:8	apologizing	appears	10:21 31:16
allegation	210:25 212:24	225:14	9:15 28:7 52:1	136:14 223:20,
239:18 263:14	213:2	apparently	61:20 74:12	22 224:10
allegations	Andrew	138:1 162:11	95:22 98:7	261:3,4 276:5
231:14 267:4	8:10	240:7	109:11 129:4	appointment
alleged	Andy	appeal	155:22 167:21	223:11 260:15,
33:14 219:19	58:25 165:17	66:24 68:6	198:14 207:21 213:22 217:5	17
284:12	anecdotal	222:3,15 225:5	247:3 281:17	appraisers
alleging	253:4	228:20,24		235:22
262:1,18	Angela	230:11 231:1,4	<b>appellate</b> 13:10 34:24	appreciates
Allendale	60:22 63:2	232:14 233:17,	67:3 103:4	157:23
203:13,14	anger	24 234:7 239:8	119:21 136:7	appreciation
alleviate	209:2	250:5,8,16	229:3 232:17	205:4
45:19 177:2	angry	252:9,20,21	251:11	appreciative
allowed	24:25	253:4 259:3,5,	applaud	18:23
191:20 222:1,3	animals	25 260:10	90:22	approach
232:5,6 254:4	235:16 236:2	265:16 266:2,	applicable	273:17,20
allowing	Anne	10,21	236:24 288:21	approachable
71:8 123:10	154:24	appealing	application	63:16 139:25
181:4 232:7	announcement	224:25 225:1	9:12 28:5 51:23	140:22,25
262:15	261:5,8	232:1 250:10	61:17 74:9	147:23 148:4,25
Allstate	announcing	appeals	95:19 109:8	242:3
		64:12 147:17	75.17 107.0	

	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
approached	arise	assembly	246:8	attorney
144:9	148:10 214:25	13:19 14:2 35:7,	assisted	19:14 22:21
appropriately	arises	15 56:10,18	125:4	29:10 31:15,18
12:20	289:3	65:10,18 78:3,	associate	38:19 48:24
approval	Arnold	11 103:12,20	100:11 102:20	52:4 53:9,11
224:11	209:25 210:8	120:4,12 136:20	assume	87:4,8 89:14
approve	arrested	137:4 159:25	140:8,12	91:22 98:8,12
83:14	131:14	160:7 171:9,17	assuming	117:10 118:6,7
Approximately	arrival	192:25 193:8	24:12 29:6	134:4,16 157:6
208:14	208:4	201:22 202:5	66:16 145:10	188:17 190:15
area	arrogant	203:2 204:22	176:4 239:23,24	192:1 222:11,24
21:6 22:16	150:22	211:19 212:2	assumption	228:9,12 239:25
32:16,22 105:25	articles	243:15,23	117:6	240:1 253:14
110:19 111:6	9:14 28:7 51:25	271:25 272:8		261:6 263:10
112:19 116:3,6	61:20 74:11	285:22 286:5	<b>assure</b> 263:18	274:8
117:21 236:12	95:21 109:10	assertion		attorney's
263:1 279:2	129:3 155:22	253:2	assured	25:3 188:4
	167:21 185:10	assess	190:4	221:15,16
areas	198:13 207:20	75:25 163:17	atmosphere	attorneys
11:1 20:6 32:10, 15 98:1 113:8	217:4 247:2	assessment	92:8	32:24 54:18
119:12 132:2	281:16	173:17	attack	63:7,12 75:11
148:8 149:11		assessor	93:24	111:13 112:2
163:24 178:9	articulate	214:12	attained	157:11 158:2,
186:13 225:17	41:17	asset	29:8	13,19 169:1,25
	as-needed	242:1 243:7	attempt	170:13 175:10
239:17	101:24		78:12 250:20	182:1 186:22
arena	ascended	assets	attempted	187:8,9,10
11:6	147:16	15:25 115:11 116:12	141:14	188:15 189:1,18
argue	Ashley		attempting	191:13 199:11
45:8 170:1,2,7,	27:1 127:17,22	assigned	14:3 35:16	209:13 210:18
18 174:13	askew	90:10 118:11	56:19 65:19	222:14 230:3
175:3,11,24	232:4	176:25 220:6	103:21 120:13	241:10 261:4
182:1 183:6	asks	assist	137:5 160:8	269:16,19
argued	97:5 158:1	10:14 30:20	171:18 193:9	282:24 283:15
175:17	aspect	97:3 110:13	202:6 212:3	attributes
arguing	21:23 110:19	130:3 151:4	243:24 272:9	131:11 153:18
90:3 170:13	135:11 143:10	232:19	286:6	authority
argument	149:18	assistance	attending	16:4 37:7,8,13
44:5 174:11	aspects	115:5	251:7	53:25
235:25 259:24	11:22 33:5	assistant	attention	autistic
arguments	98:22 114:9,10	41:13 72:10	87:15 200:18	16:3
114:23 170:5	131:2 133:3	131:7 132:8	274:14 277:1	
	164:15	216:20 245:16	2/7.17 2//.1	automatic
	1010			
	I	I	1	1

284:13	93:12 97:14	23	bankruptcies	235:23 256:9
avoided	98:9 99:5	badly	116:9	270:18
136:17	107:19 108:6,8	283:22	bankruptcy	basing
awake	113:23 114:3	Baker	116:8 284:7,12,	270:7
275:15	115:23 122:2	52:4,11,12,14	13	basis
awarded	123:19 125:7,8	55:12 57:1	bar	31:20 84:12
224:14	126:19 127:4	61:24,25 62:6,8	11:11 21:16	101:24 176:25
awarding	130:19 132:12,	64:18 66:1	79:24 82:12	284:4
113:25	14 134:21 135:1	bakery	140:23 149:14	basketball
aware	137:20,23	165:17	150:17 157:13	48:13
13:8 14:3 34:22	139:9,12,13,14	balance	187:5 195:4	bat
35:16 45:19	142:14,15	12:20 44:19	210:25 245:1	142:21
56:19,21 65:19	143:11 145:1	273:18	276:14	battles
78:12 82:5	146:9 150:24	balanced	barbecue	36:12
103:2,21 119:19	151:24,25 154:6	152:5 273:6	104:18 160:25	bear
120:13,15	155:5 156:7	balancing	Barr	70:8 185:12
124:20 136:5	166:3 170:15	42:10	91:22	215:22
137:5,7 160:8,	173:5,8 176:6	Ballinger	base	beat
11 171:18 193:9	182:13 183:17	213:11	21:9,13	213:20
202:6 212:2	188:6,7,11	ballot	based	Beatty
238:25 243:24	194:1 197:1,3,	9:11 12:8,9,10	10:6 30:12 77:3,	88:11 89:9
272:9 286:6	22 206:11,16	16:13 18:11	4 79:15 96:19	91:20 92:4
awful	214:25 217:19,	28:4 34:4,6 41:9	107:4 110:5	Beaufort
122:4	21 227:15 230:3 231:1 233:7	51:22 55:3,5	129:20 145:20	172:22 173:1,7
	242:14 245:11	59:3 61:16	157:13 162:9	203:13
B	247:10,14,15,17	63:18,20 74:8	164:15 173:10	beautiful
	273:21 278:11,	75:17 95:18	174:18 220:4	68:14 71:1
babies	13 279:25	99:10,11 109:7	226:17 231:14	94:18,19,22
41:3	283:1,5 284:8	116:18,20	236:23 251:7	139:4 227:8
baby	289:2,7,15	128:25 133:23,	268:4 275:3,5,	286:25
81:22 106:4	background	25 155:19	13 283:18	bedrock
237:24	130:16 137:22	157:19,21 159:1	bases	282:21
back	backing	167:18 169:7	190:16	beg
15:14,15 26:6	194:10	185:6 188:20,21	basically	268:21
29:21,24 30:4,9		198:10 199:23,	22:23,24 76:16	
45:1,5,8,14,18	backstop 64:14	25 207:17	83:3 90:3 102:1	<b>beginning</b> 68:20 170:7
46:4,14 48:15		210:12,14 214:9	118:13 142:24	261:8
49:7,12,20 51:1	backwards	217:1 241:23,24	146:7 148:21	
59:10,21 72:23	196:5	246:24 269:25	170:13,17	begrudging 222:14
73:6 80:2 83:16	bad	278:25 281:13	173:23 174:7	
84:5,17 87:16	15:7 124:5	283:10,12	176:10 177:15,	begrudgingly
89:11,20 91:11	143:14 206:2		19 178:4 228:13	222:7
	212:23 277:22,			
	l	l		1

behalf	244:21 268:19	Billy	body	167:18 169:7
14:2,4 35:15,17	285:12 287:2	22:16 93:18	19:20 66:13	185:7 188:20,21
56:18,20 65:18,	benchmark	107:1 122:2	135:11 148:6	198:10 199:23,
20 78:11	163:13	182:17	260:4,8 287:15	25 207:17
103:20,22	Benefit	biological	boil	210:12,14 214:9
120:12,14	21:11	38:4 39:25 40:2,	44:9	217:1 241:23,24
137:4,6 160:7,9	benefitting	9	bone	246:24 270:1
171:17,19	68:22	bit	194:9	278:25 281:13
193:8,10 202:5,	Benson	15:21 20:14	book	283:11,12
7 212:2,4	185:22 186:2,4	22:10 23:17	142:19	boxes
243:23,25	192:9 193:16	48:6 54:24	books	41:9,20 139:4
272:8,10 286:5,	247:16 248:6,	105:19 113:17	42:10	276:10
7	15,17 249:14	149:8 153:7	booming	brag
behaving	265:3,4 266:14	173:8 194:20	163:3	90:9
283:22	267:22 268:8,	199:20 210:5	<b>borderline</b>	branch
behavior	13,15 271:6	bite	174:17	146:1
284:2	272:16	205:10		breach
believes	Benson's	black	born	263:9
54:10 147:9	248:2	149:2 194:23	29:19 131:20	bread
belt	Berkeley	273:12	187:12	135:9
36:13	162:18,19,24	Blackley	borne	break
bench	163:1 199:14	58:20,21,23,25	191:5	43:6 83:15,16
11:11 12:13	203:5,15	59:8 72:2,3,5,10	bothers	275:1
14:25 19:17	bias	89:25 90:7	112:1,22	breast
21:16 24:11	158:13 283:19	151:7,9 152:6,7	bottom	97:16
39:16 42:23		279:8,9,11,15	12:6 20:11	
51:20 55:23	biased	Blakely	bound	Breeden
62:10 64:1 75:6,	158:22	197:18,21	13:9 34:22	74:17
21 79:3 80:16	big	blaming	103:3 119:20	Brett
83:4 100:1,3	76:19 88:1	179:18	126:14 136:6	239:21
101:22 111:11	164:4 180:21	blend	box	Brian
119:13,16	227:11	34:8	9:11 12:8,9,10	48:22 105:11
134:10 140:2	biggest		16:14 18:11	Bridges
141:4 147:17,25	89:18 237:1	bless	28:4 34:4,6	83:2
148:14,15	bill	94:1,20 196:15	51:22 55:3,5	briefly
150:16 153:15	12:12,15,18	blessed	59:3 61:16	11:19 33:2 41:9
161:24 162:7	18:22 19:8,11,	99:25 141:23	63:18,20 74:8	98:19 114:6
164:2 165:2	14 97:10 116:16	bloody	75:17 76:19	132:25 181:18
168:15 170:7	224:22,23	20:17	95:18 99:10,11	220:2
176:23 200:2,8	billed	board	109:7 116:18,20	briefs
208:13 209:1	224:15	159:1	128:25 133:23,	231:8
210:10,16,24	billing	Bobby	25 155:19	bright
242:1 243:8	237:6,9	210:7	157:19,21 159:1	161:2

bring	bullet		camera	candidate's
33:22 83:13	22:17	C	164:20	10:7,8 30:13,14
84:9 91:11	Bultman's	Cohoon	camp	96:20,21 129:22
145:24 195:18	31:2	Cahoon	251:7	candidates
bringing	bumped	197:13,15,18,	campaign	99:20 102:18
42:9 72:13	170:15	21,25 198:22	28:11 129:7	109:6 110:6,7
139:14 161:25	bungled	199:2 200:1,5,6,	185:16	129:21 136:1
162:11	274:16	24 201:7,16	canceled	214:18 216:24
brings	burden	203:4 205:11,16	260:12,21	218:21 276:3
55:22 136:1	38:24	206:13,15,17	cancer	288:19
210:20 285:10	burger	cahoots	97:16	Candidly
287:13	179:11	262:3	candidacy	46:6
broad	Burris	call	9:17 13:15	candor
31:6	216:21	39:3 45:15	27:25 28:11	41:18
brochure	busiest	49:20 80:13	35:3 56:6 61:14	canon
24:1	118:8	82:3,10,11,23,	65:6 74:7 77:24	238:25 266:7
brother	business	24 86:19,20	95:18 103:8	canons
27:2 50:8	112:14 114:12,	89:3,9 92:23	109:15 119:25	157:16 158:18,
145:16	15,18,19,21	93:12 110:22	128:22 136:3,12	22 187:4 191:2
brought	115:7 133:11	124:21 126:18	159:21 171:5	229:21 239:8
8:13 18:24	businesses	146:16 150:20	192:21 201:18	250:22 265:14
26:24 51:1	99:1 114:23	166:3 183:17	207:15 211:15	canteen
127:14 154:25	131:3 133:9	197:1 206:11	243:11 271:21	241:17
167:25 184:12,		219:20 220:18	285:18	capable
13 194:17	busy	235:17 245:11	candidate	81:8 99:22
216:17,19 230:2	141:21	260:19 279:25	12:12 13:8	capacity
246:5	butter	281:25 287:11	14:12,13 18:16	107:1 117:10
Brousseau	135:9	called	34:22 35:25	121:11 132:10
206:20,21,23	Buxton	30:23 31:4 32:5	36:1 57:3,4	157:8 177:4,7
207:2,6 208:6	8:3,5,7,10,15,	42:3 45:16	61:12 66:3,4	284:11
210:19,23	18,19,20 9:1,22,	79:19 82:2,12	78:21 103:2	captioned
211:2,5 213:23	25 10:9,12 12:8,	118:5 121:17	104:5,6 108:9	284:7
214:6 215:2,5	12,18 13:1,8	137:25 139:12	119:19 120:23,	
Browning	14:19 17:22,23	153:22 164:20	24 134:19 136:5	car 131:15
90:19	18:3,19,23 22:7	214:25 239:23	137:14,15	
Bryan	23:5,6 25:8,9,	260:15 289:2	141:24 189:5	care
94:10 99:13	13,15 26:3,7,10	calling	193:18,19	16:5 19:5,13
104:12,14	Bye-bye	21:21 59:21	202:15 212:12,	40:25 41:3
105:20	60:12	72:23 107:19	13 244:8,9	46:11 60:11
built		124:18 154:6	250:1 272:18,19	108:2 123:7
81:4		calm	276:22 286:15,	124:22 125:1
01.7		66:20 168:19	16 288:12	127:1,2 141:22
		188:23		184:3 197:9

215:5 245:20	33:11 36:18,25	cases	45:16	100:9 104:7,8
280:8 287:16	37:3,4 38:15,21	10:22 11:7,8,9	center	106:11,16,21,24
career	45:11,21 54:2,	16:7 20:17 31:9,	21:17	107:10,16,22,25
16:10 18:21	14 64:3 68:7,9,	12,14,17,18,23	Central	108:6,11,14,19
32:7 42:16 43:8	19 69:3,13	33:1,7,8,13,17,	23:25	109:4,21 110:4
130:7 131:23,25	83:16,17 84:24	21,24 39:20	certified	120:25 121:1,4
133:6 135:9	85:3,8,19,21,25	47:14 64:9	31:12 141:16,19	125:17,20,24
142:10 161:5	86:1,3,24 89:10	67:25 68:23	cetera	126:4,21 127:1,
careful	90:2 91:21	82:8 89:4,6,13,	139:3 228:25	4,7,11,14,20,24
37:2 289:6	92:21 98:6	17 90:11 92:4	chair	128:10,14,17,19
carefully	100:14 101:1	98:12,25 99:5,8	76:22 233:8	129:19 137:16,
63:22	111:17 113:2,24	101:25 110:23	254:18	18 138:5,9,12,
cares	118:24 123:16,	112:9 113:19	chairman	15,18,22 142:5,
24:18 63:23	17 125:3 131:4,	114:4,11,14		6 151:7 152:7
104:16,17	14 141:17 149:5	115:4,6 117:9	8:2,4,6,9,11,16, 19 9:8 10:5	153:17 154:10,
287:18,20	150:22 152:3,	123:23 125:7		15,22,25 155:4,
caring	11,21 157:8	130:24 131:8	14:14,15,16 17:24,25 22:6	16 156:7
47:1 158:4	158:24 169:13	133:15 135:3,7,	· ·	160:19,21,24
159:16 161:2	173:16 174:6,9,	10 142:23	25:9,15 26:4,11,	161:11,12
	14 178:10	143:18 144:16	14,16,20,23	165:13 166:7,
Carolina	180:18,21	149:19 151:13	27:3,7,10,20,23	10,12,14,16,20,
13:10 14:7 20:8	186:14 189:24	153:11 158:21	28:25 30:11	23 167:1,5,15
23:25 29:4 34:24 35:20	191:12,13,18	163:12 164:12	36:2,3 41:5,6	168:3,7 172:3,7
38:12 56:23	199:18 210:8,9	165:5 177:8,16	48:3,4 49:15,24	180:6,7,15
65:23 96:16	216:25 218:25	179:13 189:11,	50:3,4,7,10,13,	181:15 183:8,
103:4 104:1	219:2 220:7	12 190:17	15,18,20,23	10,19,23,25
	221:1 225:13	208:20 261:1	51:1,4,7,17	184:3,5,7,12,15,
110:1 119:21 120:19 128:15	226:12 231:20	269:5,9 274:17,	52:12 57:5,6,8, 24,25 58:20	18 185:4,14,20
136:7 137:10	234:9,17,18	18	/	186:2 193:20,21
130:7 137:10	236:22 240:11	caseworkers	59:12,15,17	194:12,15,16
168:22 171:22	241:5 248:25	112:3	60:1,3,11,14,16, 18,24 61:3,12	195:22 196:18
193:14 202:11	249:1 250:8	catch	18,24 61:3,12 62:6 66:5,6	197:3,6,9,12,14,
210:23 212:8	252:8,12,19	89:2 124:9	71:1,3 72:1,2,17	16,20,22 198:7,
	258:13 260:19	catches		23,24 202:16,18
224:18 238:25	264:22 267:5	88:12 157:25	73:3,5,11,13,25	204:16,20
244:4 252:1	268:2 273:9	caught	74:5,22 78:23,	205:8,14,19
260:7 272:14	274:2,13,14,17	43:16 274:19	24 81:18 83:23,	206:14,16,20,
282:20 284:8	275:17,24,25	caution	24,25 86:6,13	22,25 207:3,13
286:11	277:15 283:4	54:25	90:5 91:2,5	212:14 215:7,9,
carries	284:7,10,14,22		93:3,4,16,20	12,15,22 216:1,
138:3	caseloads	ceiling	94:1,3,5,12,15,	6,16,22 217:19,
case	21:18	275:16	20,24 95:3,13,	21,23 218:4,5,
12:3 20:22 25:6		cell	16 96:5,18	11,14 219:16,22
	l	I	I	<u> </u>

		1		1
220:9 221:17,20	challenge	charges	178:24 182:20	269:7 274:1,18
222:5,10,12,19	200:16	190:9	187:15	277:22,23
224:25 225:8	challenges	Charles	child	278:9,12,13,19
227:10,17,19,24	43:7	91:22,23 125:12	21:1 23:20 31:9	279:17 282:3
228:20,22	challenging	Charleston	33:7 37:14 40:8,	283:23 284:2
229:5,7,16,19	62:14	24:4 156:24	15 53:24,25	287:18
230:4,8,11,16,	chambers	157:4 162:18,	54:2,10 68:10,	children's
17,19 231:3,6,9,	82:23 86:20	19,22 163:7	11,16,22 110:24	21:24 273:17,25
21,22 232:5,15	91:11,15,20	164:18 203:15	111:6 113:14	274:3,5,6
233:3,5,14,20	92:7 239:24	Charlotte	130:11,23	chime
234:2 235:1,6	240:2 283:1	216:19	133:19 153:2	91:6
236:6,9,12,16,	287:6	chase	158:10 161:2	chipped
19 237:2,5,7,9,	chance	94:21	174:14,15	122:15
21,25 238:9,11,	68:21 70:12,13	chasing	189:12 190:14	choices
19 239:11,13	change	86:7	241:5 253:8,23	273:17
240:15 244:10,	23:9 24:12,21	chat	254:5 257:23	choose
11,13 245:5,14,	219:1 230:5,10	194:22	278:1	281:25
20,22,24 246:4,	249:1 250:3,10		child's	choosing
10,21 247:14,	277:15	check	37:25 190:4	258:24
21,24 248:15,17	changed	28:5 41:20 52:1	277:25	
249:15 250:2,4	23:8,9 92:9	61:20 74:13	childhood	chose
251:10,19	142:14 253:25	95:23 109:12	70:12,14,15	70:1,4
253:14 254:6,		129:4 155:20	children	chosen
10,17,19,21,25	changing	158:2 165:16	11:8 16:3,5	70:3 105:24
255:8,12,17	164:12,16	167:22 185:11	20:18,20,21,23,	161:7
256:11,25	220:12	198:15 207:22	24 21:15 37:20	Christmas
257:6,12,14,18	chaos	217:3,5 276:10	39:5 40:25 43:3	213:13
258:2,7,14	16:23,25	281:17	48:10,11,12	Chuck
259:1,8,10,13,	chaotic	checked	62:21 63:23	184:13
16,18,20 262:1,	274:25	139:3	66:18,20 67:7	chunk
8,12,17,23	character	cherish	69:17 70:2,4,10,	230:9
263:4,10,12,16	13:5 34:19	165:25	11,15,25 72:8,	circle
264:5,8,15,17,	55:19 64:25	Cherokee	12 85:5 94:18	24:1
19,23,25	77:19 102:16	88:6	104:16 111:15,	circuit
265:11,12,19,25	119:8 135:21	chicken	16 112:5,16,17	10:2,4 20:1
266:5,7,12,22	159:13 170:23	259:23	113:20 123:24	28:20,24 29:1,
267:7,12,15,18,	192:16 201:5	chickens	125:1 130:10	13 46:22 53:20
21 268:8,10,13,	210:24 211:10	235:19	156:19 203:25	83:5 88:3,8
22,24 272:20,21	219:8 225:3	chief	205:1 223:8	90:4,24 96:14,
278:21,23	243:5 249:5	88:11 89:9	226:14 231:13	15 97:8 98:13,
279:8,20 280:3,	271:12 285:6	132:17 162:21	242:8 251:6	14 99:25 101:13
7 286:17,21	characterize	163:13,14	252:23,25	109:25 110:2
288:8	237:13	164:23 177:22	252.25,25	117:21 129:17,
		101.23 177.22	233.3,20 202.11	111.21 127.11,
		1	l	l

18 132:9,16,17	civil	clients	34:23 35:21	commends
136:15 140:23	153:10 164:3	10:21 17:7 19:1,	56:24 65:24	102:23
143:19,23 145:3	284:16	4,5 21:3 23:4	78:15 103:3	comment
147:15,19	Clarendon	42:9 69:4 71:12	104:1 119:20	53:13 82:16
149:16 150:5,15	29:2 31:22	91:12,16 145:25	120:19 136:6	90:17 117:6
151:2,3,5	clarity	149:21 152:2,3	160:14 171:23	134:17 135:23
152:18 162:19,	163:15	173:24 175:11	193:14 202:11	169:21 190:23
25 164:2 172:12	class	clients'	212:8 244:4	192:18 195:11,
175:25 181:19	141:15	21:24	272:14 284:13	23 204:17,19
187:19 188:9	classes	clock	286:11	235:10 242:13
190:22 196:3	223:9	44:2 71:17,19	colleague	250:9 260:22
199:15 201:11	classmate	178:6 180:14,18	141:17	265:14 266:9
218:24 244:21	25:11	close	colleagues	commented
245:1 287:8	CLE	19:6 49:16	11:17 17:5	159:15
circuits	132:22	107:11 153:25	135:12 269:22	commenting
20:1 143:17	clean	158:20 163:20	college	233:16
149:10	241:16	183:11 206:6	20:7	comments
circumstance		214:2	collegial	12:10,12,23
66:19	clear 38:21 66:22	closed	150:17	16:14,19 18:11,
circumstances	76:7 84:3 232:9,	25:25 59:22	Columbia	17 34:5,7 42:19
18:10 39:7 84:9	10,17 236:22	72:24 93:10	28:22 88:3	55:4,6,11 59:3
149:5 268:5	251:13	154:2,8 165:22	173:8	63:19,21 64:1,2
277:24	clerk	183:12 230:21,	column	66:14 75:18,22
citizen	53:1,6,16 157:6	24 279:22	92:11	90:12 92:15
21:2 29:7 278:2	177:15,17	closes	combined	99:11,12 100:1,
citizen's	178:25 187:6	126:11	257:17	2 116:19,21
276:9		closet	comfort	117:2,3 124:13
citizens	clerk's 163:21	15:4	64:13 67:2	133:24 134:1,7,
12:24,25 20:8		closing	comfortable	13 157:20,22
34:12 55:12	clerked	74:19	110:19 111:6	158:11,12 159:1
64:18 77:13	173:1	co-parent	112:10 113:19	162:3 169:8,9,
102:10 119:1	clerks	70:16	121:24 141:1	15 183:10
135:14 159:6,8	177:19	co-parenting	144:2 148:1	188:21,22,25
165:11 168:21	CLES	68:14	159:4	195:25 199:24
170:20 192:9	21:13	coach	command	200:1,9,10
200:24 208:19	client	22:22 205:8	158:5	202:24 210:13,
211:4 242:23	16:22 19:12,16	coached	commend	15 211:3 212:17
271:6 284:25	92:19 192:2	48:13	18:10,15 49:6	213:25 220:14
city	210:9	coddle	153:12 161:15	231:2 234:10
10:2 28:20	client's	87:13	194:18 195:1,6	239:3 241:24,25
96:13 109:25	22:21 71:9		202:21 273:1	242:9,10 270:2,
129:16,18 138:1	180:22,25	code	278:24	6,9 273:2
		13:9 14:8 29:4	270.27	278:21 279:20
				1

280:1 283:12,	103:25 120:18	287:13 288:7	complained	33:22
13,17,18 284:3	137:9 160:13	commute	209:16	compliance
286:19	171:22 193:13	145:1	complaining	9:13 28:8 51:24
commercial	202:10 212:7	Company	195:3 232:10	61:18 74:10
235:15 236:1	244:3 272:13	210:1	complaint	95:20 109:9
commission	286:10 288:17	comparable	28:10 190:24	129:2 167:20
9:20 12:9 13:14	commitment	189:21	192:5 217:15	185:8 190:20
29:15 34:4 35:2	102:21 204:22		218:8 219:17,	198:12 207:19
36:4 52:7 53:1	committed	comparatively 58:9	19,23,25 220:15	217:3 247:1
55:3 56:5 57:6	165:4		222:15 225:2,9,	281:15
62:1 63:3,18	committee	compassion	11,21 227:25	complicated
65:5 74:18	11:11 12:24,25	16:11 63:22	239:7,13 240:14	98:25
75:16 76:2	21:16 34:13,16	102:21 116:25 149:4 271:16	248:1 255:18,19	complication
77:23 82:18	55:13,16,20,24	276:11	265:6 266:19	115:18
86:9,18 87:12	64:19,22 77:14		275:19,22,23	complied
96:6 99:9 103:7	102:10,13,17,22	compassionate 24:22 285:9	284:22	223:25
109:17 116:18	119:2,5,9		complaints	compliment
119:24 121:2	135:15,18,22	competence	9:16 24:15 52:8	214:8,13
129:9 132:21	159:6,8,15	210:24	54:23 71:7	[
133:23 136:11	170:21 192:10,	competency	74:14 95:25	complimentary 19:7 106:17
137:19 156:4	13,17 200:24	219:8 220:7	109:14 129:6	134:7 190:16
157:19 159:21	201:2,6,10,13	221:6 223:4	155:23 162:8	
160:22,25 169:7	211:5 242:24	224:5 226:9	167:24 180:11	complimented
171:5 185:23	243:2,6 271:7,	236:21 237:13	185:15 198:17	92:15 214:9
188:19 192:21	14,15 276:9,11	238:4 248:4	207:23	compliments
195:12 198:20	285:1,8,12	249:4,12,13,17,	complete	19:19 41:14
199:23 201:17	committing	20,21 250:14,	221:5 223:16	comply
208:2 210:12	112:18 277:16	19,22 253:1	261:6 280:24	29:17 158:22
211:14 214:17	common	257:21 258:13	completed	191:9 224:1
218:7,20,24	36:17 53:19	259:14 264:13	289:12	233:6 266:15
219:4,10 233:23	116:1 172:10	competent	completely	complying
241:22 243:11	178:21	77:5 81:8 242:6	45:23 107:21	221:12,13,15,23
244:12 248:18,	communicate	256:23	177:24 189:13	comport
23 265:5,6	69:20 150:18	competently	195:3	153:19
268:11 269:25	communication	227:2	complex	concepts
271:20 275:21	150:19 239:19	complain	11:20,23 33:3,6,	32:20
283:10 285:13,	community	192:1 209:18	17 34:1 98:20	concern
17 286:19	19:9 21:2 30:23,	complainant	114:7,11 115:10	47:12 64:1,4
288:11,18	24 42:17 48:25	217:18 247:6,	116:7 133:1	100:6 101:7,8
commission's	49:12 132:1	13,15 249:21	135:9 174:14	113:22 117:5,24
14:7 35:20	139:18,23	276:18	189:15	118:1 145:14
56:23 65:23	180:21 208:19	complainants	complexity	158:15 169:25
78:15 102:23	100.21 200.17	61:22 231:23		174:4 175:16

	1	1		1
176:2 189:1	121:14 275:25	connection	192:11 200:25	201:21 211:18
190:18 191:7	Cone	190:23	211:7 231:11	243:14 271:24
196:1 200:11	108:5,10,12,17,	conscientious	242:25 256:15,	285:21
231:11 242:12	22 109:19,24	12:19 55:21	21 257:24	contacts
concerned	110:8,11 113:8	244:23	258:10,17,20	15:25
57:15 130:15	114:6 116:18	consent	260:3 261:18	contained
concerns	117:24 119:2,	10:8 30:14	262:21 264:6	10:6 12:11
14:11 35:24	10,17 125:16,	96:21 110:7	271:8 285:3	30:12 34:6 55:5
52:25 53:3,4	19,23 126:3,9,	129:22 153:5	constitutionally	63:20 96:19
55:11 57:2 66:2	10,20,24 127:2	191:15,17 192:2	256:23	99:12 110:5
75:23 76:25	conference	conservatorship	constrained	116:20 129:20
78:19 100:3	88:19 230:3	s	235:7	133:25 199:25
101:11 104:4	239:25	16:2	constraint	210:14 241:25
112:6 117:2	confidence	considerable	170:9	contemplating
120:22 134:11	16:20 24:7	201:7	constraints	182:21
137:13 158:11	143:9 146:19	consideration	175:4	contention
160:17 169:16,	180:25	102:24 285:13	contact	194:9
18 172:1 178:2	confident	considered	14:1 35:14	contentment
187:11 188:25	110:21 187:2	25:22 46:25	56:17 65:17	60:7
193:17 195:19	189:5	75:23 92:14	68:11 78:10	contested
200:9 202:14	confine	118:3 126:17	103:19 120:11	11:20 31:11
211:3 212:11	232:8 250:20	161:19 254:8	137:3 160:6,10,	33:3,13 45:11
231:12 242:10	confirmation	256:9 284:21	11 171:16	82:8 98:20
244:7 270:6	247:4	consistency	191:12,14 193:7	101:25 114:7
272:17 283:17,	conflate	83:19,21 84:4,	202:4 212:1	133:1 143:7
20 286:14	37:10	14 85:12,16,17	241:17 243:22	152:10 153:4
conclude	conflict	150:2	272:7 286:4	189:16 191:16
25:18 72:18	37:5 130:24	consistent	contacted	context
93:5 165:19	133:15 135:7	83:5 140:22	13:14 35:2 56:5	169:21 189:8
214:14	158:25	157:23 178:19	65:5 77:23	242:14 275:20
concluded	conflicts	consistently	103:7 119:24	continually
289:18	9:15 28:6 52:2,	133:20 258:11	136:11 159:20	258:11
concludes	25 53:4 61:21	constitutes	171:4 192:20	continuance
245:6 288:14	74:13 95:24	262:19	201:17 211:14	253:22
conclusions	109:12 129:5	constitution	243:10 271:20	continue
66:23	155:21 167:23	259:14 264:12	285:17	52:16,19,21
conduct	185:11 198:16	constitutional	contacting	62:11,24 66:9
13:9 34:23	207:22 217:6	13:2 34:14 39:4	13:18 35:6 56:9	75:1,9 81:21
93:13 103:3	247:5 281:18	55:14 64:20	65:9 78:2	83:16 122:7
119:20 136:6	congratulations	77:15 102:11	103:11 120:3	132:20,23
266:8	107:7 212:20	119:3 135:16	136:19 159:24	156:13,20
conducted		159:9 171:1	171:8 192:24	157:14 159:1
	1	1	1	1

	1	i		
168:15,23	conversations	correctly	154:11 174:25	132:4 133:1,3,5
186:6,17 187:18	132:19	16:21 228:1	coupled	134:5,6,8 135:3,
195:15 199:3	conviction	correlate	188:8	4,23,25 136:8
200:8,20 204:21	190:6,7	203:8	court	139:15,22
205:24 208:15	Conway	Council	9:9 10:13,16,18,	140:23 141:7,9,
229:8 232:22	127:9,13	21:20	24 11:3,20,22	17 142:19,23
240:22 268:17	128:11,14	counsel	12:13,18,21	143:5,23 144:1,
269:3 277:11	137:20 138:6,8	9:18 10:19,20	13:11 15:19	8,20 145:3
280:4 282:17,19	153:25	24:23 76:14	16:9,12,16,23,	146:2 147:17,
continued	cooperative	168:4 170:5	24 18:21 19:8	22,25 148:6,7,9,
71:20 180:18	263:22	210:17 239:19	20:6,16 23:15	19 149:7,9,14,
185:24 196:7	cop-out	282:10,11	29:5,13 30:19,	20 150:16,19
continues	81:11	counselors	22 31:7,8,10,12,	151:14,19
205:14	copacetic	133:16	24 32:1,2,9,12,	152:15 153:7
continuing	228:15	counterpoint	15 33:3,5 34:9,	156:12,13,16,17
74:7 146:19		59:8	24 36:22 38:22	157:1,3,7,18
231:25 258:9	<b>Copeland</b> 197:18,21	counties	39:8 42:16 43:2,	158:7 161:4
continuously	,	29:2 46:19 88:7	15,17 45:2 46:4	163:7,10,21
232:15 251:19	copy	89:21 98:13	47:4,18 49:2,3,5	164:17,18,22
contract	280:19	121:15,17 145:6	52:16 53:1,6,19	165:12 168:15,
29:22 30:2	cordial	162:18,19 164:4	54:6,9 55:2,23	16 170:17
31:15,18 98:8,	150:17	203:11 213:4	62:10,11,13,17,	173:7,14,20
12	Coreen		19 63:5 64:12	174:3 175:20,
contradict	50:21,25	country	67:7,24 71:6,7,	21,22,25 176:1,
64:3	corporately	163:3 197:6,7	11 75:1,14 77:2,	16 178:5,13
contribute	87:3	211:1 255:3,6	10 79:3 82:21	180:12 181:9,20
224:19	correct	county	83:13 84:15	186:6,7,8,11,13,
	15:19 17:14,16	21:9 28:22	85:13,14 86:1	16,19,23 187:2,
contributed	27:23 59:24,25	31:22,24 45:3	87:24 90:15,19	21 188:8,11,13,
114:24 115:15	63:8 73:1 84:12	88:1 97:10,20	91:22 97:1,8,14	14 189:11
contributing	93:14 108:9	100:12 101:25	98:2,7,17,20,22,	190:12 191:10,
278:2	121:12 125:24	118:7 121:12,	25 99:7,16	13 196:3,14
control	126:19 140:10,	13,24 140:4	100:22 101:12,	199:3 200:7
23:23 92:2	11 144:23	156:24 157:4	16 102:1,2	201:8 206:2
213:1 274:25	145:15 153:6	162:24 163:1,2	103:4 110:11,	208:16 209:1
controlled	194:2,3,4,7	164:9 174:25	20,22,25 111:12	211:2 213:2,20
191:1	215:1 228:20	194:7 203:5,8	112:9,12,13	218:22 220:2,3,
controlling	229:11 240:5	210:25 212:24	113:10 114:7,9	20 221:13
200:15	245:12 250:3	226:17,22	116:2,14	222:16,17
controls	258:6 261:1	couple	119:13,16,21	224:11 225:11,
188:23	263:10 266:3,5,	68:24 76:24	121:10 124:16,	25 226:7,17
conversation	12,13 276:6	101:19 118:11	25 130:1,6,7,20,	228:10 229:2
89:19 91:6 92:7	280:24	139:21 144:13	21 131:12,13,18	230:14 232:18
	1	1	·	

237:20 238:7   200:15 209:3,6,   created   251:17 253:1   153:3 174:15   240:22.23   11.20 242:2.9,   11.20 242:2.9,   11.20 242:2.9,   11.20 242:2.9,   11.20 242:2.9,   12.53:18   255:23 256:3.13   (2012)   241:8,11,21   251:24   251:2,25   262:10,24   252:6,0,24   252:6,0,24   252:11,15,15   256:23 258:3   260:24   270:1,17 21:22   233:1,2   252:1   277:13 279:18   277:13 279:18   222:12 233:1.2   252:1   226:24   279:1   202:12 233:1.2   252:1   282:12   272:2   233:1.2   252:1   282:12   279:1   202:12   233:1.2   252:1   260:24   279:1   279:1					
240:22,23	237:20 238:7	200:15 209:3,6,	created	251:17 253:1	153:3 174:15
241:8,11,21   261:24 262:7,   18:25 19:4   271:8,11 281:11   45:22 204:18   285:2,5 288:18   cutting	239:2,23	11,20 242:2,9,	233:1 258:1	255:23 256:3,13	189:25 190:2,8
242:7 243:7	240:22,23	11 253:18	creative	257:19 262:19	cut
244:24 245:17   270:7,16,19   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   287:22,25   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:24   260:23,5,6,16, 19;20 271:1,17   273:15,25   252:1   226:24   279:1   273:15,25   252:1   226:24   279:1   273:15,25   252:1   226:24   279:1   273:15,25   252:1   226:24   279:1   273:15,25   252:1   274:23 275:8, 20,25 276:13   277:13 279:18   282:16,17 283:8   284:7,11,12   285:12 287:2,3   249:5   249	241:8,11,21	261:24 262:7,	18:25 19:4	271:8,11 281:11	45:22 204:18
244:24 245:17   250:7,25   250:72.22.5   250:72.22.5   250:11.15.16   252:6,10,24   260:20 261:3,4   260:24   260:24   260:23 258:3   260:20 271:1,17   273:15,25   277:13 279:18   220:16,17 283:8   284:7,1,1,12   285:12 287:2,3   260:14   20:5 219:9   240:5 219:9   240:5 219:9   240:5 20:14   255:14,17 61:15   223:16   275:16   275:10   275:	242:7 243:7	13,25 263:2	credence	285:2,5 288:18	cutting
Courtrooms   55:8   105:20,21   260:24   279:1   260:24   260:24   279:1   260:24   279:1   279:	244:24 245:17	270:7,16,19	146:12	criterion	
251:12,15,16   252:6,10,24   256:23 258:3   260:24   260:24   260:20 261:3,4   268:17,18   269:2,3,5,6,16   19.20 271:1,17   273:15,25   274:23 275:8   20,25 276:13   277:13 279:18   282:16,17 283:8   284:7,11,12   285:12 287:2,3   20urt-appointed 264:9   249:5   249:5   260:24   132:25   274:23 273:8   200urt-appointed 264:9   249:5   260:24   132:25   283:25   287:2,3   260urtesy   19:16   20urthouse 76:8,13 135:4   241:15 244:18   241:15 244:18   242:2 76:9,22 93:1   100:5 104:21   141:148:2	250:7,25	287:22,25	credibility	232:21	162:15
252:6.10,24 256:23 258:3 260:20 261:3,4 268:17,18 269:2,3,5,6,16, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,6, 19,20 271:1,1,1 225:1,1 225:1,1 225:1,1 225:1,1 225:1,1 226:24 25:1,1 225:1,1 225:1,1 226:24 25:1,1 200sin 226:24 26:24 26:24 27:13 279:1 252:1 252:1 252:1 260sin 226:24 26:24 26:24 27:13 279:1 279:1 279:1  D  Cromer's 16:16 Crouch 43:15 dad Crouch 43:15 dalliance 17:22 185:13,19 19:16 court-appointed 264:9 249:5 Covid 20:5 219:9 249:5 Covid 20:5 24	251:12,15,16	courtrooms	•	critical	cvcle
256:23 258:3 260:20 261:3,4 268:17,18 269:2,3,5,6,16, 19,20 271:1,17 273:15,25 274:23 275:8, 20,25 276:13 277:13 279:18 282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9 courteous 55:8 169:11,14 188:23 courtesy 19:16 courthouse 76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18 200:15 244:18 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:17 283:8 200:18 29:9 249:5 249:17,112 249:18 131:10	1 ' '	55:8 105:20,21	credit	130:13 282:21	•
260:20 261:3,4 268:17,18 269:23,5,6.16, 19,20 271:1,17 273:15,25 274:23 275:8, 20,25 276:13 277:13 279:18 282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9 Courteous 55:8 169:11,14 188:23 19:16 courtesy 19:16 courthouse 76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18 courtroom 12:14 17:18 20:27 76:69,22 282:4 87:69,12:4 99:22,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 118 245:5 41:1 60:9 69:22 76:69,22 284:25 286:13, 100:10 17:12 188:23 100:10 17:12 180 180:12,114 180:10 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:16 190:18 192:10, 180:10 190:18 190:18 192:10, 190:18 1		260:24		Croft	
268:17,18 269:2,3,5,6,16, 19,20 271:1,17 273:15,25 274:23 275:8, 20,25 276:13 277:13 279:18 282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9 courteous 55:8 169:11,14 188:23 19:16 courthouse 76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18 courtroom 12:14 17:18 24:5 41:1 60:9 69:22 76:6,9,22 82:4 87:6 91:24 92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 14:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 16:124 17:22 12:23:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 233:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,2 22:12 23:1,3 184:10 190:18 192:10, 199	1	courts		15:16	
269:2,3,5,6,16,   19,20 271:1,17   223:12,13:10,   19,20 271:1,17   223:15,25   252:1   233:1,2   252:1   233:1,2   252:1   233:1,2   226:24   226:23   226:23   226:24   226:25   236:24   226:24   226:25   236:23   226:24   226:24   226:25   236:23   226:24   226:24   226:25   236:23   226:24   23	1	70:17 121:22		Cromer's	
19,20 271:1,17   222:12 233:1,2   252:1   25		187:21 213:10			dabbled
273:15,25 274:23 275:8, 20,25 276:13 277:13 279:18 282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9  Courteous 55:8 169:11,14 188:23  courtesy 19:16  courthouse 76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18 courtroom 12:14 17:18 courtoom 12:14 17:18 courtroom 12:14	1 ' '	222:12 233:1,2			
274:23 275:8, 20,25 276:13         cousin 226:24         crimes 112:18 131:10         17,22 185:13,19         19:7         daily 176:24 273:13         daily	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	252:1			dad
20:52 1/6:13 277:13 279:18 282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9	1	cousin	ĺ ,		
282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9 courteous 55:8 169:11,14 188:23 courtesy 19:16 courthouse 76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18 courtroom 12:14 17:18 24:5 41:1 60:9 69:22 76:6,9,22 82:4 87:6 91:24 92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 116:10 190:16 covered 20:5 219:9 249:5 Covid 75:5 89:3 164:3 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:18 192:10, 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:18 192:10, 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:18 193:19 194:16 195:8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5 18 104:18 193:19 194:19 193:24 105:20 13:14 196:17 197:2,5 8,10 196:17 197:2,5 8,10 196:17 197:2,5 8,10 196:17 197:4,3 139:19 152:19, 123:19 123:19 123:19 123:11,14 198:9 139:19 152:19, 123:19 121	1 '	226:24			
282:16,17 283:8 284:7,11,12 285:12 287:2,3 court-appointed 264:9  Covid 75:5 89:3 75:5 89:3  courtesy 19:16  courthouse 76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18 courtroom 12:14 17:18 24:5 41:1 60:9 69:22 76:6,9,22 82:4 87:6 91:24 92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 116:10 190:16 covered 20:5 219:9 249:5 Covid 75:5 89:3 75:5 89:3 75:5 89:3 75:5 89:3 75:6 89:3 75:5 89:3 75:6 89:3 75:6 89:3 75:6 89:3 75:6 89:3 75:7 89:3 75:8 169:11,14 164:3 199:13:24 199:13:24 139:19 152:19, 23 139:19 152:19, 24bta 18 18 18 18 18 194:16 195:8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 196:17 197:2,5, 8,10 199:18 13:17,18 91:21 190:10 13:1,4 28:3 30:5 139:19 152:19, 23 139:19 152:19, 24bta 141:14 18:2 164:3 131:1,18 91:21 139:19 152:19, 23 15:16 15:16 13:23 35:11 15:16 15:15 15:16 15:15 15:16 15		cover		· ·	
284:7,11,12         285:12 287:2,3         covered         105:20 130:18         194:16 195:8,10         257:10         danger           264:9         Covid         75:5 89:3         crises         123:5         crystallized         dapper           55:8 169:11,14         188:23         164:18 187:12, 13,15,16,23,24         190:21 191:7,8         9:10 13:1,4 28:3         30:5         current         data           19:16         190:21 191:7,8         194:19         64:20,23 74:6         Curtis         13:23 35:11           courthouse         76:8,13 135:4         241:15 244:18         64:20,23 74:6         Curtis         15:16         56:14 65:14           courtroom         12:14 17:18         161:23         135:16,19         custodial         78:6 101:15           24:5 41:1 60:9         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1           92:2,6,9,24 93:1         100:5 104:21         18         207:16 211:6,8         38:9,10 40:21,         272:4           141:1 148:2         149:23 158:19         161:24 164:21         282:5         242:25 24:3         246:23 247:8         24:115 15:15         Dave           168:18 169:17         168:18 169:17         173:29         151:15 15:11         151:15 15:11         151:15 15:11	·			,	
285:12 287:2,3         20:5 219:9         100:20 130:18         196:17 197:2,5, 8,10         danger 66:18           264:9         Covid         75:5 89:3         123:5         crystallized         dapper           55:8 169:11,14         188:23         164:18 187:12, 13,15,16,23,24         34:14,17 51:21         139:19 152:19, 23         data           19:16         190:21 191:7,8 194:19         55:14,17 61:15         23         date           76:8,13 135:4 241:15 244:18         98:23         102:11,14 109:6         custodial         78:6 101:15           241:15 244:18         69:22 76:6,9,22         155:18 167:17         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1           24:5 41:1 60:9         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         31:9,12 33:7,15, 211:23 243:19         272:4           92:2,6,9,24 93:1         282:5,10,13,15         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         272:4           14:1:1 148:2         18         214:17 217:1         22 45:11 67:8         245:11 67:8           149:23 158:19         282:5         242:25 243:3         113:14 130:23, 24         12:12:15 15:211           164:3         248:4 10:249:22         248:4 10:249:22         246:23 247:8         241:33:15         0	1 ' '	covered			
court-appointed         249:5         crises         8,10         66:18         66:18           courteous         75:5 89:3         criteria         30:5         154:25 155:2         dapper           55:8 169:11,14         188:23         164:18 187:12, 13,15,16,23,24         9:10 13:1,4 28:3         current         139:19 152:19, 23         data           courtesy         190:21 191:7,8 194:19         64:20,23 74:6         Curtis         13:23 35:11         184:19           courthouse         CPA         77:15,18 95:17         15:16         56:14 65:14         78:6 101:15           courtroom         12:14 17:18         crackle         119:3,6 128:24         12:2         12:28 136:25           courtroom         161:23         135:16,19         custodial         19:34 202:1         12:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1           24:5 41:1 60:9         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         31:9,12 33:7,15,         211:23 243:19         272:4           92:2,6,9,24 93:1         282:5,10,13,15         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         211:23 243:19           10:5 104:21         141:1 148:2         284:25 286:13,         207:16 211:6,8         38:9,10 40:21,         19:14           149:23 158:19         246:23 247:8         246:23 247:8         241:33:15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>				1	
264:9         Covid         123:5         crystallized         dapper           55:8 169:11,14         188:23         164:18 187:12,         9:10 13:1,4 28:3         154:25 155:2           courtesy         19:16         190:21 191:7,8         34:14,17 51:21         139:19 152:19,         184:19           courthouse         76:8,13 135:4         98:23         64:20,23 74:6         Curtis         13:23 35:11           courtroom         12:14 17:18         98:23         102:11,14 109:6         custodial         78:6 101:15           courtroom         12:14 17:18         Crane         155:18 167:17         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1           69:22 76:6,9,22         82:4 87:6 91:24         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         11:32,13 37:15,         211:23 243:19           69:22 76:6,9,22         282:4 87:6 91:24         282:5,10,13,15         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         211:23 243:19           100:5 104:21         141:1 148:2         284:25 286:13,         207:16 211:6,8         38:9,10 40:21,         119:14           149:23 158:19         282:5         242:25 243:3         113:14 130:23,         245:11 67:8           168:18 169:17         282:5         246:23 247:8         241:33:15         15:15 15:15         15:11	1 1				
courteous         75:5 89:3         criteria         30:5         tourrent         154:25 155:2           courtesy         19:16         190:21 191:7,8         55:14,17 61:15         23         date           courthouse         CPA         77:15,18 95:17         15:16         56:14 65:14           courtroom         12:14 17:18         crackle         119:3,6 128:24         12:2         12:2         12:3 33:11           courtroom         12:14 17:18         Crane         155:18 167:17         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1           24:5 41:1 60:9         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         31:9,12 33:7,15,         211:23 243:19           69:22 76:6,9,22         82:4 87:6 91:24         92:26,6,9,24 93:1         282:5,10,13,15         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         daunting           100:5 104:21         18         214:17 217:1         22 45:11 67:8         Dave           161:24 164:21         18         214:17 217:1         22 45:11 67:8         David           161:24 164:21         168:18 169:17         232:0         248:4 10 249:22         151:15 152:11	264:9			, ·	
164:18 187:12, 13,15,16,23,24   190:21 191:7,8 194:19   194:19   194:19   198:23   198:23   198:23   102:11,14 109:6   191:24 17:18   24:5 41:1 60:9   69:22 76:6,9,22   82:4 87:6 91:24   92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21   141:1 148:2				_	
188:23         13,15,16,23,24         34:14,17 51:21         139:19 152:19,         184:19           courthouse         76:8,13 135:4         194:19         64:20,23 74:6         Curtis         13:23 35:11           courtroom         12:14 17:18         98:23         102:11,14 109:6         custodial         78:6 101:15           24:5 41:1 60:9         69:22 76:6,9,22         69:22 76:6,9,22         15:18 167:17         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1           82:4 87:6 91:24         92:2,6,9,24 93:1         100:5 104:21         282:5,10,13,15         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         21:23 43:19           141:1 148:2         149:23 158:19         161:24 164:21         282:5         242:25 243:3         13:14 130:23,         Dave           168:18 169:17         123:0         248:4 10 249:22         15:15 15:15         15:15 15:11         15:16         56:14 65:14         78:6 101:15         16:4 17:13         16:4 17:13         19:34 202:1         10:4 17:13         19:4 202:1         19:34 202:1         19:34 202:1         19:34 202:1         19:34 202:1         19:34 202:1         27:4         daunting         19:14         Dave           24 13:15         246:23 247:8         246:23 247:8         24 133:15         15:15 15:211         15:15 15:211	1				
courtesy         190:21 191:7,8         34.14,17 31.21         23         date           courthouse         CPA         76:8,13 135:4         241:15 244:18         241:15 244:18         CPA         77:15,18 95:17         15:16         56:14 65:14         78:6 101:15           courtroom         12:14 17:18         Crackle         119:3,6 128:24         12:2         120:8 136:25         160:4 171:13           24:5 41:1 60:9         69:22 76:6,9,22         82:4 87:6 91:24         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         31:9,12 33:7,15, 211:23 243:19         211:23 243:19           92:2,6,9,24 93:1         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         272:4           141:1 148:2         18         214:17 217:1         22 45:11 67:8         Dave           141:1 148:2         Crawford         232:11,14         82:8 112:16         David           168:18 169:17         132:0         248:4 10 249:22         151:15 152:11         David           168:18 169:17         132:0         248:4 10 249:22         151:15 152:11         David	188:23	· ·	· ·		
19:16         194:19         33:14,17 61:13         Curtis         13:23 35:11         56:14 65:14         13:23 35:11         56:14 65:14         77:15,18 95:17         15:16         custodial         78:6 101:15         78:6 101:15         78:6 101:15         78:6 101:15         102:11,14 109:6         102:11,14 109:6         102:11,14 109:6         102:11,14 109:6         102:11,14 109:6         103:23 35:11         78:6 101:15         78:6 101:15         78:6 101:15         102:8 136:25         102:8 136:25         102:8 136:25         102:8 136:25         102:8 136:25         102:8 136:25         103:23 35:11         100:4 171:13         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1         100:4 171:13         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1         103:	courtesy			,	
courthouse         CPA         64:20,23 74:6         15:16         56:14 65:14           76:8,13 135:4         98:23         15:16         56:14 65:14           241:15 244:18         crackle         102:11,14 109:6         custodial         78:6 101:15           12:14 17:18         161:23         135:16,19         custody         160:4 171:13           24:5 41:1 60:9         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         31:9,12 33:7,15,         211:23 243:19           69:22 76:6,9,22         82:4 87:6 91:24         92:2,6,9,24 93:1         192:11,14 198:9         17 36:9,12,15,         272:4           92:2,6,9,24 93:1         284:25 286:13,         207:16 211:6,8         38:9,10 40:21,         19:14           141:1 148:2         18         214:17 217:1         22 45:11 67:8         Dave           161:24 164:21         282:5         242:25 243:3         113:14 130:23,         24 133:15         19:22 206:23           168:18 169:17         272:0         248:4 10 249:22         151:15 152:11         David         91:22 206:23	19:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		
76:8,13 135:4       98:23       77:13,18 93:17       102:11,14 109:6       78:6 101:15         courtroom       12:14 17:18       161:23       135:16,19       custody       160:4 171:13         24:5 41:1 60:9       69:22 76:6,9,22       97:10       170:22,25 185:6       31:9,12 33:7,15,       211:23 243:19         82:4 87:6 91:24       92:2,6,9,24 93:1       100:5 104:21       18       200:25 201:3       19 37:9,10,13       272:4         141:1 148:2       18       214:17 217:1       22 45:11 67:8       24:21 16:23       113:14 130:23,         161:24 164:21       282:5       242:25 243:3       113:14 130:23,       24:22 206:23         168:18 169:17       23:0       248:4 10 249:22       151:15 152:11       151:15 152:11	courthouse				
241:15 244:18         crackle         102:11,14 109.0         12:2         78.0 101:13           courtroom         12:14 17:18         161:23         193:4 202:1         12:2         160:4 171:13           24:5 41:1 60:9         69:22 76:6,9,22         78.0 101:13         100:4 171:13         11:24,25 23:13         193:4 202:1         193:4 202:1           82:4 87:6 91:24         97:10         170:22,25 185:6         31:9,12 33:7,15,         211:23 243:19         272:4           92:2,6,9,24 93:1         282:5,10,13,15         200:25 201:3         19 37:9,10,13         daunting           100:5 104:21         18         214:17 217:1         22 45:11 67:8         Dave           141:1 148:2         Crawford         282:5         242:25 243:3         113:14 130:23,         David           168:18 169:17         123:0         248:4 10 249:22         151:15 152:11         91:22 206:23	76:8,13 135:4		1		
courtroom       161:23       135:16,19       custody       160:4 171:13         24:5 41:1 60:9       97:10       155:18 167:17       11:24,25 23:13       193:4 202:1         82:4 87:6 91:24       97:10       170:22,25 185:6       31:9,12 33:7,15,       211:23 243:19         92:2,6,9,24 93:1       282:5,10,13,15       200:25 201:3       19 37:9,10,13       272:4         100:5 104:21       18       207:16 211:6,8       38:9,10 40:21,       19:14         141:1 148:2       18       214:17 217:1       22 45:11 67:8       Dave         161:24 164:21       282:5       242:25 243:3       241:31:15       241:31:15       241:31:15       19:22 206:23         168:18 169:17       132:0       248:4 10 249:22       151:15 152:11       91:22 206:23	241:15 244:18		′		
12:14 17:18 24:5 41:1 60:9 69:22 76:6,9,22 82:4 87:6 91:24 92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 141:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 168:18 169:17  Crane 97:10 155:18 167:17 170:22,25 185:6 17 36:9,12,15, 19 37:9,10,13 18 207:16 211:6,8 207:16 211:6,8 214:17 217:1 22 45:11 67:8 232:11,14 232:0 242:25 243:3 246:23 247:8 248:4 10 249:22 151:15 152:11  100:4 171:13 193:4 202:1 211:23 243:19 272:4  daunting 119:14  Dave 210:23 212:20  David 91:22 206:23	courtroom				
24:5 41:1 60:9 69:22 76:6,9,22 82:4 87:6 91:24 92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 141:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17  97:10  170:22,25 185:6 192:11,14 198:9 1200:25 201:3 19 37:9,10,13 200:25 201:3 200:20 20:20 200:25 201:3 2	12:14 17:18		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
69:22 76:6,9,22 82:4 87:6 91:24 92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 141:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17  Crater  282:5,10,13,15 284:25 286:13, 18  Crawford 282:5  200:25 201:3 200:25 201:3 207:16 211:6,8 214:17 217:1 22 45:11 67:8 232:11,14 232:0 242:25 243:3 246:23 247:8 248:4 10 249:22 241:33:15 21:25 152:11  17 36:9,12,15, 19 37:9,10,13 272:4  daunting 119:14  Dave 210:23 212:20  David 91:22 206:23	24:5 41:1 60:9			·	
82:4 87:6 91:24       282:5,10,13,15       200:25 201:3       19 37:9,10,13       daunting         92:2,6,9,24 93:1       284:25 286:13,       207:16 211:6,8       38:9,10 40:21,       19:14         100:5 104:21       18       214:17 217:1       22 45:11 67:8       Dave         161:24 164:21       282:5       242:25 243:3       113:14 130:23,       200:25 201:3         18       214:17 217:1       22 45:11 67:8       Dave         232:11,14       242:25 243:3       246:23 247:8       24 133:15       151:15 152:11         168:18 169:17       123:0       248:4 10 249:22       151:15 152:11       91:22 206:23	69:22 76:6,9,22				
92:2,6,9,24 93:1 100:5 104:21 141:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17  284:25 286:13, 18  207:16 211:6,8 214:17 217:1 22 45:11 67:8 82:8 112:16 13:14 130:23, 246:23 247:8 248:4 10 249:22 151:15 152:11	82:4 87:6 91:24		· ·		
100:5 104:21 141:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17  18  214:17 217:1 22 45:11 67:8 82:8 112:16 113:14 130:23, 242:25 243:3 246:23 247:8 248:4 10 249:22 151:15 152:11  18  214:17 217:1 22 45:11 67:8 210:23 212:20  David 91:22 206:23	92:2,6,9,24 93:1				
141:1 148:2 149:23 158:19 161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17  Crawford 282:5 232:11,14 242:25 243:3 246:23 247:8 248:4 10 249:22 151:15 152:11  David 210:23 212:20 David 91:22 206:23	100:5 104:21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
149:23 158:19 161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17  282:5  crazy 1242:25 243:3 246:23 247:8 246:23 247:8 248:4 10 249:22 151:15 152:11  216:23 212:20  David 91:22 206:23	141:1 148:2				
161:24 164:21 168:18 169:17			,		
168:18 169:17   122:0   248:4 10 249:22   151:15 152:11   91:22 200:23					
188:24 189:2					91:22 206:23
	188:24 189:2	123.9	2 10. 1,10 277.22	101.10 102.11	

day	dealing	decisions	defending	denied
44:23 50:13	17:15 19:5	36:23 37:24	225:14	222:1 253:20
55:2 60:11	33:15,18,19	108:8 113:6	defense	dental
62:14 67:23	62:19,20 69:3	162:7,10 169:14	10:19,22 31:18,	260:15,17
69:9 70:19	112:16,17	206:2,3 225:4,	19 83:12 132:21	deny
84:18 92:20	182:22 189:15	15 236:23	deferential	225:24 253:23
98:18 101:23	241:4	270:8,11,13	263:20	department
106:13 107:6	deals	277:23	deficiencies	110:23,24
122:3,8 141:7,9	227:25	Decisive	57:12,14	111:3,4 113:16
145:1 148:6,13	dealt	270:4	degree	122:13 123:15,
163:13 176:19	11:23 21:7 34:1	declaration	220:14 222:10	18 189:24 190:7
178:12,15,17	37:1 163:1	83:11,15 84:23	225:2,13 236:9	269:8
179:22,23	dear	85:1	247:10 266:22	dependent
182:13 195:15	17:1	declarations	degrees	270:11
199:7 200:13	death	82:6 83:1 84:20	203:16	dependents
221:2,9 222:21	15:5	dedicated	delay	273:19
223:2 225:25	debt	55:21 123:22	89:17	depending
226:19 241:3	32:21 85:5	135:23 158:6	delayed	270:13
242:18 244:24	debts	159:16	165:7	depends
260:13 270:20	116:13	dedication	deliberate	15:9
271:2 273:19,22	decade	186:9	63:25 242:6	derived
274:15,22	16:9 93:24	Dee		262:2
277:14,17 286:1	December	34:12	deliberations	
day-to-day	53:14	deem	214:22 288:24	describe
42:21		107:16 225:18	delinquency	11:19 33:2
days	decide	deemed	224:20,21	76:25 98:19
38:18 45:13,15	138:12 174:18	93:9 196:24	delivered	114:6 132:25
84:18 88:11,19	191:11 206:4		162:16	description
93:1 101:19	258:14 260:5	deep	demands	23:17 63:8
147:11 176:18	decided	285:11	164:1	118:17
177:1 213:12	15:10 30:1	deepest	demeaning	deserves
221:6 224:9	105:16 139:7	102:19	222:14	274:14
269:10 271:3	170:4 284:17	defendant	demeanor	deserving
272:25 284:15	decision	86:23 284:6,20	76:1,25 147:25	288:23
dead	39:7 40:7,8 64:8	defendant's	158:4,9 161:21,	desire
43:16	70:6 83:4	275:10	23 189:1 200:3	196:8
deal	224:25 225:1	defendants	242:2 270:6,19	desperately
15:22 43:3	229:10,23 255:3	54:15	283:15	195:14
46:15 54:1	273:22,24	defended	demographics	detailed
110:22 116:2,6,	274:2,12,22	130:18 261:2	164:11	187:20
13 118:5 209:6	decision-making	defender	demonstrated	details
225:3 276:20	37:8	49:1 132:17	116:24 279:16	288:13
			110.21277.10	
				•

detention	189:6,14 203:21	210:16	281:20 284:8,	dividing
204:4,6,7	226:5 232:25	disciplined	14,15,22	133:7
determine	273:24 275:14,	17:4	display	division
85:20 218:21	18	disclosure	205:21	15:22,24 33:20
229:1	dig	240:4	dispose	67:3 99:1
deterrent	68:25 70:23	discovered	116:12	113:18,24 115:3
176:14	diligence	12:7	disposition	152:25
detrimental	136:2 144:19	discovery	53:21 115:11,17	divorce
165:7	diligent	87:8 237:12,19	156:25 284:9	11:24 15:2
develop	157:15	240:8	dispute	20:23 23:13
42:15 69:11	diligently	discretion	259:2,4	85:4 113:19
developed	191:3	85:7	disputes	130:12 131:4,14
190:22 287:7	diminish	discretionary	119:15 228:25	151:14 153:1
developing	68:2	170:6	disrespect	231:15 274:19
114:21	ding	discuss	220:10	divorces
developmental	260:16	11:21 33:4	disservice	15:24 20:17
130:13	direct	98:21 114:8	82:12	21:8 31:9 32:18
devote	126:15	133:2 171:2	distance	33:19 113:13
32:8 42:11	direction	195:20 228:12	132:13	114:12 116:4
devoted	92:3	discussed	distill	divorcing 278:15
130:6	directions	76:1 210:1	229:20 255:22	
diagnosed	101:20	234:11	distinct	DJJ
97:16	directives	discussing	162:5	131:6 132:11,18 203:21 204:13
Diamaduros	191:9	239:1	distinction	241:3
83:8	directly	disdain	106:16	<b>DMH</b>
diatribe	59:2 267:3	189:2,17,18,22	distinctive	241:3
276:24	director	190:10	106:12	docket
Dick	122:13	disheveled	distribution	31:21,23 83:17
223:20	dirty	219:12	152:11	87:25 88:6 89:2,
dictate	112:14	disinfectant	District	3,4 131:10
123:6	disability	227:9	54:6 63:5	162:13 163:5
difference	23:19	disinterested	diverse	164:4 200:4
68:20 203:13	disagree	100:4,13 101:2	148:14 162:25	203:4,14,15
236:3 261:13,17	189:8 232:20	dismiss	diversity	docket's
277:18	249:19 252:8	54:14 264:1	148:14	89:6,21
differently	253:2,12 267:25	dismissal	divide	docketing
121:22	disagreeing	191:18 208:5	32:21 85:5	177:17
difficult	229:23	dismissals	divided	dockets
15:2 17:2,20	disagrees	191:6,8	115:1,2 131:1	163:9 212:24
130:9 143:20	66:22	dismissed	133:13,14	document
187:23 188:3,4	disappointed	54:2 157:8		274:9

documentation	91:19 273:12	60:22 74:3	echo	efficiently
170:5	dressed	94:10 108:17	57:25 58:25	200:4
documents	91:18	127:9 154:20	economic	effort
27:7 60:24 95:4	dressing	167:3 184:10	9:15 28:5 52:1	109:5 148:13
127:25 157:24	91:7,12,16	197:18 203:20	61:21 74:13	216:23 260:19
197:22 207:3	drink	206:23 215:20	95:24 109:12	273:6
216:6 219:4,9	92:21	216:4 218:2	129:4 155:20	efforts
249:6 250:23	drive	246:2 247:22	164:15 167:23	8:22 207:14
270:14	180:23	280:15	185:11 198:15	245:24
dog	driven	duration	207:22 217:6	egg
15:11	190:25	268:24	247:5 281:18	259:23
doggedness	dropped	duties	economist	Eighth
119:13	88:22	29:7	98:24 115:6	98:13 99:24
dollar	drowning		Eddie	110:2 117:21
20:11 116:4	150:8	E	48:25 49:4	129:18 132:9,
131:2			educated	16,17 140:22
domestic	drug 258:18	earlier	21:2	149:16 150:5,15
105:21 139:6	DSS	68:3 122:6	education	151:5 152:18
142:1	21:18 31:14	151:24 183:21	223:16	181:19 287:8
Donald	41:13 89:10	215:16 225:12	Edwin	elect
48:25	90:11 98:11	264:17 265:2	26:18,22 30:14	231:18
door	116:23 117:4,8,	270:22 276:9	effect	elected
19:23 151:19	10,13,15 118:4,	early	240:9	29:25 83:8
257:4	9 119:10	22:18 60:1,19	effective	97:18 220:19
doors	121:11,14	73:5,13 74:1	10:15 30:21	272:24
230:21,24	122:2,3,20	93:17 94:5,6	97:3 110:14	election
double-spaced	123:10 124:6,7,	108:1 109:18	130:4,22 144:3	29:12 53:15
181:25	18 131:6 151:17	126:22 133:6	148:16	74:15 107:7
downstairs	189:24 190:17	141:15 154:11,	effectively	155:25 167:25
83:10	203:20 241:3	16 165:15	41:23,24 44:8	216:24 281:20
downtown	260:9,10,25	184:7,16 197:14 207:1 208:4	147:2,5 240:3	elevated
30:3	261:4,13	217:9 245:25	247:18 262:4	122:7
draconian	DSS's	earshot	265:25	eligible
187:25	98:12	276:2	effects	29:5
draw	dual		62:17	eliminated
39:15	204:9	<b>ease</b> 12:23	effectuate	182:4
drawback	due		21:1	Eliza
201:13	144:19	easier	efficiency	8:18
drawn	dull	42:23 149:21	170:18	elses
161:20	62:15,16	easy	efficient	89:23
dress	duly	81:13 112:12	94:8 130:22	email
u1 C55	8:7 26:18 50:21	277:2 289:7,9	133:20 151:4	190:15 234:10

	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
embarrass	encouragement	ensures	15	207:19 214:19
82:3,20 86:16	190:11	188:24	err	217:3 219:8
emerge	end	ensuring	54:25	229:21 230:23
158:12	42:13 43:25	165:10	error	245:10 247:2
emergencies	66:17 88:23	enter	181:9 225:16	249:4 250:19
123:4	92:20 118:6,13,	20:16 60:25	258:3,5 273:11	256:15 257:8,16
emergency	14 121:15	270:16	established	259:5,13 260:22
123:12 176:23	162:21 178:12	entered	201:11	261:11 263:9
emotion	179:22 230:10	73:17 155:7	estate	264:13 266:7
100:18	274:15 281:24	167:7 197:24	15:17,21 16:6	279:24 281:15
emotions	289:5	223:14 246:13	130:17 131:1,17	288:20
270:22	endeavor	250:24	Esther	evaluate
empathetic	158:18 159:2	enthusiasm	94:16,22 96:1	133:18 252:17
63:15	ended	148:12	108:1	259:25
empathy	68:13,15 144:6	enthusiastic	ethic	evaluation
99:16	145:10,18	242:3	101:7,11 116:24	249:25
emphasis	ending	entire	117:25 119:15	evaluative
178:16	112:15 178:16	123:23	136:2 285:11	9:10 28:3 34:14,
employed	endorsement	entitled	ethical	17 51:21 55:14,
151:12	179:4	209:25	13:4 34:17	17 61:14 64:20,
	ends	environment	55:17 64:24	23 74:6 77:15,
employer 152:19	152:5	141:2 150:12	77:18 102:14	17 95:17
	enforced	envision	119:6 135:19	102:11,14 109:6
employment 147:3	223:1 228:7	24:10 42:22	159:12 170:22	119:3,6 128:24
	England	150:4	192:15 201:3	135:16,19
emptied	137:23	epitome	211:9 243:3	155:18 167:17
140:9	enhance	279:18 287:15	250:22 256:2	170:22,25 185:6
empty	173:23 176:10	equal	257:3,9 271:11	192:11,14 198:9
177:5	enjoy	269:21	285:5	200:25 201:3
emulate	97:24 112:20		ethics	207:16 211:6,8
20:4 140:20	135:6,10 139:15	<b>equip</b> 11:17 107:5	9:13 25:21,22	214:17 216:25
enabled	209:19,22		28:9 49:22	232:11 242:25
10:23 16:7	244:17 269:4,5,	<b>equitable</b> 15:22 115:3	51:25 59:19	243:3 246:23
enacted	7 278:10,20	15:22 115:3	61:18 72:21	247:8 248:4,10
227:14	enjoyed	249:18	74:10 93:7	249:22 251:17 255:23 271:8,11
encountered	75:6 139:10		95:21 107:16,18	281:11 285:2,5
53:4	140:24 144:25	<b>equitably</b> 220:21 226:6	109:10 126:14	288:18
encourage	161:4 240:24		129:2 154:4	evaluators
70:21 71:22	enjoys	equity	155:21 165:24	12:2 33:16
181:7	19:8 242:4	220:3,4,21 223:4 224:6	167:20 183:15	
encouraged	ensure	226:7 233:2	185:9 196:22	even-tempered 63:15
36:22 91:9,13	159:2	234:24 259:13,	198:12 206:10	05.13
		234.24 239.13,		
	1	I	l	I

evened	172:8 180:8	62:15	21:23 22:1	explained
196:16	181:16 186:3		30:20 31:7,14,	190:21 191:5
	193:22 198:25	excites	25 32:15,19	201:10
event	208:8 212:18	42:14 43:5	33:2,4,17,18	
15:10 93:12	238:21 239:15	exciting	34:2,19 36:14,	exposed 132:10
105:24 126:19	240:19 268:14	11:14 43:7	16 37:17 38:15,	
166:4 196:25	272:22 282:12	exclusion	25 52:18 55:19,	expound
227:11 237:14		67:10	23 64:25 69:5	255:21
245:9 250:24	examined	excuse	77:20 80:5 97:2,	express
279:23	8:8 26:19 50:22	46:23 78:16	23 98:19,21	101:4 158:11
eventually	60:23 74:4	104:9 239:14	99:6 102:16,19,	169:16 205:4
41:23 157:8	94:11 108:18	excused	20 105:18	expressed
everybody's	127:10 154:21	221:22 223:3		55:11 64:1
115:21 233:12	167:4 184:11	executive	110:13,18	75:23 100:2
everyone's	197:19 206:24	108:7	114:6,8 116:22	117:2 188:25
278:16	215:21 216:5	EXHIBIT	117:4 119:8,10, 12 125:2 130:3,	200:9 242:10
everything's	218:3 246:3	9:2,5 27:11,14,	1	270:6 283:17
228:14	247:23 280:16	17 51:11,14	15 132:13	expressing
evidence	exceed	61:6,9 73:19,22	133:1,2,21	23:18
112:9 113:3	224:13	95:7,10 108:23	134:5,8,9,17,23	expression
158:6 223:14	excel	109:1 128:4,7	135:1,7,11,21,	100:19,25
evidenced	134:6	155:10,13	24 143:6 144:5,	expressions
88:8	excellent	167:9,12 184:23	24 147:9,20	101:5
evolves	12:12,18 19:9,	185:1 198:1,4	159:13 170:24	extend
178:11	17 34:9 48:14	207:7,10	189:10 192:16	191:12
ex-husband	55:7 57:15	216:10,13	199:4,9 201:5,7	extensively
69:22 251:8	63:23 66:10	218:15 246:15,	210:21 211:10	117:8
258:23	75:20 104:16	18 249:7 281:4,	242:18 243:5	
	134:3,4 158:5	7	271:13 279:3	extent
ex-wife	159:17 163:22	expand	285:7	82:19 189:3
69:21	169:12 188:23	15:21 145:20	experienced	190:21
examination	200:2,3 201:8		285:9	extinguished
9:23 14:17 18:1	210:22 213:21,	expect	experiences	224:9
22:8 28:16 36:5	22 242:7 244:18	182:17 200:12	99:23	extra
41:7 52:13 62:7	282:7	239:3	expert	152:21
66:7 67:17	exception	expected	99:3 222:6	extreme
74:23 78:25	162:4 260:25	188:6	228:14 234:12	189:12
81:19 84:1 86:5	exchange	expecting	experts	extremely
91:3 94:8 96:9	204:21	142:21	33:15 99:4	116:24 131:24
109:22 121:5	exchanges	expects	115:5 130:25	195:20 259:22
129:12 138:23	146:13	214:18 288:18	133:18	260:4
142:7 151:8		experience	explain	exuberance
152:8 156:8	excited 20.4 6 21.5 22.4	10:14,25 11:6,9,	53:21 54:7	140:7
161:13 168:8	30:4,6 31:5 32:4	19,21 13:6 17:6	236:14 284:9	,
	1	1	1	1

	254:14 261:22	228:2 243:13	128:12 130:1,6,	260:20 263:19
	failing	271:23 285:20	7,20,21 131:2,3,	268:16,18
F	223:9	families	11,12,18,21,22	269:3,4,6,20
face	fair	33:23 49:11	132:4 133:1,3,4,	271:17 273:25
36:21 85:19	12:17 34:10	123:5,24 156:19	9,14 134:5,6,8	275:1,3 277:13
100:25 119:14	44:15 54:21	242:8 274:23	135:3,4,23,25	279:17,18
130:21 270:22	77:5,8 82:14	278:12,15	137:21,24	282:16,17
271:3	93:17 99:23	282:20 287:14	139:4,15,18,21	285:9,11 287:2
faces	106:5 110:18	family	140:23 141:1,8,	fantastic
76:14	114:22 138:14	10:12,16,18,24	16 142:19,22	99:15 140:25
facets	157:17 158:3	11:3,20,22	143:5 144:1,8,	145:2,6
201:7	159:5 169:3	12:13,18,21	20 145:2	far-reaching
facial	173:17,18,24,25	15:18 16:9,12,	147:22,25	62:17
100:19 101:5	195:24 226:6	16,23,24 17:1	148:7,9,18	fashion
fact	230:2 238:16	18:21 19:6,8	149:7,9,14,20	53:12 68:12
66:23 77:3	242:4,5 252:2	20:6,9,16 21:19	150:16,19	faster
92:15 106:18	253:19 254:21,	22:15 29:5,13	151:14,19	39:13
117:11 130:16	23 263:22	30:1,5,8,18,22	152:15 153:7	
176:16 179:9	269:24 270:4,23	31:7,8,10,12,25	154:12 156:12,	fastest
205:4 230:20	283:14 287:4	32:2,9,12,15	13,16,17 157:3,	163:1,2
236:3 241:3	fairly	33:3,5 34:9	7 158:7 161:3	father
250:12 253:3	47:21 99:18	42:15 43:1,15,	163:9 164:17	18:20 19:6 30:7
259:1,2,4,20	159:3 187:1,22	17 45:2 46:4	168:15,16	48:8 68:11,21
263:7	196:13 282:25	47:4,18 49:2,3,5	169:10 173:7,	70:4 138:7
facts	283:5,9	52:16,17 55:22,	14,20 174:3	161:17,18
64:3,8 68:4	fairness	23 62:10,11,13,	176:1 178:5,13	father-in-law's
113:2 229:14,	117:16 188:24	17,19 67:7 71:5,	180:12 181:9	146:14
17,19 232:14	223:4 234:25	7 72:12 75:1	186:5,7,8,10,13,	Faulk
233:19 250:15	251:5	77:2 79:3 82:21	16,19 189:11	207:24 208:5,7,
252:13,18	fake	84:15 85:13,14	194:2,5 199:2	9 211:4 212:10,
254:3,9,24,25	17:17	87:24 90:15,19	200:7 201:8,9	16
255:4,24 256:2,	familiar	96:25 97:7,14	206:2 208:16	fault
11 257:7,18	13:17 21:14	98:2,7,17,20,22,	209:1 211:2	163:6 278:4
258:22 259:10,	35:5 56:8 65:8	25 99:7,15	218:22 220:2	favor
22 260:1 263:17	69:7 78:1	104:17 105:23	223:17 225:11	85:12 158:13
268:5 275:24	103:10 114:14	110:11,17,19,	226:17 227:21	237:21 284:19
276:17,18	115:25 117:11	22,25 111:12	232:3,4,18	favored
factually	120:2 136:18	112:9,12,13	233:1 237:20	36:19
284:18	159:23 160:15	113:10 114:7,9	240:22,23 241:7	favorites
fail	171:7 173:4	116:2,14	242:7 243:7	158:14
38:18	192:23 201:20	119:13,15,16	244:24 245:15	federal
failed	211:17 214:2	121:10 123:2,19	250:7,25 251:11 252:1,24 256:23	54:9 130:19
		124:5,7,25	434.1,44 430.43	157:1 190:8

fee	female	final	254:16	278:8
224:8,13	152:2 242:15	36:23 152:11	fire	flabbergasted
feel	fewest	153:5 165:22	87:6,11 124:9	124:15
10:13,24 12:22	188:9	198:15 224:16	142:25	flavor
19:21 20:5,12	field	234:2 237:20	firm	14:22
21:7,18,20,25	153:11	238:2 245:8	25:5 29:21 42:5	flexible
24:16 30:19,23	fight	250:17 260:22	80:21 82:14	45:6 46:23
31:6,25 32:2	37:21	261:1 263:24	93:17 106:5	floor
34:1 42:3 47:7,8	fighting	264:20 279:22	133:4 142:17	74:16
52:18 71:13	23:20 40:21	finally	144:7,20	Florence
97:1 110:12,20	112:15	129:4 199:12	145:16,23	85:16 194:1,4,6
121:24 130:2,20	fights	210:22 211:12	151:12 152:23	Florida
139:12,25	36:11 104:20,23	finances	158:4 161:23	205:6
147:23 148:1	figure	12:3	189:21 190:10	
159:4 168:18,	203:22 227:1	financial	209:4 287:10	flow
20,21 176:12		11:22 12:1,6	firmly	84:8
186:17 187:8,9	file	20:11 33:5 82:6,	196:13	Flowers
242:16,19	85:6,7 86:1,4	25 83:11,15	firmness	68:7,9 69:14
277:18	234:22 275:22	84:20,23 85:1,8,	189:21	fly
feeling	filed	9,24 86:2 98:22	firsthand	142:24 166:17
24:14 36:18	9:17 52:9 53:19,	114:9,10 116:7	25:20	focus
164:10	23 54:5 63:5	133:3 273:18	fit	42:8 51:21
feelings	74:14 95:25	financially	38:23 39:5	57:20 95:16
86:10	109:14 116:9	114:11	62:25 150:4	155:18 167:16
Feels	129:6 155:24	find		185:5 198:9
217:22	156:23 157:1,24	15:2,6 21:5 24:6	fitness	207:16 229:12
fees	162:8 167:24	112:21 141:21	13:4 34:18	246:11,23 248:7
38:19 221:15,	185:15 198:17	144:7 153:12	54:12 55:18	274:16
16,23 224:14	207:23 210:2	199:15 206:1	64:24 77:18	focused
225:2 228:13,	225:11 233:24		102:15 119:7	274:2
14,25	249:3 281:19	<b>finding</b> 124:9 170:13	135:20 159:12	folks
feet	284:14		170:22 192:15	26:23 60:5
87:6,10	files	findings	201:4 211:9	87:11 107:4
<u>'</u>	157:25 284:20	66:23	225:3 228:2	138:18 158:18,
felony	filing	fine	229:6,11 236:10	19 162:2 163:18
190:6,7,9	28:9 149:24	88:9 89:7 105:8	239:4 243:4	168:5 196:1,10
felt	fill	255:11 257:2	248:9 249:15	205:22 209:6,8
31:4 32:5 42:3,4	83:13 121:17	finest	256:2,16,21	216:17 246:4
69:12 111:10,22	140:10	99:19	258:10 271:11	263:18 273:7
122:4 124:20	filled	finish	285:5	276:14,15,25
144:2,15 158:23	12:14 250:24	45:7	fix	follow
287:3	filter	finished	64:14 67:3	18:7 37:2 39:19
	64:7	88:18 196:18	124:22 258:3,5	64:6,17 75:12,
				,
	I	I	I	I .

12.00.22.02.22	6 4 4	6 1	154 22 177 47	a .
13 80:23 82:22	fortunate	frank	154:22 177:4,7	Gators
169:19 188:16	32:14 43:9 75:4	76:8 79:14	178:18	205:17,18
191:3 214:18	Fortunately	frankly	full-time	gave
222:25 225:10	24:21	37:17 38:24	29:21 101:23	46:7 62:5 85:9
227:4 231:24,25	fortune	92:1,24 112:13	fully	general
288:19	53:13	122:8 140:21	149:6 152:10	13:18 14:2 35:6,
fondly	forum	144:4 239:10	157:18 163:19	15 36:8 56:9,18
202:19	220:13	frequently	289:12	65:9,18 77:11
food	forward	121:17 176:6	fun	78:2,11 103:11,
15:11	20:15 28:14	Friday	18:15	20 120:3,12
foot	49:11 71:23	45:2,4 288:11	function	130:6 136:20
136:17	106:8 260:18	friend	224:4	137:4 159:24
foreign	278:18	140:4 145:15	funnel	160:7 164:3
21:12	forwards	146:15 245:16	146:19	171:8,17 192:24
foremost	196:6	friendly	future	193:8 201:21
130:5	found	82:14 93:19,20	11:15 162:9	202:5 203:2
forensic	12:25 13:10	106:5 196:12		204:22 211:18
12:2 130:25	31:2 34:13,16,	242:3 287:9,10	G	212:2 240:17
133:10	23 55:13,16	friends		243:14,23
forget	64:19,22 77:14	91:23 104:24	gained	271:24 272:8
80:10	99:4 102:10,13	105:12 216:21	147:10	285:21 286:5
forgive	103:3 119:2,5,	friendship	Gamecocks	generally
94:20,25 226:11	20 135:15,18	158:14	205:16	36:9 177:8
264:20 276:24	136:7 159:8	frightening	Garrett	generate
forgotten	170:8,21 172:19	213:19	36:4,6 66:6,8	145:22
188:16	192:10,13	front	67:14,20 81:18,	genius
form	200:24 201:2	32:24 79:5,14	20 83:19 87:15	118:20
15:11 115:5	211:5 212:25	80:18 85:14,15	93:19 104:9,12,	Gentry
178:5	235:10 242:24	91:12,16 98:7	14 105:2,11	198:19,23,24
formal	243:2 271:7	101:14 156:18	106:8 107:2	199:1 200:23
25:25 49:24	284:13 289:7	157:3 209:19,23	121:3,4,6 125:9	202:13
59:23 72:25	four-year-old	232:1 240:16	126:7 136:14	geography
93:10 107:13	138:6	287:3	138:22,24	32:17
126:13 141:20	fourth	frustrated	142:3,12 153:21	George
154:2 183:13	127:13 163:2	236:4	181:15,17	246:8
188:1 196:21	frail	Fs	196:12 202:20	give
206:8 214:23	273:10	196:12	212:19 239:14,	19:19 21:4
289:1	frame	fulfilling	16 240:13	36:22 42:7 48:8
forms	163:18	32:7	286:20,21,25	67:2 76:5 85:7
167:5	France	full	Gator	100:25 101:19,
fortitude	156:24	113:15 117:14	205:6,10	20 131:24
112:25	100.21	127:11 142:20		137:21 147:3,14
112.23		127.11 142.20		,
				1

148:5 156:24	17 62:4,9 67:23,	grandchildren	200:5 204:25	Guam
176:9,12 178:8,	24 69:6,9,10	94:19 282:3	205:5 206:22	33:11
18 180:23,24	70:15,22,23,24	grandmother	208:17 210:16	guard
189:19 220:9	72:3,4 73:8,11,	134:21	242:2 245:24	25:20
233:9 235:14,	12 75:16 77:12	grandparent	270:3,20 271:2	guardian
21,24 236:17	94:3 96:4,11	11:25 38:9,13	282:9	10:20 20:21
250:15 259:22	97:9,12,22	39:8	greatly	21:25 31:11
267:23 281:24	99:13 100:17	grandparents	263:19	33:8 40:14
giving	106:20 108:5	38:10,12,16,21,	green	45:12,16 89:14
45:21 82:6,7	109:4,24 113:6	25 39:6	87:9	125:6 133:17
85:2 181:7	119:13 123:1,2,	grandparents'	Greenville	213:8 220:6
264:10	22 124:4 126:4	38:25	46:20 53:11	221:2,4,7,24
glad	127:14,24	grant	79:16 90:1	222:2 223:11,
15:13 92:13	128:17,19	189:25 190:8	144:22 149:15	12,15,19,20
109:19 140:3	129:14 142:9	granted	150:1,7 151:13	224:4,7 227:11
141:10 143:16	143:10 145:18	237:11	Greenwood	228:8,11
184:15 204:20	146:15 148:14	granting	98:14 105:14,16	231:17,19
gladly	149:15 153:23	264:9	110:1 116:6	234:9,14 236:25
238:15	154:15,16 155:4		117:20 121:8	240:2 258:25
glass	156:10,11	grasp 142:9	125:11 181:18	264:10
76:19 124:8	161:22 162:1		289:7,8	guardians
gleaned	165:5 168:10,	grassroots 11:12	grew	224:19
240:6	11,12,13 172:21		79:17	guardianships
glowing	182:10,18	grateful	gripes	16:2
90:20	183:4,7 186:24	186:18	251:14	guess
goal	194:22 195:5 196:6 199:12	great	groceries	36:11 80:13
67:11,13 88:18	208:7,10,12	19:5 22:17	23:22	89:8 92:14,20
92:2 144:14	213:15 214:12	24:17 41:10	ground	112:25 116:1
God	215:14 216:22	42:15 45:25	116:11 163:23	121:11 137:24
73:5 255:2,6	217:7,20,21	50:13 53:13 55:9 59:8 60:11	210:19	143:3,21 144:11
good	218:14 241:12,	67:21 68:15	grounds	173:19 175:16
8:2,3 9:25 10:1	19,21 244:14,	69:15 76:10	232:1	283:5
14:19 15:13,25	15,22 245:22,23	89:21 99:20	group	guest
18:3,4 19:21	264:23 269:18	104:17 105:23	165:4 194:9	8:17 51:1 94:14
21:1,2 26:14,15	279:9,10	107:25 117:7	grow	127:16 215:23
28:18,19 43:9	280:10,11	125:12 130:7,10	24:3 42:12	guidance
44:10 48:6 49:4,	282:15,19,22	133:21 139:16	69:11 205:2	63:23 275:2
5,10,11 50:18,	283:9	140:4 145:7	growing	guide
19 51:6 54:20	graduate	153:19 158:9	42:11 163:1,2,5	22:18 24:24
57:8,10,17	269:11	161:4 169:10	164:10,11 278:6	25:6
58:21,22,23	graduated	195:6 196:6	growth	guidelines
59:6,7 60:14,15,	117:14 269:13	197:3 199:4,9	163:24 165:8	14:7 35:20
	117.11.207.13		103.27 103.0	
	l		1	

56:23 65:23	82:20 92:21	123:19 149:22	haul	86:14 88:22
78:15 103:25	94:9 108:16	200:13 230:23	147:10	106:3 125:21
120:18 137:9	127:8 152:21	happened	Hayford	126:1 157:18
160:13 171:22	154:18 167:2	111:8,15 112:6	146:5	163:19 165:6,11
193:13 202:10	184:9 197:17	220:5 226:12,18	he'll	176:18 204:13
212:7 244:3	206:22 207:14	239:24 252:19	45:11,12,15	237:2 242:13
272:13 286:10	215:18 216:3	264:21 275:1	275:16	244:23 264:8,15
gut	217:24 246:1,21	happening	head	274:10 275:22
277:10	247:21 280:14	149:17 170:8	17:3 20:5	hearing
guy	handful	Happily	185:20 205:10	43:16,23 44:17
27:3 50:8,11	134:8	268:22,23	health	45:9,13 46:21
83:12 131:20	handle	happy	13:2 19:13	47:9 68:10,18
143:17,19 149:2	11:4,12,17	126:22 183:20	34:15 55:15	73:14 76:17
153:17 232:6	14:24 15:7 16:2	194:11 205:6	64:21 77:16	100:14 101:14,
guys	17:6 21:18 23:1	269:9 271:3	102:12 119:4	15,16,17 114:4
195:4 227:3,7,	32:13 84:11	278:17	135:17 159:10	142:11 149:19
13	98:3,16 99:5,7	hard	170:25 192:12	152:12 154:1
	112:25 113:11	23:18 38:16	201:1 204:15	158:20 159:5
—————————————————————————————————————	118:12 131:17	79:21 104:20,24	211:6 243:1	162:16 173:15
<b>n</b>	132:5 151:13,20	106:23 116:24	271:9 285:3	174:5,16 175:2
habit	200:19 209:20	118:20 124:15	healthy	176:17 177:8
190:1	handled	158:8 162:7,9	123:14	178:2 191:11
half	32:18 98:6,12	169:22 195:14,	hear	206:6 218:19
75:3 76:21	113:13 114:10,	15 200:16 226:4	24:14 48:14	219:6 223:7
131:7 168:14,22	12 130:17 131:3	259:22 273:22	69:18 71:6	224:16 229:25
172:18 175:1	209:21	276:6	85:18 86:11	237:11,20 238:2
176:5	handling	hardest	100:8 101:24	239:20,21
hall	11:19 16:21	200:14	125:25 141:5	254:10 258:22
9:19,24 10:5	33:2 98:20	hardworking	149:5 162:7	259:6 260:11,
12:24 14:10	114:7 133:1	75:19 122:17	175:4,11 176:21	14,18,20,21,23
88:12	135:2	159:17 165:4	180:11 181:5	261:2,7 262:2
Hallelujah	hands	harm	208:3 217:15	268:1 269:7
106:22	21:24 142:20	72:8	225:15,17,23	270:15 279:12
hamlet	213:20	harmed	226:2 228:24	hearings
137:25	hands-on	226:13	230:13,14 234:5	11:11 45:21
Hammond	11:9		235:8 238:11	66:13 71:9
51:3,6 53:9 59:7	hanging	<b>Harris</b>	244:19 247:7	88:17 132:18
hand	273:18	127:17,22	260:13 275:23	153:4 163:16
8:6 9:9 16:22	Hank	Harvard	277:14	169:23 170:2,3,
17:4 22:3 25:5	216:21	251:7 253:6	heard	9,19 174:20
26:17 50:20	happen	hat	8:21 42:19	175:5 176:22,23
60:21 74:2	43:25 85:20	31:4 139:1	69:12 84:19	177:1 180:12
JU.21 /T.2	13.23 03.20			199:19 204:6,8

261:13 275:4,8	high	hold	honored	housekeeping
289:17	32:17 38:23	16:25 17:3	156:15 186:8	13:7 34:21 56:1
heart	58:5 130:23,24	21:24 87:6,10	187:18	65:2 102:25
24:22 72:11	131:9 133:8,15	99:7 162:5	hope	119:17 136:4
186:14 205:20	135:2,7 146:9	215:13	21:4 63:14,16	159:18 171:2
273:25 277:9	162:6 163:24	holding	69:12 86:13	192:19 201:15
heartbeat	174:8 205:9	116:16 149:13	87:11,16 156:20	211:12 243:9
287:22	269:12,13	192:6 203:14,15	157:13 165:15	271:18 285:15
hearts	higher	215:18 241:21	186:24 188:14	how's
62:21 277:10	116:10	holds	195:11 199:12	115:1
heavily	highest	11:15	204:21 205:2,	HUD
114:18	157:16 279:1	holiday	14,25 209:14,	15:23
heavy	highlight	213:13	19,22 230:1	huge
288:23	174:8 195:23	hollered	241:18 244:24	164:22
heck	highlighted	209:9	253:18	hugged
147:7	255:13	home	hoped	256:10
held	highlights	15:3 26:6 29:23	19:24	hullabaloo
46:8 102:3	273:6	30:6,8 45:3	hoping	42:21
121:14 181:20	highly	97:21 102:4	277:19	human
213:2 223:7	12:19 87:24	115:17 142:17	horrible	149:3 273:11
268:1	100:2 187:10	204:4 218:18	23:11	humans
helped	196:7,14	248:21 251:1	Horry	273:11
69:2 75:7	Hinson	254:3 274:15,25	97:19 163:24	humble
134:15 140:8	129:8,13,19	275:18 278:9,12	164:9	58:13
146:19	135:14 137:12	289:11	horses	humbled
helpful	hire	homes	235:18	16:18
121:20 195:20	99:3,4	15:22	hostile	humility
203:5 240:9	hired	hometown	141:2 148:3	102:22
helping	44:8 90:18	48:7 128:19,21	150:12,22	hundreds
118:15 130:8	173:20 228:11,	131:20	hour	139:20
141:11 165:5	12	honest	46:18,21 175:1	
helps	hires	41:15 99:24	176:5 251:2	HUNTLEY
20:24 146:3	90:17	210:11	hours	184:10
158:2 163:17	hiring	honestly	177:11,13	Hurley
hey	145:17	118:2	235:17,24	221:1,19 225:9
46:15 47:9 90:5	history	honor	250:25 253:10	245:23 246:2,7,
145:17 217:20	87:10 117:15,16	62:12 91:5	house	14 247:12
261:22	186:9	187:14 220:17,	27:6 30:3	250:2,6 251:6 256:4 258:21
hide	hit	18 280:6	115:20 204:2	260:21 262:3,17
15:4	38:19 210:19	honorable	household	264:2,3,21
hiding	273:6,7	157:15	114:20	265:2,4,8,10
mumg	273.0,7			
263:7				266:3,6,11,13,

	1	1	1	1
14,18 267:2,11,	23 95:8,11	impending	72:22 93:8	246:11 271:23
14,17,19,24	108:24 109:2	239:2	107:18 214:20	285:20
268:16,23 270:8	128:5,8 155:11,	implement	288:22	incomes
271:7 272:24	14 167:10,13	150:11	improve	133:13
278:24 279:5,7,	184:24 185:2	implemented	23:14 51:20	incompetent
10,14,19 280:2,	198:2,5 207:8,	191:4,21	148:21 200:21	252:10
6,8	11 216:11,14	implications	242:8	incomplete
Hurley's	218:16 246:16,	44:16	improved	228:18
247:15 248:9	19 249:8 281:5,	importance	122:18	incorporated
249:4 263:24	8	252:25	improvements	14:12 35:25
hurt	identified	important	122:14	57:3 66:3 78:20
86:9,10 179:23	139:2	15:23 24:9 36:8	inaccurate	104:5 120:23
284:2	ignore	37:12 47:19	228:17	137:14 160:18
husband	81:15 113:3	62:22 66:12	incident	172:2 193:18
15:1,3,10 23:21	III	67:6 68:1,6,17	255:15	202:15 212:12
25:16 51:3 52:9,	138:8	97:21 107:17	inclination	244:8 272:18
25 114:20 155:3	ill	113:3 121:7	186:16	286:15
184:13,14	121:18 162:23	122:24 124:25	include	incorporates
185:16 246:8	images	131:11 139:24	74:8 169:9	29:2
262:8 275:14	111:25	141:8,9 148:2		incorrect
277:7	imagine	164:25 165:6	included 10:7 30:13	229:11
husband's	62:16 140:6	174:16 186:11	96:20 110:6	Increment
53:5 114:17	impact	196:14 209:7	129:21 255:10	237:9
261:6 263:10	42:17 76:3	220:20,23		
	121:23 156:18	222:25 227:6	includes	independence 158:16
I	173:16 174:6	234:8 254:3	9:11 28:2,3	
	179:12 241:2	260:4 287:19	51:22 59:18	independent
idea	impacted	importantly	61:15 95:18	77:5
37:10 42:11	186:13	112:5 130:19	109:7 128:24	independents
43:18 82:6		131:18 186:10	133:8 155:19 167:17 185:6	273:19
100:25 106:2	impacting 208:20	imposed	198:10 207:17	indicating
123:1 124:14		190:19	217:1 246:24	158:12 189:9
182:10 183:5,7	impacts	impossible	276:4	indigent
224:24 253:20	75:25	177:10		10:22 132:21
270:15	impair	impressed	including 13:17 31:19	individual
ideas	239:4	271:15 276:11	35:5 39:5 56:8	63:2 84:12 88:2
177:23 178:25	impartial		61:3 65:8 78:1	99:15 190:5
IDENTIFICATI	12:17 77:5,9	impression 47:3	103:10 120:2	individually
ON	242:20 270:5		103:10 120:2	187:1
9:3,6 27:12,15,	283:14	impressive	159:23 171:7	individuals
18 51:12,15	impeccable	136:1	192:23 201:20	17:15 116:9,10
61:7,10 73:20,	59:5	impropriety	211:17 243:13	130:24 133:9
		49:21 59:21	211.1/243.13	

				1.
inexperienced	instances	37:25 40:24	155:1 216:18	issue
200:10	276:8	52:2 54:10	246:5,7 282:1,9	33:9 37:1 68:25
inferences	Insurance	61:21 74:13	introduced	71:17 86:22
284:19	209:25	95:24 109:13	51:8,18 95:5	150:21 162:5
information	integral	129:5 133:19	108:20 207:5	179:25 181:10
101:20 114:4	165:9	158:10 167:23	investigate	203:10 213:8,10
138:19 190:12	integrity	185:12 190:4	95:17	221:7,21 227:12
199:21 266:20	157:16 158:17	198:16 207:22	investigated	232:25 237:10
268:4 275:5,6	intelligence	217:6 247:5	263:15	240:6 254:2
informed	34:8 41:18	273:25 274:3,5,	investigation	257:24 258:17,
53:1	intelligent	6 281:18	14:11 35:24	20 260:3,9,10
informing	12:19 134:2	interested	45:17 57:2 66:2	issued
235:12	270:5	39:20 97:13	78:19 104:4	221:17,19
inherent	intelligently	212:23	120:22 137:13	237:14 245:8
37:8 273:14	189:7	interesting	160:17 172:1	issues
   initial	intense	19:3 138:19	193:17 202:14	13:7 14:24
220:25 224:8	189:15	263:1	212:11 221:2,3,	20:16 37:12
231:8	intent	interpret	5,8 244:7	44:23 46:25
initially	13:13,21 35:1,9	77:6 82:21	272:17 286:14	53:2 71:6,21
220:8 223:22	56:4,12 65:4,12	interrupt	investments	81:3 84:10 85:8,
injured	77:22 78:8	25:12	115:11	9,24 86:3
131:15	103:6,14 119:23	intervene	invoking	102:25 110:25
	120:6 136:10,23	14:3 35:16	277:9	113:22 115:10,
injuries 111:15	159:20 160:2	56:19 65:19	involve	17,23,25 116:7
	171:4,11 192:20	78:12 103:21	274:18	119:17 124:19
injury	193:2 201:16,24	120:13 137:5	involved	148:9 156:21
130:17	211:13,21	160:8 171:18	25:6 33:6 69:18	159:18 171:2
innovative	243:10,17 265:8	193:9 202:6	85:6 114:12,18	186:20 192:19
165:1	271:19 272:2	212:3 243:24	123:2,16 124:3,	220:4 243:9
inquiry	285:16,24	272:9 286:6	6 132:11 133:6	249:24 266:19
259:7	intention	intervening	152:24 203:20	271:17,18
ins	29:24 30:5	123:4	235:16 236:2	276:12 285:15
21:14		interview	269:8 274:1	items
insects	intentionally	201:12 210:2	278:3 283:23	77:21
17:17	67:4	276:5,6,14	involvement	ivory
insight	intentions	intimidating	124:24 204:10	149:2
17:11,12	29:16	80:19		
inspiration	interacted	intolerable	involves	J
21:4	131:5	15:12,13	52:3 185:5	
instance	interactions	introduce	189:1 246:11,24	jail
43:23 150:3	147:23	8:16 26:25	involving	221:14 236:5
237:22,24	interest	94:13 127:15	98:25 189:12	257:25
,	9:16 24:2 28:6	94.13 147.13	209:25 241:3	

T		17 00 50 5 17	112.10.117.1	106.17 107 2 5
James	<b>jobs</b> 118:12 122:12	17,23 53:5,17	113:10 117:1 122:2,3 123:9	196:17 197:2,5,
127:9,13 138:8		54:4,17 55:3,6, 7,10,13,21 56:1	125:12,17,18,21	8,10,12,13,15, 21,25 198:22
January	Joe	57:9,10,11,18,	126:9,10 130:2,	199:2 200:1,4,6,
88:10 118:13,14	48:18 286:21		4,21 131:12	7,11,17,22,24
177:23 178:23	John	21,23 58:17,19,	· ·	
Jarrett	48:22 74:17,22,	22,24 59:11,12,	132:5 134:2,6 139:5,22	201:6,15 203:4,
45:9	24 77:13 78:18	14,16,25 60:2,	′	22 205:11,16,19
jealous	89:19	10,12,15,16,17	140:15,18,19,	206:13,14,15, 17,20,21 207:2,
283:6	Johnson	61:2,5,13 62:4,	21,22,24 141:3, 10 145:16	
Jeff	246:8	9,11,13 63:1,11, 18,21,24 64:19	147:15,16	6 208:6,10,13, 16 209:1,18
51:3 53:13 59:6	joint	· · ·	147:15,16	*
Jefferson	36:9,15,19	65:2 67:16,19 69:11 71:24	153:14 154:24	210:12,18,20,22
164:2	joke			211:2,5 212:15,
Jerry	185:18	72:4,6,9,15,17	155:3,5,9,17	25 213:11,22,23
63:5	Jordan	73:2,4,8,11,12, 15,18 74:1,21,	156:6,10,14,21	214:5,6,7,14
jest	14:15,16,18	, , ,	157:19,22 158:3,6,7 159:8,	215:2,5,9,11,14
73:15	17:22 18:8	25 76:12,23	′ ′ ′	216:2,9,16,19,
Jim	83:24,25 84:2	77:2,8,14,21 78:20 79:2	11,15,17,18 160:18 161:1,	23 217:17
134:22	85:22 172:5		′	218:21,23 219:7
JMSC	193:21,23	80:15,16 81:18,	10,15,19	220:24 221:1,
108:11 136:14,	212:16 213:24	24,25 83:2,8,21	162:22,23	17,19,21,25
16 167:16	214:4,7 215:3	84:5 85:14,15,	163:15 164:2	222:24 225:9,
196:10 203:3	280:10,12,17,	22,23 86:7,15	165:14 166:6,9,	11,22 226:6,10
	19,23 281:1,10	87:13 88:9,23	11,14,15,19,22,	230:21 231:16
job	282:7 286:18	89:1,10 90:10,	25 167:8 168:2,	232:8,13,24
20:7 23:8 32:5	288:10 289:5,10	23,25 91:20	10,14,16,25	233:15 234:5,
36:8 41:23	Joseph	92:4,17 93:2,4,	169:10,12,13	10,11,13
42:14 57:15	155:3 280:15	15,16,22 94:3,4,	170:20 171:2	238:11,12,17,
58:8 64:6 67:12	Jovan	15,24 95:3,6,15	172:2 173:1	19,23 239:1,6,
75:8 76:4,21	53:20	96:4,8,11,13,21,	176:21,25	11 240:21,23
84:11 97:6,24	judge	25 97:1,3,10,11,	177:22 178:24	241:8,9,22,25
99:7 101:23,24	10:13,15 11:3	14,18,25 98:3,7,	180:5,10 181:14	242:2,5,7,22,24
105:3,4 112:18	12:16,19 14:23	17,19 99:7,9,16,	182:23,25	243:6,9 244:13,
118:17 121:8	20:25 22:11	20 100:11,17	183:2,4,8,9,18,	15 245:3,6,13,
123:17 131:15	29:5,14 30:19,	101:9 102:8,10,	22,24 184:2,5,6,	19,22,23 246:7,
163:22 165:5	29:3,14 30:19, 21,22 31:2 32:3,	13,18,21,25	13,17,22	10,14 247:12
168:17 195:13	9,12 34:9 36:24	104:8,9,11,13,	185:13,19	248:9 249:4,11
196:1,2,11	37:11 40:7	19 105:1,10,17,	186:1,5,7,8,10,	250:2,5,14
203:1 208:17,	42:16 43:2 45:7,	25 106:3,4,7,10,	11,20,21 187:15	251:6 252:4,5,7,
20,22 212:22	· ·	11,14,18,23	188:1,2,19,25	9,10,13,14,22,
226:5 240:24	9,19 46:1 49:6	107:5,9,15,21,	190:18 192:8,	23 253:5 254:4,
242:4,17 278:6,	50:15,18,19,25 51:3,10 52:15,	22,23 108:9	10,19 193:24	10,11 256:4,24
20 282:9,22	31.5,10 32.13,	110:12,14	194:16 195:8,10	257:11 258:21
	<u> </u>			

	1	ı		1
260:6,21 262:3,	125:12 131:19	jurisdiction	Khoury	10 49:9,14,17,
17 263:24	132:19 140:17	277:13	50:16,19,21,25	23 50:3,6,9,12,
264:3,20 265:2,	148:17 157:4,7	jurisdictional	51:3,10 52:15,	14
4,8,10 266:3,6,	158:1 173:14	68:25	23 53:17 54:4	Kittredge
11,13,14,18	174:3 177:7,18	jury	55:3,6,13,21	163:14 164:24
267:2,11,14,17,	180:12 181:3,9	92:3	57:10,18,21,23	knew
19,24 268:16,	182:9,17 187:19	justice	58:17,19,22,24	85:24 111:7
18,21,23 269:3,	203:7 222:21	44:15 47:22	59:11,14,16,25	141:3 143:9
15,25 270:3,8	226:25 231:25	88:11 89:9	60:2,10,12	144:12 188:7
271:5,7,18	249:13 259:25	110:24 117:1	kids	212:22
272:24 273:10	275:20 287:7,9	162:16 163:13,	69:20 115:18,19	knowing
276:19 278:4,5,	judging	14 164:23	123:7,20	33:22 44:15
24 279:5,7,10,	128:22	182:21 242:5	kind	67:2 131:17
14,18,19,21	judgment	287:14	11:12 16:11	149:1
280:2,6,8,10,11,	91:14	justly	20:2 22:19 23:2,	knowledge
18,22,25 281:3	judicial	99:18	3 47:7,22 58:13	10:25 32:20
282:1,14,18,23	13:6,8,9 28:24	juvenile	60:5 77:9 92:14	116:25 134:6
283:3,10,24,25	34:19,22,23	11:5,10,13	98:6 100:17	169:19 173:10
284:6,11,17,21,	53:20 55:9,19	21:17 31:20,21,	101:5 111:23	188:23 210:21
24 285:1,8,15	65:1 77:1,20	23 105:22	112:2,14 113:14	283:16
286:24 287:16	88:19 96:15	110:24 199:18	115:2,15 123:11	knowledgeable
288:4,6,9,10	102:16,20	203:23 277:16	124:2 132:13	75:21 99:22
289:4,9,11	103:2,3 110:2	juveniles	141:4 142:9,13	kudos
judge's	113:5 119:8,19,	11:8,18 31:19	144:10 145:13,	273:5
249:14	20 129:18 132:9	203:19,20	14,21 147:21	273.3
judgements	135:21 136:5,6	204:2,8 208:20	148:18 150:10,	
66:15	159:14 170:24	269:10 271:16	11 161:10 169:4	L
judges	181:19 191:2	274:20 276:12	186:15 195:18	lack
19:25 36:19	192:17 200:6	277:21 278:14	197:4,6 200:5	23:16 117:3
37:19 43:17	201:5 211:11	287:20	210:21 262:25	145:11 150:11
44:4 46:4,6	218:23 234:24		263:21 269:23	lacks
47:18 49:11	238:25 243:5	K	273:20 283:15	257:8
57:12 58:10	249:25 266:8		288:6	lady
71:6,7 77:4,8	271:13 279:3	Keaton	kind-hearted	76:6 79:15
79:5,9,12,16,19	285:7 287:8	53:20	99:14	laid
80:12,25 81:22,	judiciary	keeping	Kingstree	282:25 283:5
23 82:10 83:5	91:13	163:8	214:2	land
86:10 87:4,12,	jump	Kelly	Kinney	54:1
24 89:22 90:14	15:4 21:22	205:8,9	26:15,18,22	
93:23 97:9	148:23	Kershaw	27:1,2,5,10,22	landed
105:7,8 110:22,	June	145:5	28:18 29:15	144:21
25 111:12,18	118:13,15 157:9	110.0	30:15,18 32:10	lane
112:7,24 121:22			34:4,13,21 48:5,	37:13 138:16

	100 10 110 17	157 1 200 24	164.24	
lapse	109:10 110:17	157:1 209:24	164:24	legislating
16:4	111:6 113:8,18,	210:7	leader	39:16
large	24 117:1,12,14	lawsuits	21:2	legislative
21:8 111:1	121:21 126:14	63:2	leaders	260:8 288:11
116:25 121:10	130:5 132:3	lawyer	48:14	legislator
142:19 203:8	141:15 142:1	18:22 34:8 49:5	lean	13:22 35:10
larger	147:21 148:8	53:8 71:14,18	12:4	56:13 65:13
143:16 203:11	151:12 154:5	81:3,8 82:22	leaned	78:6 103:15
largest	157:17 158:5,7	83:9 85:23	12:1	120:7 136:24
150:16	165:24 166:2	86:20,21 87:14	learn	160:3 171:12
lastly	169:11 178:10	91:17 92:16	11:16 21:9 69:2,	193:3 201:25
17:17 32:2	183:16 185:9	104:16,22	11 86:21 104:21	211:22 243:18
43:12	186:12,13	106:19 124:21	142:24	272:3 285:25
late	188:16 196:5	139:1 153:23	learned	legislature
22:10 84:19	198:13 201:10	180:20,25	11:13 20:9,12	147:3 165:10
97:15 240:9,10	206:10 207:19	182:16 200:17	59:8 80:21	196:9 227:13,14
Laurens	220:12,21 222:6	213:19,22 240:3	121:20 145:7	legitimacy
96:15 97:10	223:1,17 225:16	244:22 283:3,4	151:2	179:24
98:14 100:12	226:7 227:21,	lawyer's	learning	length
101:25 105:15,	22,25 229:8	83:11 86:15	21:16 79:20	114:16 182:22
16 129:18	231:24 232:3,4,	lawyers	199:8 242:18	lens
131:21 138:2	9,16,17,19	19:10 44:4	learns	64:7
139:13,15 140:4	236:24 240:25	49:10 63:24	132:22	lesson
142:14,15	241:6 245:10	71:11 75:13		79:22
144:25	249:18 256:22	77:10 79:17	lease	letter
law	258:2,6,11	80:23 82:1,9,20	235:15,16 236:1	13:13,21 25:21
11:1 15:15	260:2,7 273:16	86:9,16 89:13	leave	35:1,9 39:19
21:10,19 22:15,	279:25 283:7,16	91:7,9 92:15,23,	23:24 59:17	56:4,12 59:19
16 25:11 29:10,	285:10	25 100:16	69:22 273:3	65:4,12 72:20
21 32:10,20	laws	101:13,21	leaving	77:22 78:8
36:18 37:2,3	9:13 25:21,22	125:11 139:6	142:18	90:18,19 103:6,
38:20,21 39:15,	28:9 49:22	145:3,7 173:5,	Lee	14 119:23 120:6
19 42:5,6,22	51:25 61:18	19,20 174:6	29:3	
47:21 55:22	74:11 95:21	175:17 188:12	left	136:10,23 154:5 159:19 160:2
59:19 63:6 64:3,	129:2 155:21	194:20 195:18	23:18	
6,7,17 66:23	167:20 183:15	241:15 242:15,	legal	165:25 171:3,11
69:7 72:21 75:3,	196:23 214:19	16 244:20	10:13 16:10	183:15 192:20 193:2 196:23
21 76:20 77:6	217:4 247:2	283:2,7 284:16	23:1 30:19,24	
81:25 82:8,9	251:15 281:15	287:9,11	42:17 77:3 97:1	201:16,24
93:7 97:7 98:1	288:20	lawyers'	99:19 110:12	202:23 206:11
106:12,20	lawsuit	86:10	130:2 131:23,25	211:13,21
107:3,16,18	53:18,23 54:5,9	lead	223:16	214:10,11,19
107.3,10,10	102:6 156:23,25	ICAU		234:10 243:10,

15.245.40				1
17 245:10	lightly	listened	litigation	longest
271:19 272:2	62:18,23	124:21 194:23	46:16 169:11	152:17
279:24 285:16,	lights	listening	live	longstanding
24 288:20	76:10	47:3,8 101:4	45:4 88:17	77:4
letters	liken	239:17 276:1,18	96:15 105:18	looked
48:17 90:21	131:19	listens	110:1 112:5,23	22:15 23:17
146:4 162:1	likes	63:21 287:17	144:25	46:14 261:11
214:10	15:3	litem	lives	loosely
letting	limine	10:20 31:11	24:4 30:7,8	187:14
24:10 44:4	260:12	33:8 40:14	123:10,21,23	Lord
138:16 170:6	limit	45:12 125:7	131:21 156:18,	22:2
level	124:1 228:4	133:17 213:8	19 163:12	lose
17:3 20:4 33:22	229:13 233:8	221:2,4,7	273:17 277:19,	210:17
47:12 118:9	limitations	223:12,15,19,20	20	lost
130:19 136:1	13:18 35:6	224:4,7,19	living	54:1 123:17
140:22 143:23	43:19 56:9 65:9	228:8,11	172:22	lot
175:18 276:13	78:2 103:11	231:17,19	Lizard's	10:24 16:18
level-headed	120:3 136:19	234:9,14 240:2	165:17	17:5,7 22:13,21,
99:14 157:23	159:24 171:8	258:25 264:10	loans	25 24:14 28:2
levels	192:24 201:21	litems	115:22	31:10,11,13,14
17:6 157:16	211:18 225:7	220:6	lobby	32:22 33:6,16,
levity	243:14 271:24	literally	170:16 227:22	19 37:1,15 45:3
20:13	285:21	33:10 105:5	located	46:6 57:11 58:3
Lexington	limited	161:1 182:15	29:1,14	59:8 64:12 69:3
127:18,23 145:5	38:13 143:5	litigant	location	70:17 71:5,9
150:8	181:24 182:1	16:24 53:23	33:23	79:19 80:2,25
Libby	225:6 232:12	54:9 69:10	logical	90:12 98:24
282:2	239:8	82:22 83:10	178:17	99:5 100:13,15,
licensed	Lindi	86:23 157:2	long	18,19,23,25
29:9	246:21	258:12	20:20 54:24	107:2 111:7
life	lineal	litigants	79:2 88:16	114:23 116:4
23:8,9,10,13	39:3	24:25 31:10	122:6 124:3	117:6,15,17
41:25 47:9 80:7	lines	32:23 36:20	138:3 141:19	121:20 122:16,
130:9,14 179:15	156:18	69:4 77:10	146:11 147:10	17,21,22 134:17
274:25 277:25	list	83:20 141:8	152:14 170:17	140:1,23 141:11
278:6	255:24 256:4,13	196:15 203:9	177:6 180:17	142:22 143:16
light	282:8	209:4 210:8	183:6 208:24	145:7 146:11
16:7	listed	220:23 241:13	209:16 215:10	147:7 149:9
light's	63:1,4,7 67:25	273:14 275:7	220:1 283:3	161:7 168:20
8:12	listen	287:17	longer	175:10,21 177:2
lighting	12:16 274:11	litigated	71:18 125:8	181:3 183:21
76:9	12.102/7.11	104:15,19 153:4	272:25 278:18	188:12,13
10.7				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1

194:21 199:18	225:15,21,22	176:11 178:4,	mandatory	129:1 155:20
203:9,19 208:22	226:13 234:10,	19,21 179:5	81:1	167:19 185:8
213:5 227:8	16 242:16,19	199:20 204:19,	manner	198:11 207:18
244:25 288:2	248:20 252:5	25 223:1 227:1	55:9	217:2 247:1
lots	254:12 262:15	230:16 236:3,23	manual	248:24 281:14
69:2 164:1	266:16 274:22	238:5 239:3	48:9	matter
222:12 230:23	magic	250:9 264:1	marital	22:20 30:1
231:12	164:6,8	267:10 270:9,	15:24 99:1	44:13,14 46:15
love	magnifying	13,21 274:12	131:1,17 169:11	54:15 63:8
42:5,6,10 75:13	76:19 124:8	276:4 277:18,	251:1	118:24 131:19
94:25 130:5,6	main	23,25 278:8,17	marked	230:20 233:17,
147:22 168:17	20:19 174:15	281:22 287:10	9:2,5 27:11,14,	24 236:3 250:4,
199:4,6 208:21,	175:3	makes	17 51:11,14,17	17,18 256:25
22,23 241:5,6	maintain	28:1 40:7,8 47:6	61:6,9 73:19,22	265:15 266:9
269:4,9,10,14	66:16 157:15	68:20 81:25	95:7,10 108:23	matters
277:12 282:22	273:21	113:3 192:3	109:1 128:4,7	11:20,23 12:1,6
lovely	maintaining	210:18 253:23	155:10,13	33:3 34:21 63:4
94:16	114:19 158:16	261:17 270:17	167:9,12 184:23	98:20 114:7
loves	major	making	185:1 198:1,4	119:11 125:5
105:23 270:3	36:23 138:1	44:13 46:17	207:7,10	133:2 135:25
lovingly	majority	124:5 144:7	216:10,13	266:1
228:23 255:22	10:16 75:18	162:7 163:22	218:15 246:15,	Matthew
Lowcountry	100:1 130:7	210:22 229:10	18 249:7 281:4,	140:10
159:7 200:23	133:6 169:8	231:1 233:11	7	mayor
luckily	174:13	245:24 252:23	marketing	48:18,20
46:22	make	265:1 270:11	145:24 151:24	Mcdonald's
lucky	8:11 12:22 16:3	277:19 281:1	marriage	179:11
107:6	17:20 37:24	male	84:21 115:14	Mcdougall's
lunch	40:23 42:17	242:15	251:2	48:22
46:22 92:22	44:5 47:16	man	marriages	Mceachin
132:21	51:20 64:11	69:24 70:4	112:15 114:16	85:15
102.21	66:14 67:1,4,6	99:25 177:11	married	Mcelhannon
	69:7 70:14 72:6	manage	23:19 94:17	166:15,19,22,25
	74:19 79:22	116:12	268:22,23	167:3,8 168:2,
made	80:7,9 84:3,6	management	Mary	10,25 169:10,12
42:20 64:2	89:1 99:15,20	87:25 88:6	97:15	170:20 171:3
66:22 68:25	113:6 114:3	118:9,10 162:13	material	172:2 180:5
70:6 83:3 92:16	116:15 119:15	163:5	66:15 112:8	181:14 182:23,
105:17 108:8	121:23 123:17	managing	materials	25 183:2,4,9,18,
111:9 122:15	148:1 149:7,8,	118:6 162:14	9:12 28:5 51:23	22,24 184:2
140:25 160:10	20 152:2 161:6	mandate	61:17 74:9	Mcelveen
218:9 223:21	174:10 175:13	89:9 191:2	95:19 109:8	48:18,20
	I	1	l	I

Mcgee	meets	melting	113:17 208:14	180:15
85:14	10:9 30:15	148:8	men	Michelle
Mcgowan	96:22 110:8	melts	70:2 151:22	202:22 246:2
140:18,19,24	129:23	60:7		
140.18,19,24	MEISENER		mental	microscope
Mciver	217:18	member	13:3 34:15	124:8
		11:11 21:2	55:15 64:21	middle
17:24,25 18:2	Meisner	211:1 220:20	77:16 102:12	138:3 275:11
22:4 48:3,4	217:7,12,14,19,	268:10	119:4 135:17	Midland
49:10	20,22 218:1,2,5,	members	159:10 171:1	242:23
Mcleod	6,13 219:2,11,	9:19 13:14,18	192:12 201:1	Midlands
48:22	21,24 220:17	14:1 35:2,6,14	204:14 211:6	118:8 135:5
meaning	221:19,21	36:3 52:6 56:5,	243:1 271:9	192:9 271:6
208:23	222:5,8,11,18,	9,17 57:6 62:1	285:4	Mike
meaningful	20 225:7 226:4	65:5,9,17 66:13	mention	160:23
62:13	227:11,17,18,23	74:17 77:23	181:21 194:17	military
means	228:5,21,22	78:2,10 79:24	mentioned	21:6,8,10,15
227:5 274:5	229:4,6,13,18,	86:17 91:13	18:25 89:15	23:18 33:19,20
287:14	25 230:7,9,15,	96:6 103:7,11,	91:20 92:13	34:2
meant	18,20 231:5,7,	19 109:17	105:8 132:8	millionaires
161:3 241:7	10 232:25	119:24 120:3,11	mentor	116:5
mediate	233:4,14,19	121:2 129:9	22:18 45:10	mind
141:17	234:1,6 235:3,	136:11,20	143:12	23:15 116:21
mediation	10 236:8,11,14,	137:3,19 156:4	mentors	122:19 148:23
36:24 153:11	17,19,20 237:4,	159:20,24	20:3 134:15	210:6 249:17
mediations	6,8,10,23 238:1,	160:6,21,25	merit	253:25
31:13 141:12	10 247:6,13,14,	171:4,8,16	284:23	mindful
mediator	20,22 248:13,	185:23 187:5,6	merits	123:21 158:9
10:19 31:13	17,22 249:1,10,	192:21,24 193:7	260:14	187:7
141:14,15,16	17 250:3,7	194:5 196:9	mess	minds
153:13,14	251:22,24	198:20 201:17,	122:2	99:19
medium	252:16 253:17	21 202:4 208:2	message	Mindy
278:16	254:15,17,19,	211:14,18 212:1	92:8	140:13
	20,23 255:6,11, 14 256:8,17,18	219:4 243:11,	messages	
meet	257:2,9,13,15,	14,22 244:11	76:18	mine
38:23 50:7	20 258:5,8,16	245:1 265:6	met	45:10 64:9 87:3
89:19 115:21	259:4,9,12,15,	271:20,24 272:7	38:24 61:24	174:4
134:20 138:18	17,19,21 262:6,	285:17,21 286:4	128:20 132:15,	minimal
278:15	10,13,21,24	memorable	16 172:21	188:8
meeting		70:14		minor
88:21 157:13	263:4,5,11,13	memorandum	238:14,15 240:2 279:11	133:19 224:20
187:19	264:4,5,6,9,16,	187:20		minutes
meetings	18,20,24 267:1, 24 268:3 273:15	memory	mic	45:22 132:8
149:14 151:1	24 208:3 2/3:13		100:9 127:20	

	 		<u> </u>	
170:10,12	274:7	Moss	multi-million	negative
174:22,24 182:2	Monday	60:15,17,22	131:2	12:23 16:14
183:5 189:13	289:14	61:2,5 62:4,9	multimillion	18:17 58:6
233:9,10	money	63:1,3,11,18,21,	116:4	67:23 75:23
mirror	19:13 62:21	24 64:19 65:2	multiple	195:24 213:17
70:6	71:10 161:7	67:16 71:24	131:8 133:14	273:4,7,8
miscommunicati	264:10,11	72:4,6,9,15	222:13 223:21	negatives
on	monitor	73:2,4,8 89:1	246:11	288:3
194:24	231:17	172:16	municipal	neglect
miscues	monitored	mother	125:18 126:10	11:8 20:17
149:24	71:22	48:7 68:10 70:1	130:18	31:16 111:14
miserable	monitoring	105:12	murder	241:5 260:25
80:7	231:19	motion	131:8 277:16	274:20 278:3
misheard	month	54:3 71:20		neglected
86:8	31:22	191:12,18	N	112:17 190:15
misquoting	months	258:22 260:12		neighbor
86:13	97:20 118:15	284:20	named	25:10
misrepresented	162:21,23	motions	63:2 138:6	neighbors
258:21	187:16 199:2	54:14 191:11	284:6	91:24
missing	200:20 208:14,	223:21	names	nephew
144:3	18 213:16 223:8	mount	79:10 162:3	226:24
mistake	234:14 237:17	206:5	naming	net
64:11 67:1,6	mood	mouth	79:10	32:17 116:10
79:22	270:8,12,13	146:1	natural	130:24 133:8
mistaken	moot	mouths	38:3 39:23	neutering
156:2	250:16	44:5	nature	174:9
mistakes	moral	move	53:21 54:7 99:2	neutrality
67:3,4	283:19	29:24 30:6	110:20 119:14	158:16
misunderstand	morning	39:12 71:23	143:8 156:25	Newberry
37:10	8:2,3 9:25 10:1	123:20 143:3	284:9	98:14
	14:20,21 18:3,4	144:7,10 245:2	naysayer	newbie
MIT 251.7.252.6	26:14,15 28:18,	258:21	89:16	87:10
251:7 253:6	19 50:18,19	moved	necessarily	newest
model	57:8,10 58:21,	18:20 87:22	47:5 80:6 106:4,	76:8
287:8	22 60:14,15	133:7 173:8	17 177:10	
modification	62:3,9 72:3,4	182:5	200:16 204:3	news 48:16 62:4
151:15 152:25	73:11,12 74:25	moving	278:5	
153:2	83:17 94:3	20:15 30:1,4,9	needed	newspaper 9:14 28:6 51:25
modify	96:11 107:24	48:15 208:20	19:13 111:9,22	61:19 74:11
176:10	154:16 175:6	260:17	124:20 192:18	95:21 109:10
moment	217:20,22	mud	196:8 235:13,21	129:3 155:22
247:7 271:1	275:15 277:6	18:12	288:1,2	167:21 185:9
			,	107.21 185:9
	I		1	1

198:13 207:20	119:1 120:21		offer	open
217:4 247:2	129:19 135:14	О	31:5 100:6	9:18 19:25
281:16	137:12 159:7		101:8 117:5	30:24 31:2 44:5
nice	160:16 171:25	oath	140:2 158:15	50:1 107:13
19:19 50:7,10	191:6,25 192:9	25:17 96:3	169:18 185:17,	109:16 126:12
51:4 54:24 55:1	193:16 200:23	197:17 247:18	18 283:20	143:25 156:4
82:13 126:6	202:13 205:10	277:7	offering	185:22 196:21
208:10 232:6	211:4 212:10	object	19:18 22:5 36:7	206:8 214:23
287:6	214:22 242:23	218:12	40:22 50:5	260:24 266:24
nickname	244:6 271:6	objection	59:10 90:8	288:25
94:17	272:16 284:25	27:9 184:21	104:12 106:6,25	opening
Nicole	286:13 288:24	218:11 223:5,6	107:8 121:7	188:11 281:22
216:20	noted	281:1	124:13 141:24	operate
niece	75:19 76:25	objections	233:23	29:21 44:22
226:23	201:13 285:8	52:8 61:3	offers	187:18
night	notes	198:17	102:18	operates
57:13 84:19	100:13,14,23	obligated	office	148:22
103:13 112:21	101:3	116:17	23:16,24 29:5,7	operating
274:17,21	notice	obligation	105:13 118:7	187:24 221:24
nimble	8:13 191:19	224:17 284:1	143:4 144:11	operation
165:1	235:21,25	obsessed	229:12 241:16	187:21
nimbleness	262:15 269:12	43:18	officers	opinion
165:20	noticed	occasion	133:12	46:24 101:5
Ninth	138:5 151:21	283:21	offices	140:20 220:19,
162:25 199:14	notified	occasionally	163:21	23
no-nonsense	238:6	283:6	official	opinions
55:7	number	occasions	220:19	114:1
nodded	33:24 58:2	111:11 114:13	officials	opportunities
185:21	88:24,25 90:1,2	occur	275:20	139:13
	104:14 115:8	196:25	oftentimes	opportunity
non-alimony 84:24	121:9 134:22,23	occurred	37:4,9 69:24	11:16 18:11
	157:4 181:24	247:5 248:8	203:6	19:20,24 30:6
North 273:20	204:9 221:8	occurring	older	31:6 32:4,6 58:7
	240:25 244:20	25:24	48:5,6,11	62:24 66:24
note	281:12,13	occurs	161:21	71:12,15,16
10:5 12:25	numbers	276:3	oldest	91:11 131:8
14:10 30:11 34:12 35:23	88:8,22 89:11	October	134:19	132:10,15
55:12 57:1	90:13 163:9	88:20	one's	143:13 149:13
64:18 66:1	188:10 213:5,13		266:23	157:17 176:13
77:13 78:18	nuptials	odds	online	180:24 181:8
96:18 102:9	151:18	278:18	24:1	185:17 208:17
104:3 110:4		offend	2	214:16 241:1
107.5 110.7		227:20		
				1

247:9 281:24	241:20 250:7	overpaid	Paging	Parker
opposed	organization	71:14	205:8	284:7
46:16 91:11,15	150:14	overseas	paid	part
105:14 147:10	organized	23:21	44:6 71:9	15:18 23:7
178:8	157:24	overseeing	116:15 133:12	33:13 59:20
opposing	oriented	236:22	175:14 180:22	72:22 75:7
24:23 53:9	20:8	overturned	221:4,11 222:2,	84:10 112:10
210:17	originally	36:25	4 253:7,24	116:5,14 118:16
opposite	97:13 127:18	overwhelming	pains	126:16 130:11
45:23 92:1	outcome	67:21 273:5	163:6	131:16 132:20
178:13	13:23 35:11	owl	pandemic	148:2,13,14
opposition	56:14 65:14	164:20	191:22	162:13 163:10
9:17 28:10	78:7 103:16	owners	panel	174:17 175:12
74:15 96:1	120:8 136:25	114:15	251:11	186:18 200:14
109:15 129:6	160:4 171:13	Oz	pans	218:9 226:5
155:24 167:24	193:4 202:1	24:17	133:7	246:22 248:20
185:15 281:19	211:23 219:1	27.17	pants	249:12,21
options	239:4 243:19		273:12	250:22 262:8,24
143:25	250:18 272:4	P	paperwork	263:13 265:9
order	286:1	p.m.	149:24	266:16 267:2
11:2 32:11	outcomes	289:18	pardon	278:20 281:2
38:22 70:9	43:9 242:8	packet	268:21	part-time
73:15 93:20	outlier	63:5	parent	31:20 101:23
98:2 101:18,19,	195:25	packets	33:14 36:21,22	parte
21 113:9 132:4	outliers	45:23 71:10	37:7 38:7,23	86:25 239:18
153:5 163:13,15	162:5	76:17 174:23	39:23 40:3,10	partiality
176:11 191:15,	outlined	pages	54:12 69:20	242:11,15
17 219:14	110:15	9:4,6 27:13,15,	123:3 124:10	participants
220:25 221:1,5,	outlines	18 51:13,15	190:1 287:21	12:22
13,17,19,22,24,	224:21	61:8,10 73:21,	parent's	parties
25 222:23,25	outs	23 95:9,11	39:6	14:1 35:14
223:1 224:10,18	21:14	108:25 109:2	parental	56:17 63:24
228:7,8,18	outset	128:6,8 155:12,	39:22 151:16	65:17 78:10
235:4 237:14,17	248:6	14 167:11,13	parenting	84:22 99:17
240:8 253:16	outstanding	181:25 184:25	37:14 69:15	100:16 101:4
ordered	34:7 48:10,11	185:2 198:3,5	parents	103:19 120:11
39:8 259:15	55:10 134:2	207:9,11	31:15,16 37:6,	133:11 137:3
ordering	200:7	216:12,14	18 38:4 39:1	141:19 150:23
258:17,19	overlooked	218:17 220:1	40:2,7,25 68:23	158:3 160:6
orders	68:18	234:3 246:17,19	69:16,17 111:4	170:11 171:16
64:2 192:2	overly	249:9 281:6,8	206:3 278:3,5	193:7 202:4
237:18 240:10	54:24 55:1			212:1 224:12
	J <del>1</del> .44 JJ.1			
	I	I	1	I

237:15 238:6	patient	Peedee	163:16 165:4,5	norcon
243:22 261:15	77:9 169:3	12:25 194:2	168:18 179:8,23	<b>person</b> 29:4 47:1 55:10
272:7 283:14	270:5,25		180:19 181:4,7	80:24 87:9
286:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>pen</b> 164:6	195:3 199:19	113:7 161:3
	<b>pattern</b> 158:11 258:9		205:25 209:17	177:13 189:25
partner 63:6		penance	231:12 232:2	190:2 202:20
	<b>pay</b> 54:2 115:23	164:9	236:5 261:4	222:22 226:21
parts		pendency	262:16,24	242:19 257:4
111:11,20	116:17 153:22 221:7 258:24	231:15	263:6,8 270:24,	258:18,19
party		pending	25 276:1,5	personable
40:12 70:22	paying	13:23 35:11	283:22 284:1	159:17 269:23
102:6 125:6	115:24 200:18	54:13,16 56:14	287:24	
210:7 234:16,	221:15 276:25	65:14 78:6	people's	<b>personal</b> 38:15 105:2
17,18 252:22	payment	103:16 120:8	156:18 163:12	
253:24 262:9	221:23	136:25 160:4	277:18	130:8,14,17 139:16 145:23
268:2	PCS'D	171:13 190:7,9		158:14 184:18
party's	33:23	193:4 202:1	perceive 179:10	
25:2	PDQ	211:23 239:2		personality
passed	8:23 9:4 10:7	243:19 265:15	perceived	24:13 210:22
140:5 142:18	11:7 27:8,13	272:4 286:1	148:21 187:25	personally
passion	30:13 51:7,13	pensions	percent	59:4 226:11
16:17 139:15	53:18 54:4	133:8	43:24 85:12	227:13
205:20 244:24	60:25 61:8	people	88:18,21 148:12	personnel
269:7,14	73:16,21 95:4,9	18:12 19:9	150:19	77:11 186:23
277:10,21	96:20 108:19,25	21:22 23:11	perception	187:2 241:11
279:15 280:3	110:6 128:2,6	24:14 33:9	80:10 86:11	269:16,19,20
passionate	129:21 155:6,12	36:15 37:9,20	126:15 159:4	perspective
147:21	156:22 167:6,11	41:14 42:14	189:23	17:9 19:2 23:12
past	184:25 197:23	45:23 47:3,20,	perfect	pertain
18:14 30:25	198:3 207:3,9	23 55:1 58:5,9	8:12 12:13 64:5	220:15
95:22 99:4	216:7,12	62:20,22 63:14	67:1 123:25	Pete
155:23 190:6	246:12,17	69:8 70:20 72:7	124:10 189:10	160:22 180:15
198:14 207:21	280:20 281:6	75:7 76:13	195:16	194:13
217:5	peace	80:13 84:8	perfectly	phenomenal
pate	60:7 69:16	116:3 117:7,9,	76:7	58:4
15:11	peaceful	17,18 118:3,4,	perform	phenomenally
Patel	66:20	18 122:17	76:3	161:2
227:18	Peanuts	123:6,9,11,22	period	philosophy
path	165:16	124:2 130:8	111:20 165:8	77:7 123:8
40:6 172:19	pediatricians	139:19 144:6	221:10 223:2	phone
259:24	16:1	146:22 147:8,22	251:16 284:14	45:16 124:21
patience	Pee	148:1 149:19	permission	150:20
99:17	34:12	150:14 153:18	182:3 228:9	

	1	1	1	1
physical	150:25	120:18 137:9	political	187:3,9 188:22
13:2 34:15	plane	160:13 171:22	220:9	195:23 200:1
55:15 64:21	253:7	193:13 202:10	politics	210:15 241:25
77:16 102:12	planned	212:7 244:3	87:23	270:2 283:13
111:17 119:4	253:6	272:13 286:10	pop	positives
135:17 159:9	plans	plenty	161:23	288:3
170:25 192:12	33:21	135:6 152:1	popular	possesses
201:1 211:6	played	ploy	213:7	99:19
243:1 271:9	10:18,19	151:24	population	possible,
285:3	playing	podium	163:3	39:23
physically	153:13	100:7	portion	possibly
261:24		point	25:18 49:16	144:14 176:17
pick	<b>plea</b> 248:5	29:17 43:8	72:18 93:5	208:24 226:14
23:22,25 93:20		48:16 63:9 64:9,	107:11 111:1	227:1 259:25
122:3 143:20	plead	15 68:7 70:21,	126:11 153:25	post
146:24 148:10	238:17	23 71:4 74:20	165:19 183:11	105:13
150:20 151:2	pleading	79:13 82:25	196:19 206:6	post-
picks	277:8	83:3 86:22	214:14 245:7	151:17
164:20	pleadings	88:14 108:14	288:14	
pictures	284:18	113:4 131:13	portions	<b>pot</b>
111:14,18	pleas	134:14 143:21	250:11	148:8
112:2,3,4,6	53:20 204:7	144:18 152:2		potential
piece	pleasantries	162:9 175:2	<b>position</b> 10:10 19:22	75:24 132:15
85:19 182:2	161:25	180:1 194:1	21:19 30:16	potentially
230:5	pleasure	217:11 222:19	39:16 41:13	139:23 149:7
pieces	59:1,2 121:9	231:16 234:6	43:10 53:5 75:4	288:23
278:11	124:18 125:9	247:11 253:15	91:17 96:23	pots
Piedmont	130:10 138:25	266:14 276:16	98:16 99:21	133:7
55:12 102:9	165:3 208:18	pointed	100:11 110:9	potshots
119:1 135:14	287:1,12	68:8	123:14 129:24	276:15
284:25	pledge	points	141:24 204:16	pouring
	13:22 35:10	174:8 264:17	283:24	19:19
<b>place</b> 159:4 209:2	56:13 65:13	276:25	positions	power
	78:5 103:15	polarize	118:9 173:24	19:14 20:25
<b>places</b> 117:9 150:7	120:7 136:24	150:23		179:2
	160:3 171:12	pole	positive	powerful
204:1,2	193:3 201:25	136:17	12:11 34:7	24:17
plaintiff's	211:22 243:18		41:19 55:6,11 63:21 75:18	practical
10:19 240:1	272:3 285:25	<b>policies</b> 190:19,21	99:12 116:21	116:22
275:9 284:19	pledging	190:19,21	124:14 134:1,12	practice
plan	14:7 35:20	,	156:20 157:14,	10:11,16,17
21:11 37:14	56:23 65:23	policy	22 169:9 186:25	25:1 30:17
139:18 142:15	78:15 103:25	191:4,23	22 109.9 180.23	

r				
33:25 42:5,22	pre-nuptials	75:12 80:23	152:19 167:22	83:10 86:23
48:23 54:18	151:17	82:5 97:23	185:10 247:3	157:2 222:13
63:12 75:11	precedent	98:18 101:18	281:17	probate
96:24 98:9,10	147:18 220:22	108:20 141:5	prided	15:17,25 16:5
101:13 110:10,	preceding	283:7,8,13,15	106:18	100:11 101:12,
20 111:5 116:23	29:12	preparing	primarily	25 102:20 107:1
117:19 119:12	precious	253:10	97:7,8 114:17	130:17
121:21 124:17	62:20,22	prerequisites	117:20 162:18	problem
129:25 132:12	preclude	223:13	primary	46:1 70:5 79:23
139:10 140:12,	233:22	present	190:24	81:7 118:18,21
16 149:10,20	precluded	74:16 141:6	prime	149:17 166:19
157:12 188:5	233:15	262:12,13,14	131:23,25	174:19 204:23
199:11 209:13,	predecessors	281:21	primed	228:16 256:1,2
22 241:10	83:1	presentation	21:7	problems
269:17 282:24	predictable	279:12	principle	70:19 181:10
practiced	149:8	presented	77:4,7	287:20
18:20 20:2 49:1		268:5	,	procedural
75:3 76:20 79:2	predominant	presently	<b>prior</b> 13:23 35:11	169:17 188:2
117:12 121:21	273:8	267:18	56:14 61:12	procedure
135:4 140:13	preeminent		65:14 78:6 81:1	143:10 145:9
169:1 186:22	273:16	presiding 100:5	103:16 120:8	169:20 284:16
practicing	preface		136:13,16,25	procedures
10:17 16:16	173:6	pressure	147:17 160:3	149:11
22:15 41:21	prefer	42:21 43:1	171:13 188:7	proceed
42:6 85:23 97:7	126:1 166:25	188:12	193:4 202:1	240:16 268:11
110:17 124:21	267:2	pressures	211:23 219:5	
150:15 209:23	preferences	12:21 188:13	243:19 270:15	<b>proceeding</b> 52:10 239:2
240:25 241:6	121:22	presume	272:4 286:1	
practitioner	prejudice	256:19	prioritize	proceedings
135:24 147:1	262:1	pretty	44:23	12:21,22 261:9
285:10 287:2	prejudicial	31:7 38:21 46:8		process
praise	230:25	67:23,24 101:12	priority	14:4 17:13
58:5	preliminary	115:16 121:24	44:12 114:2	27:24 29:23
prank	45:17	145:20 150:5	private	30:4 35:17
15:11	preparation	151:18 163:8	31:9 98:9,10	40:17 46:2
pranked	11:2,4 32:11,13	172:23 175:17	111:5 116:23	49:17 51:18
18:13,14	98:2,4,16	241:19	117:18 124:17	52:3 56:20
prankster	101:21 113:9,11	prevented	132:12 139:10	59:18 61:13
20:14	132:4,6,14	239:10 251:6	151:17	65:20 68:17
pray	prepare	previous	privilege	72:19 74:5
69:22	15:18 101:18	52:2,23 61:19	62:12	78:12 79:13
07.22	prepared	74:12 86:17	pro	93:6 95:14
	propured	95:22 109:11	69:3,4,10 82:22	103:22 107:12

110:16 120:14 2 126:12 128:22 <b>pr</b>	ohibits 239:1 oject	<b>proven</b> 99:23 242:6	<b>purposes</b> 9:3,6 17:18	Putnam 9:8
126:12 128:22 <b>pr</b>	oject	99:23 242:6	9:3.6 17:18	0.8
P*	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7.0
131.6 132.11		provide	27:12,15,18	puts
131.0132.11	15:7	12:17 16:22	28:25 51:12,15	175:23
	rojects	20:13 87:8	61:7,10 73:20,	putting
	18:5	147:24 157:17	23 95:8,11	59:5
171:19 174:1,11 pr	omise	163:15 205:1	108:24 109:2	puzzle
178:3,20 183:12	7:17,20,21	provided	128:5,8 136:13	278:11
185:4 193:10 <sub>1</sub>	106:15 142:13	238:3 265:4	155:11,14	
196:20 198:8	179:3	275:13	167:10,13	Q
202:7 208:1 pr	ompted	providing	184:24 185:2	
212:3 214:15	43:3	159:5	198:2,5 207:8,	Quadros
232:5 243:25 pr	comptness	proving	11 216:11,14	21:10
245:7272:10   - c	94:7	175:13	218:16 246:16,	qualifications
277:11 282:6	one	prudent	19 249:8 281:5,	13:2 26:1 34:15
200.7 200.13	273:11	37:7	8	49:25 55:15
289:13	coperties	psychological	pursuant	59:24 64:21
produing   -	235:12	33:15 38:5,7	214:16 288:17	72:25 77:16
226:2		40:3,10	purview	93:11 102:12
product   -	coperty 32:21 85:4 99:1	public	222:1,4 225:18	107:14 119:4
1 1/0:1	13:18,25	48:25 55:22	229:1	126:13 135:17
nrotession	235:23	75:9 77:11	put	154:3 159:9
1 12:5		91:19 116:25	14:25 25:2 31:4	165:23 171:1
nroteccional   -	roponent 89:18	131:20,25	42:4 43:19 64:6	183:14 192:12
1 10:14 13:4		132:17 139:12	71:10 76:10	196:22 201:1
1 30.70 34.19 1 -	cosecute	161:7 186:14	81:13 82:18	206:9 211:7
1 55:18 64:24	31:23	225:22,23 239:3	87:6 90:25	214:24 218:20
1 /3.20 / / .10	osecuting	260:24	92:10 95:13	240:17 243:1
97:2 102:15	72:7	publicly	96:2 100:9	245:8 263:25
110.13 117.7	rosecutor	266:9	124:7 128:10	268:12 271:9
130.3,14 133.20	31:20	pulled	132:13 138:25	279:23 285:3
	otecting	151:25	144:5 146:11	289:1
170.22 172.18	57:8 123:24		150:25 156:21	qualified
1	otracted	<b>pump</b> 22:23	161:20 174:21,	13:1,3 34:13,17
243:4 271:12	64:14		22 176:6 178:16	55:13,17 64:19
279:2 285:6 <b>pr</b>	oud	punctual	186:21 188:12,	77:14,17
professionalism 5	58:15 122:1,7	158:8	13 197:16	102:10,14
19:15	125:10,13	purchasing	219:25 247:17	119:2,6 135:15,
professionals 1	134:15 153:9	23:21	254:25 255:18	19 159:8,11
12:4	288:4,5	purpose	257:24 266:19	170:21,24
progress pr	ove	17:19 208:22	274:13 277:7	192:10,14,18
	58:22	218:19	278:11	200:24 201:3
				211:5,8 218:22

227.10.242.24			127.24	1115710
225:18 242:24	56:1 57:5,16	quo	135:24	14 165:13
243:3 271:7,10,	61:25 65:2 66:5	66:16	ranging	166:7,10,12,14,
15 276:10 279:2	71:2 72:5 74:17	quote	131:2	16,20,23 167:1,
285:2,4	78:22 87:23	243:7	Rankin	5,15 168:3
qualify	96:3,6 104:7,8		8:2,4,6,9,11,16,	180:6,15 181:15
10:14 30:20	106:11 107:10	R	19 9:8 14:15	183:8,10,19,23,
75:5 97:2	109:16 120:25		17:24 22:6 25:9,	25 184:3,5,7,12,
110:13 115:24	121:2 125:17	rabbit	15 26:4,11,14,	15,18 185:4,14,
130:3	126:9 129:8	86:7 94:21	16,20,23 27:3,7,	20 193:21
quest	136:4 137:17,19	race	20,23 36:3 41:5	194:12,15
205:20	138:20 153:24	89:25 134:19	48:3 49:15,24	195:22 196:18
question	156:3 158:1	radar	50:4,7,10,13,15,	197:3,6,9,12,14,
24:9 39:21	160:20,21	31:1	18,20,23 51:1,4,	16,20,22 198:7,
41:20 46:6 47:1	165:14 168:4,5	raise	7,17 57:6,24	23 202:18
49:20 85:11	172:4 173:5,14	8:6 26:16 37:20	58:20 59:12,15,	204:16 205:8,
91:10,18 93:13	183:17 185:22	39:4 48:9 50:20	17 60:1,3,11,14,	14,19 206:14,
97:4 98:15	190:3,5 193:20	60:20 74:2 94:9	16,18,24 61:3,	16,20,21,22,25
111:7 134:9	198:20 199:16,	108:16 127:7	12 66:6 67:18	207:3,13 215:7,
135:1 146:22	19 201:15	139:18 154:18	71:1 72:2,17	9,11,12,14,15,
150:25 151:10	202:17 206:12	167:2 197:17	73:3,5,11,13,25	20,22 216:1,4,6,
169:21 194:13	207:24 208:1	206:22 210:3	74:5,22 78:23	9,16,19,22
202:25 219:16	211:12 212:14,	215:18 216:2	81:18 83:24	217:17,19,21,23
225:16 229:19	17 213:24	217:23 246:1	86:6,13 90:5	218:4,11,14,22
233:21 248:7	239:12 244:10,	280:13	91:2 93:4,16,20	219:16,22
269:1	11 268:9,10	raised	94:1,3,5,12,20,	220:9,24
questioning	272:20 276:6	14:11 20:9	24 95:3,13,16	221:17,18,20,21
14:13 36:1 57:4	278:21 279:21	29:19 35:24	96:5 100:9	222:5,8,10,12,
66:4 78:21	282:11 286:17,	53:3 57:2 66:2	104:8 106:11,	19 224:25 225:8
104:6 120:24	19 289:17	78:19 104:4	16,21,24	226:11 227:10,
137:15 160:19	quick	120:22 131:20	107:10,16,22,25	17,19,24
172:3 193:19	12:15 67:19	137:13 160:17	108:6,11,14,19	228:20,22
202:16 212:13	87:21 94:7	172:1 193:17	109:4,21 121:1	229:5,7,16,19
244:9 272:19	123:13 151:10	202:14 212:11	125:17,20,24	230:4,8,11,15,
286:16	217:23 259:1	244:7 272:17	126:4,21 127:1,	17,19 231:3,6,9,
questionnaire	278:22	286:14	4,7,11,14,20,24	21 233:14,20
184:19	quickly	raising	128:10,14,17,19	234:2,5 235:1,6
questions	67:25 164:13	68:15 131:22	137:18 138:5,9,	236:6,9,12,16,
9:18,20 12:8	193:24 247:25	184:8 195:25	12,15,18,22	19 237:2,5,7,9,
14:14,19 19:10	quiet	231:3	142:5 151:7	21,25 238:9,11,
22:6 25:10,12,	92:7	ran	152:7,9 153:17	17,19,23 239:6,
15 28:12 36:2,3	Quinby	49:2 79:15	154:10,15,22,25	13 240:15,21
49:16 52:6,7	194:6,12 197:3,	range	155:4,16 156:7	241:9,22 242:1,
	6	range	160:21 161:12,	2,5,22,24 243:7,
I				

9 244:11,13,15	re-	184:20 197:24	65:13 69:19	recollection
245:3,5,13,14,	familiarization	207:4 216:7	75:16 78:5 99:9	132:24 173:13
19,20,22,24	132:7	219:15 246:13	103:15 116:18	recommend
246:4,10,21	re-implemented	real	120:7 133:23	165:18
247:14,21,24	191:8	15:6,17,21 16:6	136:24 137:8	recommendatio
248:15 249:11,	re-litigate	36:20 42:25	157:19 160:3	n
15 250:2,4	218:25	47:20 58:14	169:7 171:12	48:17
253:14 254:10,	re-litigating	67:19 94:16	188:19 193:3	recommended
11,17,19,21,25	259:20	130:17 208:18	199:23 201:25	202:25
255:8,12,17	re-offend	217:23 241:1	210:12 211:22	recommends
256:11,25	278:14	259:1 275:10,12	241:22 243:18	55:24 285:12
257:6,12,14,18	Re-up	278:22	248:18 269:25	reconsider
258:2,7,14	286:23	realize	272:3 281:19	244:25
259:1,8,10,13,	reached	111:9 117:18	283:10 285:25	record
16,18,20 262:1,	136:16	154:1 165:6	recent	8:9,25 10:2,5,8
8,12,17,23		205:2 208:21	113:24 117:16	14:10 18:19
263:4,10,12,16	reaching 276:14	realized	recently	25:25 26:11,12,
264:5,8,15,17,		18:14 112:24	19:10,11 36:24	13,20 27:9
19,23,25	reaction	reason	38:15 149:13	28:20,25 30:11,
265:12,19,25	15:9	75:8 170:2	175:21 206:7	14 35:23 50:1,
266:5,7,12,22	read	176:5 227:14	244:19 287:5	15,17,24 51:9
267:7,12,15,18,	8:22 15:23	236:15	receptive	57:1 58:4 59:22
21 268:10,22,24	25:19 27:24	reasonable	69:16	60:13,25 66:1,
272:21,23	41:9 45:22	76:4 115:8	recessed	10 72:24 73:10,
278:21,23	66:15 67:9	251:3,6 270:24	76:10	17 78:18 82:24
279:8,20 280:3,	86:14,16 100:20	reasonableness	recitation	86:25 91:1,8
7	103:13 113:25	41:19 228:13	267:25	93:10 94:2 95:5
Rankin's	162:2 170:10,	reasoned	recited	96:13,18,21
219:8 221:25	12,14 174:23	210:17	235:14	100:9 104:3
225:22	182:12,18 230:4	reasoning	recognition	107:13 108:3,4,
rare	237:7 255:12 270:14 279:11	20:4	122:20	6,7,9,21 109:25
18:16 39:7			recognize	110:4,7 120:21
rat	readily 21:22	reasons 20:19 110:15	39:17 122:25	126:12,13
23:17			153:10 188:3,15	127:3,5,12
ratified	reading	rebuttal 233:22	204:23 206:7	128:2,11
224:23	162:1 287:23		273:2	129:16,19,22
re-	ready	recall	recognized	136:4 137:12
155:24	8:25 22:1,24	87:23 91:21	23:3 172:6	154:2,3,8,14,23
re-election	27:9 51:8 60:24	240:10	196:4 286:20	155:8 156:21
60:20 155:16	73:17 95:5	received		160:16 165:21,
185:5 207:15	107:5 108:20	12:9 13:22 34:4	recognizing 22:20 83:22	23 166:13 167:7
216:24 286:24	128:1 155:7	35:10 55:3	153:23	171:25 183:12,
	156:2 167:7	56:13 63:18	155.25	
·				

	 l			
13 184:4,20	referenced	235:11 237:10	214:23 288:25	145:25
185:20 186:21	224:2	238:13 245:18	remaining	reply
193:16 196:20,	references	relates	192:14 271:10,	267:16,19
21 197:11,20,24	81:10	223:17 228:1	18	report
202:13 206:7,9,	referrals	236:9 241:2	remains	26:1 49:24
19 207:5 212:10	145:23 146:18	248:10 249:13,	174:4 196:21	57:13 59:23
214:23 215:8,	referred	14 250:2	remark	63:1,9 88:25
24,25 216:2,7	16:1 146:7	relationship	72:6	93:11 107:14
217:24 218:9,18	referring	195:19	remarkable	159:7 209:24
219:20 221:14	86:18 87:1	relative	102:22	210:4,10 214:24
230:22,24	refers	38:9 91:7	remarks	221:9 245:8
238:12 240:4	146:16	release	225:21 263:24	289:1
244:6,17 245:7,	reflection	26:1 49:24	281:24	reported
21 246:13,22	134:14	59:23 72:25	remember	159:11 285:1
247:18,19	reframe	93:11 107:13	15:14 22:13	reporter
248:20,21	261:21	126:13 154:3	40:24 47:19	9:9 23:15 24:5
249:11 255:1,4,	refresh	165:22 183:13	69:2,6 70:7,21,	164:22
10,15 256:6	113:17 132:23	196:21 206:8	23 80:8 161:16,	reports
257:7 265:9,17,	regard	214:23 279:22	17,18 202:18,23	89:11
23 266:17,24 267:3 272:16	130:22 162:6	289:1	remembers	represent
	187:7 220:5	relevant	89:25 202:19	10:21 31:16
279:22,23 280:9 281:2,12 286:13	250:17	119:12 249:25	remind	151:21
288:25	regimented	252:19 259:6	69:24 70:10	Representative
	43:17	263:21	126:10 214:16	14:15,16,18
recording 261:20		relief	247:25 288:16	17:22 18:7 26:8
	region 118:8	141:4 180:19	reminded	28:1 83:24,25
records		238:3	68:16 69:1	84:2 85:22
235:9	regional	religious	remit	172:5 193:21,23
recuse	118:7	283:19,24	158:24	203:18 212:16
158:23	regurgitate	relitigate	remote	213:24 214:4,7
recused	255:2	248:24	261:13 263:5	215:3 231:21,22
158:20	rehabilitate	relitigation	remotely	251:10,22,23,25
redact	277:25	248:7	261:9,23 262:16	252:17 253:11
267:8	rehash	relocate	·	254:6,15,18
redirected	219:18	33:10,11	removed	265:18,20,22
258:25	relatable	relocation	43:22 218:19	280:10,12,17,
reelected	139:25	33:9	223:22 248:22	19,23 281:1,10
55:25 168:24	relate	rely	repay	282:7 286:18
reference	134:18	32:23	116:13	288:10 289:5,10
90:18 146:4	related		repeating	represented
162:2 220:22	53:4,10,12	remain 50:1 107:13	170:14	10:21 31:10,15
	113:20 151:19	126:12 206:8	repeats	84:22 111:4
		120.12 200.0		

	1	1	1	1
210:7 239:19,21	115:4 158:17	resolved	225:22 265:5,25	return
240:3	221:1,22	164:13 181:11	266:15,16,18,20	189:24 278:9
representing	223:16,18	269:9	267:22 270:8	289:11,14,15
253:15	224:10 229:14	resource	283:19	reunite
reputation	231:17 250:13	123:6 164:16	responses	278:12
13:5 19:9 34:10,	288:16	resources	214:9 270:23	reversal
19 54:18,20	requirement	163:23 165:10	responsibility	225:5
55:19 63:12,17	224:3	203:19,24	40:14,23 47:21	reversed
64:25 67:21	requirements	204:12,15,24	62:18 70:8	64:10,15
75:10 77:19	10:9 30:15	278:10	81:24 84:11	review
102:16 119:8	96:22 110:8	respect	responsible	113:23 114:3
135:21 157:11	129:23 134:20	47:23 99:24	115:24	157:25 218:20
159:13 161:4	229:9	187:10 188:17	responsive	235:3 250:5
169:1 170:23	requires	241:13,14,18	191:21	274:7,8 280:23
186:22,25	82:9 223:13	267:24	rest	reviewed
187:2,8 192:16	224:18 226:7	respectable	41:24 42:16	14:6 35:19
199:10 201:5	requisite	99:14	149:3 168:5	56:22 65:22
209:12 211:10	134:9	respectful	210:18	78:14 103:24
241:10,12,19	research	55:8 187:5	restrict	120:17 160:12
243:5 244:18	69:1	209:10 270:4	251:18	171:21 193:12
269:15,18	Reset	283:14	result	202:9 212:6
271:13 279:3	44:25	respectfully	219:2 249:1	219:5 244:2
282:23,25	reside	218:8 225:8		248:23 254:9,11
283:6,9 285:7	10:3 28:21,22	227:19 228:22	results	265:7 272:12
request	96:14 109:25	248:19 252:16	278:25	286:9
80:15 174:20	129:17	253:2,12 254:15	resume	reward
218:9 225:19		255:4,22 267:25	58:4	94:6 208:3
226:3 234:2	residence	respond	retain	rewarding
248:20 251:8	10:10 30:16	64:4 71:4	115:4 133:10	131:24
254:12	96:23 110:9 129:24	134:10 169:22	228:9	
requested		189:6 200:11	retained	Rhonda
175:1 224:2	residency	217:16 242:12	17:8	217:18 218:1,2
237:16 253:21	201:11,12	248:6 283:21	retire	247:13,20,22
260:11,12	resident		147:4	Richard
requests	29:11,13 97:10	Respondents 75:19	retired	140:4 142:18
111:19 237:12	residential		36:24 141:11	Richland
require	235:15	responding	retirement	28:22 118:6,16
85:10 115:3	resolution	190:5	31:3 81:1 99:1	226:17,22
117:1 164:21	150:24 165:12	response	retirements	Richter
187:4	resolve	46:7 100:5	33:20	154:20,24
required	142:23 146:17	101:8 102:1	retiring	155:3,9 156:6,
49:19 82:8	150:21 153:12	117:4,25 158:15	53:14	10,22 157:19,22
77.17 02.0		169:17 190:10		158:4,6 159:8,
L				

11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				1 .
11,16,18 160:18	roughly	12:17 238:1,5	saddened	savings
161:1,10 165:15	142:10	rulings	92:25	33:21
166:6,9,11	routine	169:16 252:5,23	safe	Scarborough
ridiculous	113:13 189:17	267:5 268:4	26:5 166:12	22:11
174:22	rubbed	271:4 283:18	206:16 289:10,	scared
rights	22:11	run	14,15	15:4
38:12,13 39:1,	rude	19:18,22 23:5	safely	scary
22 151:16	79:19 80:20	31:21,22 71:19	278:9,13	213:18
258:12	rudimentary	84:18 180:18	safety	schedule
ring	173:10	196:14 199:19	190:3	43:19 44:21,24
31:4	rule	244:21 286:24	Safran	45:6 46:21
rising	13:10 34:23	running	22:7,9 25:7 28:1	68:12 166:17
139:2	85:6 86:3 88:25	28:23 42:5	41:5,6,8 42:3	170:15 207:1
risk	99:18 101:1	49:13 60:19	48:2 49:3 57:24,	265:1
36:20	103:4 119:21	92:17 97:14	25 58:18 78:23,	schedule's
river	135:7 136:7	99:21 122:9	24 79:1 81:17	180:16
105:15	143:7 151:15	124:16 126:5	142:5,6,8 151:6	scheduled
road	170:3 182:20	149:17 194:9	165:17 172:6,7,	71:18 163:18
16:20 181:10	191:10 192:4	203:19 210:19	9 180:4,10	scheduling
274:5	233:15 237:16	263:20	182:19,24	177:17 178:25
robe	266:25 267:9	runs	183:1,3	school
149:3 194:23	270:16 284:15,	44:13 55:7 89:2	Sam	15:15 25:11
273:12	17,20	180:13 200:4	172:14	48:12 117:14
Robert	ruled	Ruth	sanity	141:15 146:9
108:17 110:7	191:17 237:21,	81:23 106:4	273:21 274:12	223:9 269:12,13
121:7 122:5	23 287:4	Rutherford	Santos	SCOTT
121.7 122.3	rules	26:8 203:18	54:7	167:3 215:20
	13:11 21:12	231:21,22	sat	216:4
rodents	34:24 63:22	251:10,23,25	43:14 58:2	
17:18	75:12,14 80:23	252:17 253:12	76:21 80:15,25	scratch
role	82:23 84:14,15	254:6,16,18	132:23 194:21	145:13
10:18 42:12	103:4 113:18	265:18,20,22	satisfaction	scratching
47:18 62:14	119:21 136:8	, ,	130:8 139:17	205:10
139:20 153:14	148:5 158:5	S	satisfies	screen
162:12 165:8	166:5 169:17,20		182:11	8:23 216:24
rolling	188:1 190:20	Saab		screened
162:14	191:1,5,19,25	68:2 92:13	Saturday	8:20 27:21
room	192:6,7 206:7	203:8 204:18,19	205:15	screening
123:12	230:16 232:8,9	205:17 213:25	Saunders	13:19,24 25:19
root	233:6,12,21	214:1	97:11	35:7,12 49:17
85:11	284:16	Sabb	save	53:3,18 54:5
rosters	ruling	91:2,4 93:2	57:19	56:10,15 65:10,
213:9	8	<u> </u>		15 72:19 78:3,7

79:13 93:5	28:23 29:1,16	21 91:2,4 92:13	155:3	123:16,18 269:8
102:5 103:12,17	31:2 102:18	93:2,19 104:9,	servant	serving
107:11 120:4,9	121:10 140:9,10	12,14 105:2,11	55:22 186:15	52:15,16,25
126:11 136:21	177:5 217:13,15	106:8 107:1	serve	62:9,11 74:25
137:1 154:1	218:23 247:11	121:2,4,6 125:9,	10:12 11:3	75:2,6,9 106:25
156:23 159:25	SEC	16 126:7	15:11 30:18,22,	156:12 168:14,
160:4 165:19	78:15	136:14,15	23 31:11 32:12	16 186:5,6
171:9,14 183:11	secret	138:22,24	33:1 42:16	199:2,3 208:15,
192:25 193:5	261:14	142:3,11 152:9	62:12 66:9 74:7	19 209:1 211:1
196:20 201:22	section	153:21 160:22	81:22 87:5,22	240:21,22
202:2 210:3	13:17 29:4 35:5,	161:14 181:15,	96:25 98:2	268:16,17 269:3
211:19,24	21 56:8,24 65:8,	17 182:19 183:5	110:11 113:10	277:2 280:5
214:15,21	24 78:1,16	196:12 202:20	124:13 130:1	282:16,17,19
243:15,20 245:7	103:10 104:1	203:7 204:18,19	132:4 139:17	session
271:25 272:5	120:2,19 136:18	205:17 206:21	156:13,15	108:7
285:22 286:2	159:23 160:14	212:17,19,21	185:25 200:8	Sessions
288:15,24	171:7,23 192:23	213:23,25 214:1	218:22 228:2	164:3
screenings	193:14 201:20	222:8 230:15	229:10,12	set
52:2,24 61:19	202:11 211:17	238:18 239:14	236:10 248:9	16:11 18:24
74:12 95:23	243:13 244:4	240:12,13	249:15 263:25	43:7,24 45:12,
109:12 155:23	271:23 272:14	272:23 286:20,	served	21 47:15 52:18
167:22 185:11	285:20 286:11	21,25 288:9	48:19 71:13	53:24,25 68:18,
198:15 207:21	security	send	91:15 131:6	19 89:4 122:19
217:5 247:4	273:18	83:9 97:19	157:9 161:17	170:10 176:19
281:17	seeking	191:15,16	168:21 186:17	224:8 257:22
screens	42:14 225:5	269:12	208:13	sets
87:12 275:21	seeks	sense	serves	58:16 177:15
screenshots	242:4	14:24 16:10	208:14	setting
76:18	self-represented	86:8 87:3	service	45:9 69:14
search	157:2	139:16 178:21	20:6,7,10 21:11	233:8 249:18
9:13 28:6 51:25	sell	179:9 253:23 270:17 285:11	31:5 55:25 60:3	settle
61:18,19 74:11	115:20 179:16,	287:14	67:24 84:4	89:5
109:10 129:3	21		86:21 93:23	settled
155:21 167:20	semiannual	separate	102:21 131:20,	37:5 210:9
185:9 198:13,14	149:14	37:12 149:25	25 139:12	settlements
207:20 217:4	Senate	separately	161:8,9 162:6	11:24
247:2 281:15	48:19	70:16	183:25 186:18	sex
searches	senator	seriousness	269:2 279:6	111:16
95:21	36:4,6 38:8,13	15:14	285:11 289:6	shadows
seasoned	66:6,8 67:14,18,	sermon	Services	76:11
273:10	20 68:2 81:18,	206:5	110:24 111:3,5	shake
seat	20 83:19 87:15,	Serrato	113:16 122:13	287:4
	ĺ			
	l		1	1

shaken	149:4 151:15	115:16 178:20	10 107:9,15,21	skeptical
87:14	270:21 278:7	277:15	108:10 126:20	124:2
shape	showed	simply	127:5 142:2	skill
20:24 134:15	242:11	22:25 55:9	153:16 155:4	16:11 18:23
212:24	showing	113:3 205:3	180:3,5 181:14	52:18
share	47:12	sincere	184:6,15,22	skin
29:15 80:2	shown	195:12	185:13,19 186:1	79:17
172:10	111:14 135:11	sincerely	193:25 194:3,11	SLED
shared	shut	124:23	196:17 197:2	63:1,9 209:24
63:3	88:15	Sinclair	206:13 207:6	210:4
sharp	siblings	83:2	215:2 216:9	sling
152:4 154:11,12	48:6	single	218:6,13 238:20	18:12
she'll	side	191:4,23 220:20	240:18 245:13	slowing
156:3	10:24 18:24	222:21 274:9	280:2,11,22,25	149:18
Sheeba	19:1,3 34:2	sir	281:3 289:4	small
90:19	39:25 41:15	8:4 9:1,22 17:23	sister	105:18 114:12,
Sheeran	54:25 86:23	23:4 25:8 26:3,	30:7 48:12	15 137:25
202:22	92:1 180:13,17	14 27:1,6,10,22	sit	143:18
shepherding	200:17 230:25	36:14 37:23	80:17 134:10	smaller
52:4	237:16	38:6 39:4,11,14,	sitting	121:17
shifting	sides	18,24 40:1,5,11,	11:10 61:12	smart
154:17 166:17	12:4,17 25:1,5	16,18 41:2,4,11,	132:19 170:16	159:16 188:22
shoes	275:6	16,22 42:1	276:5	200:5 244:23
15:1 25:2,3	sigh	43:13,20 44:1,7,	situated	smile
shook	141:4	11,18 46:10,19	156:17	60:4,6 93:21
92:20 213:20	sign	47:11,13,17,19	situation	Smith
short	141:18 192:2	48:1 49:23 50:9,	12:16 15:8 69:5	63:6
75:15 147:8	signed	12 51:4,10	84:7,21 85:3	Smithdeal
179:16,22	128:1 182:21	57:23 61:2,5	124:5 142:12	140:15 280:11,
200:3,7	significant	66:18,25 67:13	158:23 168:19 169:6 187:13	15,18,25 281:3
short-term	17:10 119:11	69:25 71:24	189:23 223:6	282:1,8,14,23
84:21	signing	73:2,18 76:5,24	226:18	283:10 284:6
shortage	152:4	77:25 78:4,9,13, 17 79:4,7 81:6,9	situations	285:1,9,16
164:23	similar	82:17 84:13	11:24,25 12:1,	286:24 288:9
shortly	46:7 128:21	87:2,7,18,20	15 20:14 22:21	289:4,9
201:11	151:1	93:15 94:4,15	37:21 47:4 91:9	SMITHDEALE
shot	similarities	95:2,6,15 96:8	274:24	280:22
81:13 178:18	84:8	97:4 102:7	six/seven	smooth
show	similarly	103:1,5,9,13,18,	208:18	187:22
100:18,19,24	163:24	23 104:2,11,13	Sixth	smoothly
135:8 143:7	simple	105:1,10 106:7,	53:20	89:2 200:4
	_		25.20	

	l14	220.0.0.247.10	124	1
snap	<b>sought</b> 13:22 35:10	229:8,9 247:10	split	star
66:14 161:23		248:2	237:23	139:3 273:20
snapshot	56:13 65:13	speaking	spoke	staring
147:11,12 275:3	78:5 103:15	164:21,25	87:24 100:1	275:16
sneaky	120:7 136:24	205:23 232:22	spotlight	Starnes
261:12	160:2 171:12	263:1	76:16	168:6,7,9
snuff	193:3 201:25 211:22 243:18	speaks	spouse	171:25 172:5
122:5	272:3 285:25	68:5 196:7	17:10 260:14	stars
Social		248:3,9	stability	141:25
110:23 111:3,4	sound	special	13:3 34:16	start
113:16 122:13	42:20	16:11 118:5	55:16 64:22	24:2 44:20
123:15,18 269:8	sounds	182:3	77:17 102:13	60:20 98:8,18
society	22:19 23:3	specific	119:5 130:11	108:16 115:22
282:21	40:18 145:18	36:25 85:2	135:18 147:24	129:8 145:13
sole	South	specifically	159:10 171:1	151:1 181:7
37:9	13:10 14:7 20:8	11:21 33:4	192:13 201:2	184:8
solicitor	29:3 34:24	98:21 100:4,12	211:7 243:2	started
41:14 72:10	35:20 38:12	114:8 130:9	271:10 285:4	29:23 80:18
131:7 132:8,16	56:23 65:23	133:2 219:7	staff	89:3 90:11
143:13 199:18	96:16 103:4,25	262:18 264:12	9:18 42:10	97:11 114:4
solicitor's	110:1 119:21	266:8	77:10 90:22	142:12 144:3
143:4 144:11	120:18 128:14	speech	168:4 187:6	145:21 149:13
Solomon	136:7 137:9	220:10	188:14 241:15	151:25 170:6
153:14	139:7 160:13	speed	stage	172:11 202:21
solve	168:22 171:22	32:25 39:22	68:17 139:5	213:4
46:1 118:19,21	193:13 202:10	40:17 73:6	276:4	starting
somebody's	210:23 212:7	spend	stake	22:10 199:12
24:6 81:11	224:18 238:25	84:24 114:22	47:25	200:12 220:25
179:15	244:3 252:1	spent	stand	starts
someone's	260:7 272:13 282:20 284:8	57:11 111:2	100:7	233:7 248:6
16:24 131:14,15		253:9	standard	state
275:24 276:17	286:10	spiel	54:10,11 68:13	8:9 9:13 10:2
	spark	69:18	standards	26:20 27:5 28:8,
<b>son</b> 48:19 138:3,5	24:8	spill	192:6	20 29:8,11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Spartanburg	131:12	standing	30:24 33:12
<b>sooner</b> 166:21	73:7 80:4 87:16	spirit	58:11	42:18 49:22
	88:6 89:20 90:1,	25:22 59:19	standpoint	50:2,23 51:24
sort 20.4.26.16	10,15	72:21 154:5	181:1 188:4	61:18 70:19
30:4 36:16	speak	165:24 183:16	203:2,3 226:25	72:21 74:10
45:10,19 54:22,	69:17,21 71:8,	196:24 206:10	256:21 261:18	76:9 88:24
25 122:4 135:8	18 111:12	214:18 245:11	262:21 264:7	89:12 93:7
144:19 200:15	180:24 181:4,8	279:24 288:19	202.21 201.7	95:20 96:13
259:16				
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

103:16 107:18	statements	sticklers	161:11 180:6,7,	Stumbo
109:9,24	155:7	72:20	9 181:13	143:14
111:12,20	states	stock	194:14,15,16	style
121:12,13,15	29:8 170:3	81:14	195:9,10 233:3,	148:21 150:4
122:25 125:14	284:7	stop	5 244:12,13,16	161:21
126:14 127:11	statewide	45:1 138:11	245:4 265:11,	subject
129:2,16 130:18	117:8 188:5	254:4	12,13,21,24	191:18 234:7
132:1 139:7,24	status	story	266:4 278:22,	subjects
150:16 154:4,22	54:8 66:16	95:1 274:10	23,24 279:6	277:14
156:15,19 163:2	statute	275:7	strong	submissions
164:9,11,23	29:18 36:10	straight	20:25 119:15	181:24
165:24 167:20	38:14 221:4,11,	23:24	136:2 174:8	submit
168:22 184:1	12 223:12,19	straightened	187:3	221:9 223:24
185:9,25 196:22	227:3,12 251:15	194:25	strongly	249:20
197:20 198:12	statutory	straightforward	55:24 102:23	submitted
199:14 203:10	10:9 30:15 37:3	164:14	180:10 181:7	77:22 78:7
205:25 207:19	38:20 96:22		struck	171:3 182:13
208:20 211:1	110:8 129:23	strange 111:19	46:2	219:3 223:14
217:3,24 231:25	134:20 224:3		struggle	228:17 231:7
232:12 234:17	stay	strategies	273:2,13 280:4	235:9 237:15
245:10 247:2,19	115:20 139:18	19:4	struggling	249:20 254:8
278:2 280:5	163:20 165:1	strategy	163:4	270:14 274:9
281:15 284:11	166:23 225:19	262:2	stuck	
stated	254:2 284:13	stray	116:16	<b>submitting</b> 13:13,21 35:1,9
11:6 55:20		166:4	student	56:4,12 65:4,12
83:20 102:17	steady 16:22 22:3 25:5	stressful	106:20	103:6,14 119:23
119:9 134:1,3	163:8	12:15 168:19		120:6 136:10,23
192:17 201:6		169:5	students 106:12	159:19 160:2
243:6 271:14	stem-to-stern	strict		171:11 192:20
statement	152:10	93:6	study	193:2 201:16,24
8:24 9:7 27:8,19	stems	strictly	9:11 28:4 51:23	211:13,21
51:8,16 61:1,11	179:9	227:24	61:16 74:9,12	243:10,17
73:16,24 74:19	step	strides	95:19,22 109:8,	271:19 272:2
95:4,12 108:19	22:20	204:25	11 128:25	285:16,24
109:3 128:2,9	Stephen	strikes	155:19,23	· ·
155:15 167:6,14	54:7	178:6	167:18,22 185:7	<b>subsection</b> 65:24 202:11
184:19 185:3	steps	strive	198:11,14	
189:9 197:23	22:25	77:8 187:1	207:17,21 217:2	subsequently
198:6 207:4,12	Stevens	Strom	246:25 247:3	221:21 228:10,
216:8,15 217:18	239:22	57:7,8,11,19,22	281:13,17	11
246:20 247:13	steward	58:1 71:3 72:1	stuff	substance
280:20 281:9,22	105:24	160:22,23,24	23:1 145:22	46:16 178:5
		100.22,23,27	199:8	

substantial	supervised	281:13	215:20 216:4,8,	talking
76:3 173:16	236:25	surveyors	15 217:13 218:2	18:8 84:14
174:6	supervisor	235:22	246:2,20 247:22	161:18 179:12
successful	90:20	surveys	280:15,17,20	209:5 230:5,12
16:13 107:6	supervisory	12:9 16:14 34:5	281:9	236:6 249:16
153:15	118:10	55:4 63:19	sympathize	251:23 252:20
successfully	supplemental	75:17 99:10	149:4	254:16 265:22
146:18 261:2	45:13	116:19 133:24	system	275:19 284:5
succinctly	support	157:20,21 169:8	11:13 77:3	talks
232:12	29:25 53:24,25	188:20 199:23	85:17 105:6	180:17
suddenly	54:2 67:21	210:12 241:23	113:5 130:12	Talley
142:14	110:25 127:18,	270:1 283:11,12	148:19 165:12	87:21
sue	23 187:6 241:5	survive	167:16 176:2,11	Tarver
157:5	257:23	123:17	179:9,25 206:2	246:9
sued	supporting	Survivor	278:14	task
157:7 284:11	114:20 170:4	21:10	systemic	277:2
suffered	219:3	suspected	179:21	tasked
111:16	supports	190:24		162:10
suit	186:9	suspended	T	taught
53:22 54:8 63:4	supposed	223:8		48:12
210:2,5	102:3 112:8,11	Svalina	table	tax
suited	149:24 175:23	172:14	76:14	214:12
119:16	220:3 223:23,24	swear	tackle	teach
summary	226:8 257:22	280:13	213:1	79:22
55:20 119:9	Supreme	swearing	tailor	technical
159:15 192:18	175:22 187:21	190:2	225:20	118:17
271:14	191:9 222:16	sweet	takes	telling
Sumter	surely	75:15	16:10 19:5 49:4	23:22 41:12
10:4 16:1 18:20	8:22 49:18	sworn	111:1 170:11	123:3 266:23
21:9 28:23 29:3,	survey	8:7,24 9:7 26:18	287:16,17	267:7
20,23 30:3,8	9:11 12:11 28:4	27:8,19 50:21	taking	tells
31:20,21,24	34:6 51:22 55:5	51:7,16 60:22,	100:13,23 101:3	226:15
32:17 33:18	61:16 63:20	25 61:11 73:16,	170:17 191:13	temperament
48:15,19,23,24	74:8 95:18	24 74:3 94:10	talk	12:14 13:6 32:3
49:8,12	99:11 109:7	95:4,12 108:17,	41:18 82:23	34:9,20 52:19
sunshine	116:20 128:25	19 109:3 127:9	88:12 182:7,11	54:22 55:9,20
227:8,9	133:25 155:19	128:2,9 154:20	266:1 283:1	65:1 75:20 77:2,
super	167:18 185:7	155:6,15 167:3,	talked	20 82:18 100:3,
45:11 58:8 90:5	188:21 198:10	6,14 184:10,19	76:12 89:13	10 102:17 106:2
139:1,2 153:23	199:25 207:17	185:3,17	143:12 169:24	119:9 134:3,5
superior	210:14 217:1	197:19,23 198:6	181:3 213:11	135:22 147:25
270:3	241:24 246:24	206:23 207:4,12	232:11	159:14 170:24
	1	1	1	•

192:17 196:6	tenor	246:3 247:23	42:7 44:19,24	200:17 220:5
200:6 201:6,9	69:15,19	280:16	45:10 53:7,10	223:5,18 224:21
208:25 209:5	tense	testify	64:16 66:12	225:15 228:6,19
211:11 225:4	141:2 148:3	61:23 74:16	79:20,25 81:2	230:23 231:14
230:22 234:23	tension	156:1 198:18	82:17 83:22	234:6,11 236:20
235:11 236:13,	273:14	217:10 219:7	89:8 97:17	246:11 250:12,
18 241:21 243:6	tenure	249:2 281:21	100:17 122:24	19 253:18
253:8,11 256:1,	200:3	testimony	124:25 143:15	260:22 261:22
16 257:12,16	term	10:6 28:15	148:17 164:10	263:20 283:2
259:13 270:3	55:25 67:24	30:12 96:19	170:9 172:10,21	287:19,24
271:14 279:4	86:14 187:14	100:21 110:5	174:15 175:3,	thinking
285:8	285:14	129:20 185:18	16,25 176:4	55:1 60:6
tempered	termination	206:12 245:12	179:7 195:2	138:20 147:11
116:22 196:16	39:22 151:16	250:20 261:7	209:7 213:16	275:17
temporary		tests	214:12 232:2,20	thinks
43:23 45:9,20	terms	258:18	234:12,14	118:23
66:13 68:9,17	44:12 69:21	text	252:7,12 253:4	third-party
71:8 73:14	74:6 81:3 86:11	76:18	255:20 257:9	11:25 40:4
76:17 162:16	93:7 107:3	thankful	258:16 264:20	Thirteenth
163:16 169:23	109:5 116:11 126:4 128:22	210:25	things	151:1,3
170:2,3,9,19	134:16 135:7		19:14 24:2	Thomas
173:15 174:16,	147:24 149:6,8	thankfully 152:1	33:16 34:3 38:2	48:19
20 175:2,5	152:15 163:7		40:21 42:8	Thompson
176:17,21,25	164:13 177:11	thankless	45:24,25 48:21	26:22 30:15
180:12 275:4,8	188:8 219:16	122:12	52:20 54:23	140:3 142:16
temptation	222:15	that'll	58:3 60:5 62:18,	144:2 152:20
47:15	terrible	211:12	19 66:20 67:20,	
ten	277:16	them's	22 82:7 83:6	Thompson's 48:11
10:23 16:17		89:14	87:21 91:7	
52:15,20 136:17	Terriers	thicker	92:11 97:21	thought
176:19	205:12	79:17	99:2,13 106:1	11:5 15:20
tenants	testament	Thicket	107:2 112:21	24:20 30:25
235:14	126:8 205:24	165:17	113:1,13,17	82:17 97:12,14,
tend	206:5	Thigpen	115:12 116:1,15	22 111:7 118:2
80:1 81:10	testified	73:12,18 74:3,	121:23 122:20,	122:8 134:25
135:12 178:4	8:8 26:19 50:22	21,25 77:14,21	21 126:6 142:13	199:5
199:16	60:23 74:4	78:20 79:2	143:8 148:20,23	thoughtful
tendency	94:11 108:18	85:23 90:25	151:2 153:19	47:2 63:25
47:15	127:10 154:21	93:15,22	157:25 161:6	75:20 134:2
tenderly	167:4 184:11	thing	163:8 173:11	242:5 269:23
228:23 255:22	197:19 206:24	17:7 20:10	178:3 179:1	thoughtfully
220.23 233.22	215:21 216:5	24:11 38:3	194:19 195:5	189:7
	218:3 224:16	39:12 40:22	199:16,17	
I				

			I	
thoughtfulness	57:11,19 63:7	times	10,14,17,21	25
158:2	67:22 75:15	17:5 23:11	142:4 153:25	touched
thoughts	79:2,6,15 80:2,	24:15 43:21	154:9,13 216:20	101:6 225:13
36:8 38:7	3,9 81:3 82:4	54:24 80:2	told	touches
143:22 147:14	84:25 88:10	98:24 122:22	22:14 23:23	52:3
thousand	92:16 94:24	128:18 130:9	80:16,24 102:2	touching
220:1	97:15,19 100:15	133:14 149:9	143:13 218:24	107:3 205:22
thousands	101:16 108:11,	153:11 175:10	219:13 248:23	tough
139:22	12 113:14,15	209:3 233:6	260:8,11,15	21:3 40:22
threat	114:22 117:15,	tired	Tom	81:22,23 106:2,
256:9	22 122:6 123:23	42:20	142:19 143:12	3 112:18 271:16
threaten	125:4,22 126:9	today	Tommy	273:17 276:12
257:11	131:10,13 135:3	14:13 36:1	140:3,6	tower
threatened	138:3,6 144:1	44:15 51:2	tomorrow	149:2
268:3	146:11,25 147:8	52:10 57:4	268:23	town
threshold	148:5 153:12	60:16 66:4	ton	92:5 105:19
88:21	158:18 162:4,16	78:21 94:24	145:23	Townsend
	163:17,18,19	96:2 104:6	tone	140:5 142:16,18
thrift 33:21	166:10 170:1,8,	109:18 120:24	43:24 45:21	144:1 152:20
	17 174:12,13,	127:15,19,23	68:19 69:14,19	Townsend's
thrilled	20,21 175:3,4,	134:16 137:15	Toni	142:22
48:14	20,24 176:12,23	160:19 166:8	94:16,17 96:1	
thrives	177:6,9 178:9	168:2 172:3	ĺ ,	TPR
85:17	180:13,16 182:1	193:19 202:16	tonight 166:21,24	31:17
throw	183:22 187:17	212:13 217:6	·	TPRS
92:9 257:23	189:19 190:17	220:16 234:5	tools	130:23 135:8
ticket	191:14 199:8	244:9 247:6	205:1	traces
253:7	200:7 202:24	256:7,17 267:13	top	137:23
Tiffany	203:4,6,17	272:19 274:6	111:5 139:6	tragic
246:9	208:5,13 215:10	275:13,22	163:20	119:14
tight	218:10 221:9	276:16 286:16	top-notch	train
71:17 180:17	223:7 233:8,13	288:13 289:13	210:24	44:13
till	235:5,7,14	today's	topic	trained
166:23 225:19	236:17 239:7,20	218:19 268:24	36:25 117:24	80:25 125:11
time	248:21,24	Todd	topics	140:8
8:21 12:16	264:24 265:7	74:3 127:6,9,13,	114:5	training
14:19 16:4,23	267:23 268:6	17,22 128:3,11,	totality	80:16 141:21
19:21 23:18	273:5 276:9	13,16,18	21:25	trains
26:5 27:22 29:6	283:3 287:17	129:11,14,16,22	totally	162:14
37:14,16 40:13	289:2	130:1 132:2,25	84:13 125:20	traits
43:18 44:14,20	timely	133:23 134:4	touch	99:20
46:11,22 48:20	87:8 157:24	135:15,23 136:5	162:11 276:16,	trajectory
	241:20 255:17	137:23 138:8,	,	lujectory
L				

	1	1	1	1
68:19 69:15	treated	242:23 244:6	types	229:4 233:14,
transcripts	79:8,9 159:2	trouble	19:14 33:1	18,21,25 236:8
86:14	169:5	76:13 88:13	164:12 269:5	244:2 245:11
transformation	treating	true	typically	248:11,14
24:6	189:22	123:4 284:18,22	263:1	256:18,20
transition	treatment	trusts	tyrant	259:21 265:15
14:23 24:19	189:18 234:16	131:3 133:14	80:13	267:10,11
149:21 187:22,	treats	trustworthy		272:12 279:25
23	99:24	34:11	U	286:9 289:3
transitioned	tremendous	truth		understanding
16:8 132:12	16:15 84:25	105:7 275:9,10,	U.S.	84:6 99:17
translate	105:17 212:22	12 284:4	54:6 63:5	169:10,12
24:10	213:15	Tunstall	ultimate	186:12 270:4
transparency	trenches	122:3 123:8	47:20	understands
136:13	40:21	Tunstall's	ultimately	159:3
Trask	trial	122:3	144:21 147:2	understood
28:12,15,17,25	36:20 37:4 69:9		unbiased	43:22
30:11 34:12	89:3,6 111:14	turn 9:21 28:12 52:5	63:15	undertaken
35:23 109:15,	118:9 142:25	62:2 74:18 96:5	uncomfortable	190:1
21,23 110:4	143:9,22 187:21	129:7 168:3	112:7 113:1,4,6	unequal
119:1 120:21	213:9 261:7	188:6 198:19	uncontested	234:15
121:1	283:2,25	217:10	85:4 189:13	unexpectedly
travel	trials		undermining	142:18
117:8 162:20	89:5 121:14	<b>Turner</b> 140:21 141:3	179:24	unfit
215:17	143:5,6 145:8	140.21 141.3	understand	33:14
traveled	152:15,16		14:6 26:2 35:19	unforced
199:13 203:12	tribunal	<b>Turner's</b> 140:10 145:16	47:14,24 49:19	181:8
	158:3 229:3		50:2,3 56:22	unhappy
traveling 73:6	257:1	turning	58:14 65:22	179:8
	trick	16:3	78:14 93:9,14	unintentional
travels 166:12 206:16	261:12	turns	103:24 107:19,	67:5
289:10,15	trip	261:5,20	21 108:15	unique
·	26:5 107:25	tutorial	120:17 126:18	130:15 163:11
travesty	197:3 253:6,9,	227:20	128:23 137:8	187:13,17
15:6	21,24 254:5	TV	143:3 154:9	204:16
treasure	Triplett	111:24	160:12 166:3	
158:4 165:25	96:5,10,18	tweak	171:21 175:8	uniquely 156:17
treat	102:9 104:3	148:20	176:9 181:2	
47:23 69:21	217:11 218:4,5,	type	193:12 195:12	United
99:17 169:4	7,18 238:19,20,	43:2,4 99:8	202:9 209:8	29:7 284:7
189:2 190:9	22 239:11	112:7 151:13	212:6 214:25	unlike
241:12,13,17,18	240:16,18,20	174:15	222:18 225:7	143:16
269:19	270.10,10,20			

unparalleled	vacated	267:1,9	voluminous	255:20
119:10 285:10	118:10	violated	100:14 234:4	wanting
unprepared	validated	229:22 256:5,14	vote	87:13 202:22
75:14 82:2	173:13	violating	87:12	warmly
unqualified	valuable	192:7 258:11	votes	285:12
225:18	34:2	violation	108:7	warning
unquote	valued	25:21 49:21		111:23
243:8	115:9 211:1	59:20 72:21	$\mathbf{W}$	warrant
unusual	varied	93:8 107:17		59:21 72:23
66:19 68:24	130:16	126:16 154:5	wait	107:19 154:6
up-and-coming	variety	166:1,2 183:15	143:17	warrants
153:21	79:5	196:23 206:10	waiting	176:24
upcoming	verdict	228:6 245:9	67:3 179:10	waste
139:2	131:9	248:3 256:8	195:2 215:23	233:12 235:5,6
updated	verification	257:3,15 258:2	waive	watch
163:14	9:12 28:8 51:24	262:18 264:11	83:1 85:1	19:25 261:9
upheaval	61:17 74:10	279:24 284:12	281:23	watched
16:25	95:20 109:9	violations	waived	24:3
uphold	129:1 167:19	214:20 288:21	85:5	watching
77:6 196:10	185:8 198:12	violative	waiver	223:10 261:6
upmost	207:18 247:1	191:24	141:18 223:18	263:6,18 269:10
19:15	281:14	violent	224:2,3	waxing
upset	versed	131:9	wake	16:20
47:4 111:13,21	201:9	virtual	277:6	Wayne
upsetting	versus	164:18	walk	63:6
111:18,25	121:24 227:18	virtually	149:22 158:18	ways
112:11	242:15	88:15 164:17	walked	45:25 82:3 83:6
upstate	vet	188:5 250:8	24:4	182:4 187:18
64:18 77:13	207:14	vision	walking	242:7
135:5 145:4	vetting	75:24 76:2,11	16:24 46:17	weakness
146:15 170:20	27:25 74:7	81:4 144:14	Wallace	24:24
211:4	109:5 128:23	visit	8:10	wealth
urgent	276:3	194:5	waning	55:22 134:4
114:2	view	visitation	16:20	210:21
urging	37:1 107:4	37:11 38:17,22	wanted	wealthier
226:1	111:25 275:24	39:9 67:8 68:12,	18:7 20:3 72:13	116:9
	288:21	13	80:7,8,22 92:9,	wear
	viewed	vocation	19 105:15	273:12
<b>v</b>	80:12 132:18	32:6	143:6,8,11	wearing
vacancy	violate	volume	195:14 205:3	149:2
121:18 122:10	232:7,13,16	135:2 150:6	209:21 239:17	website
	251:20 266:25		249:10,23	WEDSILE

151:21	63:25	156:2,9 159:6	170:16	105:12 117:20
weeding	well-thought-of	160:16	word	142:17 145:16
195:5	48:24	William	146:1 150:12	172:16 187:3
weeds	well-versed	8:7,10 10:8 13:1	244:21 255:8,19	195:14 270:21
8:13	158:7	Williamsburg	286:23	working
week	wet	29:3	words	18:25 19:1
30:2 42:20	23:17	willingness	15:7 161:10	29:22 38:2
44:25 57:12	whack	185:25 205:23	196:11 227:3	40:19 41:13,24
68:3 80:16	194:20 195:3	280:4	work	59:1,9 88:5,9
85:15 111:1,8,	whatnot	win	11:22 16:6 19:8	89:6,21 101:3
20 141:22	16:11	92:19	21:25 28:2	104:19 111:2
152:20 177:1	whatsoever	wins	29:20 33:5 43:4	112:19 116:23
203:12 220:11	53:12	123:15	49:6 57:17	121:9 124:17
225:12	Whiting	wisdom	62:14,15 68:13	135:5 145:5,18
weekend	223:20	63:22	72:7 88:4 89:17,	163:20 165:3
126:22	who've	wise	22 90:15,23	172:14 195:6
weeks	112:16 273:5	97:17	98:22 101:7,11	207:1,25 226:4
118:11 152:17	wholesome	wised	105:9,22 111:1	241:16
175:1 213:3	70:14	173:8	112:2,13	works
weight		wisely	113:14,15 114:9	108:15 155:5
42:25 214:21	whomever	87:22	116:8,24 117:4,	158:8 228:3
288:23	263:19	wit	7,8,13,14,25	world
weighted	wide	12:20	118:4,20 119:15	15:19 16:9,12,
284:19	31:25 135:24		123:21 124:15	16 33:10 45:4
weightiness	275:15	witness's	125:10,14	69:25 70:3
42:25	widest	75:25	131:15 133:3	112:22,23
welfare	102:19	witnesses	134:24 135:11	162:13
111:6	wield	61:23 74:15	136:2 139:11	worried
well-intentioned	161:23	152:22 155:25	142:21 143:9,22	178:6
123:22	wife	198:18 231:23 281:21	144:6 145:11	worry
well-organized	8:18 15:1,3,4		148:6,11 150:17	42:9
153:17	18:20 21:6 27:1	Wofford	151:3,17 168:20	worse
well-qualified	50:7 94:16	205:11	173:7,20 195:15	47:7 79:8
64:23 148:16	97:15 114:18	woman	242:4,20 251:16	112:19 124:5
well-reasoned	127:17,22 157:5	59:5 70:1	279:16 282:19 283:2 285:10	worst
169:14	172:21 216:19	women	283:2 283:10	47:9
	245:15 282:2	69:25	workable	worth
well-respected 82:1	286:25	wonderful	<b>workable</b> 179:5	32:17 92:11
	wife's	48:15,21 59:3		114:24 116:10
well-rounded	29:25 30:8	106:1 140:7	worked	130:24 133:8,12
144:14	157:5	145:2 153:18	15:16 63:7	146:25 172:22
well-suited	Wilkinson	wondering	83:16 84:19	175:13
			88:15 89:1	

Wow	y'all's	277:2 282:2,16	
144:17	165:8 183:22	years'	
wrap	year	146:25 210:3	
77:21 263:16	31:24 46:3	yell	
write	53:14 88:23,24	92:5	
17:9 19:2 44:9	118:14 122:11	yells	
175:22 276:10	142:10 201:12,	63:24	
written	14 213:6 251:2	yesterday	
55:10 100:2	year's	83:10 84:17	
117:2 134:7	210:4	164:2 227:7	
158:11 169:15	years	young	
188:24 200:9	10:10,17,23	18:22 86:20	
234:3 242:9	15:17 16:17	143:17 153:20	
250:23 255:8	20:12 21:11	205:25	
265:5 283:17	22:18 23:4 29:9,	younger	
wrong	10,11 30:17	42:13 79:24	
86:11 177:24	31:14 33:24	135:25	
229:2 234:18,	41:21 43:15	youngest	
19,20	46:3 52:15,20	134:20	
wrote	53:7,15 58:3	youth	
90:18	62:10 74:25	140:7 241:2	
	75:3 76:21	140.7 241.2	
Y	88:14 94:18		
	96:23 97:6,8,23	Z	
y'all	98:5,8,10,11	zeal	
26:4,5,7 45:1,8,	104:15 110:9,17	205:20	
14 49:12 50:13,	111:2 112:20	Zimmerman	
14 60:10,11	113:21 114:17	140:13 147:16	
84:19 86:12	117:12 121:9	140.13 147.10	
89:5,15 91:20	129:24 130:13		
93:22,23,25	131:7,25 132:12		
107:25 108:1	134:22,23		
126:24 146:12	139:21 143:15		
148:12 164:1,4,	144:4,13,16		
25 165:15,16	146:13 147:1,12		
166:8,10 177:4,	168:14,23		
5 183:24 184:15	172:18,20 173:2		
194:22,25 197:9	174:2 184:14		
206:15,17 215:5	186:5 188:7		
216:22 226:14	195:2 231:15		
	238:13 240:21,		
245:20 268:12	25 251 1		
245:20 268:12 278:18 280:7 289:14	25 251:1 268:16,20,23,25		